APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

	CTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 09-19-2022
B.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: MOBILE DISTRICT, Axis Industrial Landfill, SAM-2021-00574-LML
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State:AL County/parish/borough: Mobile City: Mobile Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 30.966731° N, Long. 88.007316° W. Universal Transverse Mercator: Name of nearest waterbody: Cold Creek Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Mobile River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Cold Creek - 031602040105 Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): ☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 10/29/2021 ☐ Field Determination. Date(s): 2/2/2022
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the ew area. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
В.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	re Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters ² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Impoundments of jurisdictional waters

Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres.

Wetlands: 1.42 acres.

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual

Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

3 Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

	Γ		

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: 172,622.82 acres

Drainage area: 70.4 acres

Average annual rainfall: 64.27 inches Average annual snowfall: 0.00 inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:

Tributary flows directly into TNW.

☑ Tributary flows through 2 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are 2-5 river miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1-2 river miles from RPW.

Project waters are 1-2 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1-2 aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW⁵: The subject wetland is connected via culvert to an adjacent wetland that is typically inundated. The adjacent wetland connects directly to an unnamed tributary to Cold Creek via natural drainage feature that

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

	(TNW). Tributary stream order, if known: The UT to Cold Creek i	s a first order stream.
	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is: Natural Artificial (man-made). Explain: Manipulated (man-altered). Explain coad crossings and some potential straightening.	. ain: Tributary has been altered to fit into an industrial setting
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate Average width: 12 feet Average depth: 0-3 feet Average side slopes: 4:1 (or greater). Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that app	
	⊠ Silts	☐ Concrete ☐ Muck //er:
little riparian b	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing buffer. The Stability of the channel is believed to be primare Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: No. Tributary geometry: Relatively straight Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %	ng banks]. Explain: The UT to Cold Creek is entrenched with illy maintained by continued landscaping maintenance.
(c) majority of the		ar: 20 (or greater) owing: UT to Cold Creek appears to contain water/flow the
	Surface flow is: Discrete and confined. Characteristics:	
	Subsurface flow: Unknown Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: .	
	Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil shelving vegetation matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain: .	the presence of litter and debris destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of wrack line sediment sorting scour multiple observed or predicted flow events abrupt change in plant community
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine la High Tide Line indicated by: oil or scum line along shore objects fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings/characteristics tidal gauges other (list):	ateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): an High Water Mark indicated by: survey to available datum; physical markings; vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.
(iii) Che	emical Characteristics:	

is approximately 200 linear feet. The UT to Cold Creek runs into Cold Creek, which then runs directly into Mobile River

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

Tibid.

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: Surface water was not present in E1. E2 was shown to exhibit brownish-clear surface water in photos submitted by the applicant's agent.

Identify specific pollutants, if known: Considering the historic industrial use of the property, potential pollutants within the subject drainage area would likely include those typically associated with an industrial landfill. The downstream TNW, Mobile River was listed as a 303(d) Impaired Waters for mercury levels.

□ R □ V ⊠ H □ □ □ □	tipical Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): Liparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Vetland fringe. Characteristics: Labitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: During periods of flow, the tributary would provide foraging habitat for
nearby wildlife (racoo	on, deer, etc.).
2. Characteri	istics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
(a) <u>C</u>	cal Characteristics: General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: 1.42 acres Wetland type. Explain:W1 is a depressional wetland.
surrounding pro	Wetland quality. Explain:W1 is a depressional wetland. Wetland quality. Explain:W1 exhibits dense canopy/overystory and are likely to provide moderate utilization by rea. W1 is assumed to be low quality wetlands, based on the lack of a riparian buffer, adjacent industrial landuse of the perties, and the water quality of the hydrologic input for the features. Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: N/A.
F	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Intermittent flow. Explain: W1 provides ephemeral flow to the adjacent wetland, which drains via a 200 linear hydrologic surface connection into a UT to Cold Creek, which drains into Cold Creek (RPW), then into Mobile River
S into UT to Cold	urface flow is: Discrete and confined Characteristics: Flow from the depressional wetlands would be confined within the natural drainage feature that flow Creek
S	ubsurface flow: Unknown Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:
	Vetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: □ Directly abutting ☑ Not directly abutting ☑ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: W1 provides ephemeral flow to the adjacent wetland, which linear foot continuous hydrologic surface connection into a UT to Cold Creek, which drains into Cold Creek (RPW), River (TNW). ☐ Ecological connection. Explain: ☐ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
P P F	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are 2-5 river miles from TNW. Project waters are 1-2 aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are 1-2 aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are 1-2 aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are 1-2 aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are 1-2 aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project wetlands are 2-5 river miles from TNW. Project waters are 1-2 aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project wetlands are 2-5 river miles from TNW. Project wetlands are 2-5 river miles from TNW. Project waters are 1-2 aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Pr
Chara c Identif	cterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed haracteristics; etc.). Explain: The wetland is frequently inundated with a sparselt vegetated understory. Sy specific pollutants, if known: Considering the historic industrial use of the property, potential pollutants within the area would likely include those typically associated with an industrial landfill.
□ R □ V □ E □ □ C □	cical Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Liparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: Labitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: W-1 and asjacent wetland would provide habitat for resting, nesting, s, foraging habitat for birds, small amphibians, reptiles, and small to medium sized mammals that utilize the area.

