

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): 7/8/2020

ORM Number: SAM-2009-1211

Associated JDs: SAM-2009-1211-KMN

Review Area Location¹: State/Territory: MS City: D'Iberville County/Parish/Borough: Harrison

Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude 30.439544 Longitude -88.888018

II. FINDINGS

A. Summary: Check all that apply. At least one box from the following list MUST be selected. Complete the corresponding sections/tables and summarize data sources.

- The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters or water features, including wetlands, of any kind in the entire review area). Rationale: N/A or describe rationale.
- ☐ There are "navigable waters of the United States" within Rivers and Harbors Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.B).
- There are "waters of the United States" within Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete appropriate tables in Section II.C).
- There are waters or water features excluded from Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.D).

B. Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 Section 10 (§ 10)²

§ 10 Name	§ 10 Size		§ 10 Criteria	Rationale for § 10 Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A	N/A.	N/A.

C. Clean Water Act Section 404

Territorial Seas and Traditional Navigable Waters ((a)(1) waters): ³					
(a)(1) Name	(a)(1) Size		(a)(1) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(1) Determination	
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	

Tributaries ((a)(2) waters):					
(a)(2) Name	(a)(2) Siz	e	(a)(2) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(2) Determination	
N/A.		N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	

Lakes and ponds, and impoundments of jurisdictional waters ((a)(3) waters):					
(a)(3) Name	(a)(3) Size		(a)(3) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(3) Determination	
N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	N/A.	

Adjacent wetla	Adjacent wetlands ((a)(4) waters):					
(a)(4) Name	(a)(4) Size		(a)(4) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(4) Determination		
Wet 1	0.0001	acre(s)	(a)(4) Wetland abuts an (a)(1)- (a)(3) water.	Wetland connects to (a) 3 water connects to (a) 2 water which connects to (a) 2 water which connects to (a) 1 water		

¹ Map(s)/figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD Form.



U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

D. Excluded Waters or Features

Excluded waters ((b)(1) – (b)(12)): ⁴					
Exclusion Name	Exclusion	n Size	Exclusion ⁵	Rationale for Exclusion Determination	
Wet 2	0.0001	acre(s)	(b)(1) Non-	The wetland does not connect to (a)1, (a2), (a)3	
			adjacent wetland.	waters	

III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

٩.	Sele	Select/enter all resources that were used to aid in this determination and attach data/maps to this					
	docu	document and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.					
		Information submitted by, or on behalf of, the applicant/consultant: Title(s) and date(s)					
	7	This information Select. sufficient for purposes of this AJD.					
	F	Rationale: N/A or describe rationale for insufficiency (including partial insufficiency).					
	\boxtimes	Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Angela Rangel, July 2, 2020					
	\boxtimes	Photographs: Aerial: Google Earth 2019					
	\boxtimes	Corps site visit(s) conducted on: July 2, 2020					
	\boxtimes	Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs): 2009-1211-KMN October 29,2009					
	\boxtimes	Antecedent Precipitation Tool: <u>provide detailed discussion in Section III.B</u> .					
		USDA NRCS Soil Survey: Title(s) and/or date(s).					
		USFWS NWI maps: Title(s) and/or date(s).					
		USGS topographic maps: Title(s) and/or date(s).					

Other data sources used to aid in this determination:

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information
USGS Sources	USGS Topographic Map
USDA Sources	USDA Plants Database
NOAA Sources	N/A.
USACE Sources	N/A.
State/Local/Tribal Sources	N/A.
Other Sources	NRCS Soils survey, www.nrcs.gov

- **B. Typical year assessment(s):** Normal conditions occur for the ditch along the north boundary of the property in question which exhibited flowing water and contained minnows. The Ditch has been determined to be intermittent in flow and may or may not have been created in wetlands. However this Ditch is not within the subject property.
- C. Additional comments to support AJD: The intermittently flowing ditch conveys surface waters from upstream jurisdictional waters to downstream jurisdictional waters. This ditch connects to an un-named perennial stream via underground culverts. The un-named perennial stream then connects to Martin Bayou

⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.