1. Which of the following Federal organizations will you be representing at the meeting?

NRCS - Alabama

U.S. Coast Guard

U.S. FWS - Florida

U.S. FWS - Georgia

U.S. FWS - Florida

GROUP SOLUTIONS®

2. If other, please type in your Federal Organization

- Southeastern Power Administration
- U.S. Department of Energy Southeastern Power Administration (SEPA)

3. What specific questions do you have about the Scope of the Water Control Manual update that you would like the team to cover at the meeting?

- It is anticipated that more irrigation of agricultural crops will be occurring in the Southeast in the future. Has this future water need been addressed?
- What level of navigation will be supported in the system? What should the Coast Guard expect with regard to releases from Woodruff to support emergency and non-emergency mobility of our buoy tender? For example, hurricane response or access to a shipyard for repair to our vessel, etc. What will be the baseline for the navigational channel in the Apalachicola? Right now, we mark the 9 foot contour when Blountstown gage is at 5 feet. Should we change that? Currently, at 5000 cfs, it is not adequate, and could be considered dangerous to some of the current users in the system. Perhaps a survey would be helpful.
- What are the limitations to the range of alternatives that will be considered? Is there time to collect any new data? What are the limitations for collection of new data? At what point on the timeline will there be a selected alternative to make a decision on the need for formal consultation under ESA?
- please explain the level of detail that will be contained in the WCM as compared to the detail that will be in each of the water control plans
- Will the Corps evaluate alternatives to the existing system operations, and if so, describe those alternatives. If the system is not operated for the navigation purpose, what purpose will substitute for navigation in the management of system storage?

GROUP SOLUTIONS

4. Are you familiar with the role of your agency in NEPA review of Corps water management actions?

	Response Total	Response Percent
Yes	5	83%
No	1	17%
Total Respondents	6	
Skipped	2	

5. How familiar are you with Corps Water Control Manuals?

	Response Total	Response Percent
Not at all familiar	0	0%
Somewhat familiar	4	80%
Very familiar	1	20%
Total Respondents	5	
Skipped	3	

6. Which of the following categories are you or your agency most concerned with?

	Response Total	Response Percent
Drought Operations	5	83%
Water Management for Multiple	4	67%
Water Quality	4	67%
Environmental Resources	4	67%
Biological Resources	4	67%
Action Zones	3	50%
Recreation	3	50%
Revised Interim Operations Plar	3	50%
Water Control Manual Update	2	33%
Navigation	2	33%
Water Supply	2	33%
NEPA - Impacts Analysis	2	33%
Hydropower	1	17%
Shoreline Management	1	17%
NEPA Process	1	17%
NEPA - Alternatives	1	17%
NEPA - Baseline	1	17%
NEPA - Public Involvement	1	17%
Socioeconomics	1	17%
Cultural Resources	1	17%
Flood Damage Reduction	0	0%
All of the Above	0	0%
Other, please specify	0	0%
Total Respondents	6	

GROUP SOLUTIONS

7. Check all federally authorized project purposes where your agency has a role or interest in management decisions made by the Corps.

	Response Percent	Response Percent
Fish and Wildlife, including endangered species	4	67%
Water Quality	4	67%
Water Supply	4	67%
Navigation	3	50%
Hydropower	2	33%
Recreation	3	50%
Flood Control	3	50%
Other, please specify View individual responses to this question	1	17%
Total Respondents	6	
Skipped	2	

GROUP SOLUTIONS

8. Do you have data, models, tools, reports, and any other information to share with the Corps?

	Response Total	Response Percent
Yes	0	0%
No	6	100%
Total Respondents	6	
Skipped	2	

9. Please provide the Corps with any recommendations regarding Modeling Tools and Data Sources?

- OASIS (ARC)

10. The Corps is considering establishing working groups to participate in the EIS effort. In general, what is your agency's interest in participating in a working group throughout this process?

	Response Total	Response Percent
Depends, need more informa	2	40%
Very interested	2	40%
Somewhat interested	1	20%
Not interested	0	0%
Total Respondents	5	
Skipped	3	

GROUP SOLUTIONS

11. Are you the correct person from your agency to attend?

	Response Total	Response Percent
Yes	4	80%
No	1	20%
Total Respondents	5	
Skipped	3	

12. Are you the person that will be on the call from your agency?

	Response Total	Response Percent
Yes	5	100%
No	0	0%
Total Respondents	5	
Skipped	3	

13. If not, will you have the appropriate person from your agency on the call to discuss input from your agency?

	Response Total	Response Percent
Yes	1	100%
No	0	0%
Total Respondents	1	
Skipped	7	

14. Please provide the top 3 key discussion topics that will make this meeting valuable to you and your agency?

- Minimum base flows. Agricultural water needs.
- How can the Corps and the USCG work together to ensure a safe navigable channel for the current users of the system? Use of Aldeberan II ECS database for channel survey, aids to navigation, and S57 vector chart development. What should the Coast Guard expect with regard to buoy tender mobility and access to the GICW during periods of low flow from Woodruff?
- Alternative analysis Optimization tools Protection of natural flow regime
- expected timeline and how decisions are made in the interim; expected operational changes; benefits to adjusting rule curves, flood protection etc.
- Is a substantial revision of current operations a possible outcome of the Manual update? What is the possible range of alternatives to be considered? What are the criteria for measuring "balance" among the authorized purposes for the system?

GROUP SOLUTIONS