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: $\overline{\bf 2}$ Approximately (11.42) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)
N	1.42		
N	10		

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: Wetlands included in the cumulative analysis were identified and measured utilizing historic aerial imagery, USGS elevation models, NOAA Lidar data, and USDA soil maps. The 11.42 acres of wetlands within the review area include one on-site feature (W1, 1.42 acres) and one off-site feature (W2, 10 acres). The 11.42 acres of subject wetlands are indirectly connected to the nearest TNW via continuous hydrologic surface connection and two tributaries of the TNW, the Mobile River. Wetlands considered in this cumulative analysis would provide various physical functions for the downstream TNW, including the reduction of downstream peak discharge and volume, sediment trapping, and maintainance of seasonal flows, by providing attenuation and storage of surface water runoff associated with precipitation events and runoff from the Axis indutrial landfill site within the subject drainage area, encompassing 70.4 acres. Hydrologic inputs in the drainage area are likely to contain chemical pollutants known to be associated with industrial activities. As a chemical function, the subject wetlands would provide filtration of sediments and absorption of chemicals within such runoff, prior to waters reaching the dowstream TNW, Mobile River. Both thr RPW and TNW are listed as 303(d) impaired water for mercury levels. As a biological function, depressional wetlands are known to be critical to the survival of multiple species of snakes and amphibians that reside in surrounding uplands within drainage basin. Features within the review area are expected to provide primary and secondary habitat for nearby wildlife (deer, racoon, fox, etc.) and for various life-stages of macroinvertebrates, aquatic and semi-aquatic reptiles.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:B.
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: The downstream TNW, Mobile River was identified as an EPA 303(d) Impaired Water in 2020 for fish and shellfish consumption. The on-site wetland (W-1) was found to provide a continuous hydrologic surface connection between W1 and W2, flowing into the UT to Cold Creek, and then into Cold Creek (RPW) dwhich provides a direct connection to the downstream TNW Mobile River. Physical functions provided by the on-site wetlands W1 and ofsite W2, include flood water attenuation, sediment trapping, and flow management of precipitation runoff for the subject drainage area which encompasses 70.4 acres. Aerial imagery, photos, and elevation data indicate all channels within the review area remain continuously connected via hydrologic surface connections to Cold Creek, a tributary of and a direct connection to the downstream TNW, Mobile River. As a biological function, depressional wetlands are known to be critical to the survival of multiple species of snakes and amphibians that reside in surrounding uplands within the larger drainage basins. Features within the review area are

expected to provide primary and secondary habitat for nearby wildlife (deer, racoon, fox, etc.) and for various life-stages of macroinvertebrates, aquatic and semi-aquatic reptiles. Considering the combination of functions described above, W1 and W2 were found to have more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the biological, chemical, and physical integrity of the downstream TNW Mobile River, and therefore a significant nexus does exist.

3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D.		DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):			
	1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area: ☐ TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres. ☐ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.			
	2.	 RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. ☐ Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: ☐ Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: 			
		Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .			
	3.	Non-RPWs ⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.			
		Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .			
	4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. ☐ Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. ☐ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:			
		Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:			
		Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.			
	5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.			
		Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres			

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

⁸See Footnote # 3.

	conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 3.65 acres.
	7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
E.	ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain: Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: Wetlands: acres.
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): ☐ If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. ☐ Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. ☐ Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). ☐ Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: . ☐ Other: (explain, if not covered above): .
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: . Wetlands: acres.
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.

Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

 $^{^{9}}$ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where chec	cke
and requested, appropriately reference sources below):	
Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: 05/17/2021 Delineation report.	
☐ Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.	
☑ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.	
☐ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.	
☐ Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .	
Corps navigable waters' study: .	
U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: National Regulatory Viewer: National Hydrography Dataset and HUC layers; Digita	al
Elevation Models.	
☑ USGS NHD data.	
☑ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.	
U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: .	
USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: USDA WebSoil Survey - SSURGO (Export 11/12/21).	
National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: USFWS NWI Mapper (National Regulatory Viewer).	
State/Local wetland inventory map(s):	
FEMA/FIRM maps: .	
100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)	
Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth Pro (2020); Baldwin County Eagle View (2017).	
or 🛮 Other (Name & Date): Photos taken during 2/2/2022 site inspection.	
Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:	
Applicable/supporting case law:	
Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .	
Other information (please specify):USACE National Regulatory Viewer.	
=	

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: The AJD request was originally submitted on 02 August 2021, prior to the vactur and remand of the Navigable Waters Protection Rule (NWPR), under which the submitted wetland delineation report asserted the on-site wetlands and ephemeral drainage features would be considered non-jurisdictional. On 30 August 2021, the NWPR was vacated and remanded by the U.S. District Court for the District of Arizona. As such, review of the subject AJD request was resumed under the pre-2015 Rapanos guidance.