

DRY LAND APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM¹
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): December 28, 2022

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: CESAM-RD-N, South Central Alabama Mental Health Crisis Diversion Center, SAM-2022-01186-AGK

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: AL County/parish/borough: Crenshaw City: Brantley
Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 31.596496°, Long. -86.254497°
Universal Transverse Mercator: 16
Name of nearest waterbody: Un-named Tributary to Conecuh River
Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 031403010303 Sandy Creek-Conecuh River

- Check if map/diagram of review area is available upon request.
 Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- Office (Desk) Determination. Date: December 28, 2022
 Field Determination. Date(s): [Click here to enter a date.](#)

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are **no** “*navigable waters of the U.S.*” within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There are **no** “*waters of the U.S.*” within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

SECTION III: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Engineered plans and mapping resources provided by SCADC November 29, 2022
 Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
 Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
 Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
 Data sheets prepared by the Corps: [Click here to enter text.](#)
 U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: National Regulatory Viewer accessed December 28, 2022 - <https://arcportal-ucop-corps.usace.army.mil/>.
 USGS NHD data.
 USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
 U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: National Regulatory Viewer accessed December 28, 2022 - <https://arcportal-ucop-corps.usace.army.mil/>
 USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: National Regulatory Viewer accessed December 28, 2022 - <https://arcportal-ucop-corps.usace.army.mil/>
 National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: National Regulatory Viewer accessed December 28, 2022 - <https://arcportal-ucop-corps.usace.army.mil/>
 State/Local wetland inventory map(s): [Click here to enter text.](#)
 FEMA/FIRM maps: National Regulatory Viewer accessed December 28, 2022 - <https://arcportal-ucop-corps.usace.army.mil/>
 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: [Click here to enter text.](#) (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
 Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth current and historical aerial photographs accessed
 or Other (Name & Date): Current site photographs provided by SCADC.
 Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: [Click here to enter text.](#)
 Applicable/supporting case law: [Click here to enter text.](#)
 Applicable/supporting scientific literature: [Click here to enter text.](#)

¹ This form is for use only in recording approved JDs involving dry land. It extracts the relevant elements of the longer approved JD form in use since 2007 for aquatic areas and adds no new fields.

Other information (please specify): [Click here to enter text.](#)

B. REQUIRED ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD. EXPLAIN RATIONALE FOR DETERMINATION THAT THE REVIEW AREA ONLY INCLUDES DRY LAND: The review area is 4.0 acres, the desktop analysis did not reveal any aquatic features within the project footprint.