APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 04/14/2020

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Mobile District, City of Orange Beach - 25.6-Acre Area on William Silvers Pkwy, SAM-2020-00085-ES

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State:ALCounty/parish/borough: Baldwin CountyCity: Orange BeachCenter coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format):Lat. 30.287726° N, Long. -87.617345° W.
Universal Transverse Mercator:

Name of nearest waterbody: Gulf Intracoastal Waterway

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Gulf Intracoastal waterway Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 0314010702 (Wolf Bay Frontal)

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 03/16/2020; 04/14/2020
- Field Determination. Date(s): 03/17/2020

<u>SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS</u> A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used i

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.

- a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): ¹
 - TNWs, including territorial seas
 - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
 - Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
 - Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
- b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: 1.2480 acres.
- **c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction** based on: **Pick List** Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
- 2. <u>Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable)</u>:³
 - Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: Three topographically isolated wetlands were identified on site: WA, a 4.653-acre forested Grady pond and

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

two small depressional wetlands, WC (0.015 acre) and WD (0.038 acre), totaling 4.706 acres. These waters have no significant nexus to any TNW.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

 (i) General Area Conditions: Watershed size: 86,809.41acres Drainage area: 753.67 acres Average annual rainfall: 66.06 inches Average annual snowfall: >0.02 inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) <u>Relationship with TNW:</u>
 ☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.
 ☑ Tributary flows through 2 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from TNW.
Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.
Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.
Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW⁵: From WB, water flows east approximately 40-feet through an culvert under William Silvers Pkwy. to an adjacent wetland. The adjacent wetland includes a manmade ditch feature that runs north-to-south between

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

the adjacent properties. The wetland drain flows north approximately 1375-feet where it terminates at AL Hwy 180. Waters then flow west approximately 200-feet to a culvert under AL Hwy 180, where waters flow north about 70-feet to another drainage feature. Waters then flow east for approximately 75-feet, then flow north about 360-feet to an abutting wetland drain. The wetland drain flows approximately 0.50-mile where it abuts the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway. Tributary stream order, if known: 1st order.

(b) <u>General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):</u>

Tributary is: 🛛 Natural

Artificial (man-made). Explain: Culverts and man-made drainage ditches connect the wetland to

the natural tributary.

Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: 5 feet Average depth: 3 feet Average side slopes: 2:1.

Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):

Silts	🖄 Sands È	⊠ Concrete
Cobbles	Gravel	Muck
Bedrock	Vegetation.	Type/% cover: Hydrophitic herbs/90%
Other. Explain:	•	

Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Man-made tributary is exhibiting primarily stable slopes with little evidence of erosion; however, some areas have been stabilized with concrete and rock. Aerial imagery observations of the natural downstream tributary appear to be stable.

Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: **Relatively straight** Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 5 %

Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings:

(c)	Flow:
	Tributary provides for: Seasonal flow
	Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 20 (or greater)
	Describe flow regime:
	Other information on duration and volume:

Surface flow is: **Confined**. Characteristics: Within the manmade channel the flow is confined. In the natural channel, aerial imagery indicates the waterway may experience confined and overland sheetflow within the floodplain of the tributary.

Dye (or other) test performed:	
Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil shelving vegetation matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain:	 the presence of litter and debris destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of wrack line sediment sorting scour multiple observed or predicted flow events abrupt change in plant community
If factors other than the OHWM were used to determi High Tide Line indicated by: oil or scum line along shore objects fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings/characteristics tidal gauges	ne lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): Mean High Water Mark indicated by: survey to available datum; physical markings; vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. ⁷Ibid.

other (list):

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: Tributary is off site. Surface water was not observed during site visit. Identify specific pollutants, if known: Pollutants might include sedimentation from nearby construction activities and

stormwater runoff from adjacent roadways, commercial, and residential developments.

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):

Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): The downstream natural tributary is observed in aerial imagery to have approximately 600-feet of forested riparian corridor.

- Wetland fringe. Characteristics:
- Habitat for:
 - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
 - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
 - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:

Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: The tributary helps convey elemental organic carbon and nutrients from decaying riparian plant material downstream and provides habitat to the resident amphibians and aquatic invertebrates, and aquatic and terrestrial vertebrates, for spawning and foraging. The water would also provide a water source for drinking and other life needs for terrestrial species in surrounding riparian corridor and undeveloped forest areas as well as for wildlife species that are more tolerant of humans and reside in the surrounding area (squirrels, raccoons, opossum).

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) **Physical Characteristics:**

- (a) General Wetland Characteristics:
 - Properties:

Wetland size:1.248 acres

Wetland type. Explain:Forested.

Wetland quality. Explain: The on-site wetland is estimated to be medium quality. Road maintenance and surrounding development have contributed to the introduction of invasive Chines tallow and degradation of water quality entering the system from impervious groundcover runoff and commercial facility operation.

Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: **Perennial flow**. Explain:

Surface flow is: Overland sheetflow

Characteristics: Runoff and groundwater would move through the area as overland sheetflow Flow exiting the wetland would remain within the manmade drainage channels while the downstream areas would experience overland sheetflow.

> Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:

- (c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:
 - Directly abutting

Not directly abutting

- Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: The wetland is connected to the RPW via underground culvert.
- Ecological connection. Explain:
- Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
- (d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW
 - Project wetlands are 1 (or less) river miles from TNW. Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Wetland to navigable waters. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the 100 - 500-year floodplain.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:

Identify specific pollutants, if known:

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):
 Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:
- Habitat for:
 - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
 - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
 - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:

Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: The wetland pocket has sufficient vegetative cover and size to provide temporary resting and refuge from predators for small mammals, reptiles, birds, and amphibians, there is also foraging potential for small amphibians, reptiles, and mammals (mice/rats, armadillos, etc.).

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: **4** Approximately (244.248) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis. For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)
Ν	1.248 (on-site)		
Y	~65		
Ν	~119		
Ν	~59		

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: An estimated 244 acres of similarly situated off-site forested wetlands are being considered in the cumulative analysis for relevant reach in this jurisdictional determination. These additional areas are being considered as a mostly contiguous wetland system, which are adjacent to and directly abut the TNW. These wetlands are entirely within the 100-year and 500-year floodplains of the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway and Wolf Bay. The similarly situated wetlands in this system near the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway also provide a natural corridor for wildlife to utilize while carrying out their daily functions such as foraging for food and water and seeking shelter for nesting and reproduction, or as refuge from predators. The fruits, nuts, and seeds of plants, and detritus and decomposition of organic matter from the wetlands also provide nutrients and organic carbon to the TNW for use by wildlife and fish on-site and in downstream food chains. The 1.248-acre of jurisdictional on site wetlands, in combination with the similarly situated wetlands provides 1) flood control and runoff storage 2)water purification functions to the TNW by moderating the flow of water entering those streams 3) provides capacity to receive and retain floodwater including sediment, trash, fertilizers, pesticides, other chemicals, animal and other biological wastes, etc. that may be picked up in stormwater run-off that can enter the TNW from commercial/industrial properties, residential properties, utility ROWs, and roadways. The ability to receive and retain stormwater also provides roughness to slow the velocity of floodwater that may flow across the floodplain.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: WB maintains a contiguous connection via wetland and surfacewater to the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway. In combination with the estimated 244 acres of similarly situated off-site forested wetlands being considered in the cumulative analysis for this jurisdictional determination, have the ability to provide water storage, flood water desynchronization, retention of

particulates, pollutant removal, and nutrient cycling for a substiantive amount of stormwater and runoff from nearby developed areas. These services would reduce eutrophic effects to downstream waters, improving overall water quality and biological diversity of the aquatic ecosystem, having more than a speculative effect on the chemical, physical and biological integrity of the TNW.

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area: 1. TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres. Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
- 2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
 - Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:
 - Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

rovide estimates for	jurisdictional	waters in	the review a	area (check	c all that	apply):
	./			(

Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).

Other non-wetland waters: acres.

Identify type(s) of waters:

P

Non-RPWs⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. 3.

Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).

Other non-wetland waters: acres.

Identify type(s) of waters:

Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. 4

Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.

Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. 5.

Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 1.248 acres.

- Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. 6.
 - Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

	 7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.⁹ As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
E.	ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): ¹⁰ which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain:
	Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	 Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: . Wetlands: acres.
F.	 NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Prior to a site visit, desktop review of aerial imagery dated 01/05/2019, indicated a north-to-south manmade drainage feature connecting the Grady pond (WA) to WB. During the 03/17/2020 inspection it was found that the manmade drainage feature had since been eliminated, severing the connection from the WA to WB. A stormwater pond located northeast of WA was observed to include an earthern berm, separating the feature from the Grady pond, with no culvert connection. Desktop review of the U.S. FWS National Wetlands Inventory, the EPA's National Hydrography Dataset, and elevation data found no further indication that WA contained any other surface connections to WB. Wetland features WA, WC, and WD were found to be topographically isolated, with no surface connection to the adjacent wetland WB, or significant nexus to the TNW. Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: . Wetlands: acres.
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. . Wetlands: 4.706 acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

 $^{^9}$ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA *Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos*.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below): \boxtimes Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. \boxtimes Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report. Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: $\overline{\boxtimes}$ U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: EPA WATERS GeoViewer and Watershed Report. USGS NHD data. USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. \boxtimes U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:1:24000 - Orange Beach, AL. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: SSURGO WebSoil Survey. kmz. National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:U.S. FWS NWI Mapper .kmz. State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps:NFHL FIRMette - 0100300961M (eff. 04/19/2019). \boxtimes 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth Pro: 01-05-2019; Digital Globe: 11-28-2019; 03-25-2020. or 🖾 Other (Name & Date):Submitted by consultant. Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: Applicable/supporting case law: Applicable/supporting scientific literature: \square Other information (please specify):Baldwin County Parcel Viewer LiDAR.

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: