Minutes Fort McClellan Restoration Advisory Board Fort McClellan, AL

Monday, June 17, 2002

Submission to RAB for approval – minutes of meeting June 17, 2002

PRESENT:

Co-Chair: Mary Harrington (vice chair-person), Joe Doyle (sitting in for Glynn Ryan)

Board Members: James Buford, Jerry Elser, Donna Fathke, Curtis Franklin, Lamar

Freeman, Ron Hood, William Kimbrough

BCT Members: Philip Stroud

JPA: Miki Schneider

A. CALL TO ORDER AND MINUTES

Dr Harrington called the meeting to order, conducted roll call, and asked for guest introductions. The board could not approve the minutes because there was not a quorum present. Ms. Schneider asked that the minutes reflect her discussion that preceded the sentence stating she agreed to Mr. Brittain's request to be notified when the JPA risk assessor presents their findings on the landfill EE/CA.

B. OLD BUSINESS

1. Documents for Technical Assistance Public Participation (TAPP) Contract Review

There was brief discussion concerning whether the RAB had prepared a list of documents for Mr. Ron Grant, TAPP contractor, to review. There was discussion concerning the questions on the landfill EE/CA that the RAB forwarded to Mr. Grant and how they differed somewhat from the RAB's discussion as stated in the minutes from the previous meeting.

2. Public Comment Period Extension

Mr. Doyle informed the RAB that at the request of the JPA Mr. Ryan had granted another sixty-day extension to the public comment period for the landfill EE/CA. He passed out copies of Mr. Ryan's letter and a copy of the legal notice granting the extension. Mr. Doyle suggested to the RAB that if they have specific concerns with regard to Mr. Grant's review of the EE/CA, they should send an E-mail immediately to Mr. Branchfield with any questions so that he can collect them and give them to Mr. Grant who will have sixty days from today to complete his review or answer questions.

C. PROGRAM

1. TAPP Contractor Discussion of Landfill EE/CA Evaluation

Some RAB members said they did not receive Mr. Branchfield's E-mail in which he compiled the questions on the landfill EE/CA sent in by RAB members. The Transition Force will make distribution to the RAB. Mr. Grant stated the first question sent to him was "What was the basis for eliminating the alternative for consolidating the landfills into one location." He stated that the EE/CA he reviewed did not mention putting all landfills into one spot but mentioned consolidation in the discussion of landfills three and four. There was extensive discussion regarding why the Army was not considering consolidation of landfill three and possibly other landfills as a preferred alternative.

2. RAB Membership

Mr. Fern Thomassy submitted his resignation letter to the RAB. It was decided to write a thank you note to him for serving. The RAB could not vote on removing Mr. Gary Stratton from membership because a quorum was not present.

D. NEW BUSINESS

1. Agency Reports

ADEM – Mr. Stroud provided his updated report of document reviews and stated he would be submitting comments on the landfill EE/CA soon. He will be meeting with URS, the ADEM subcontractor for performing unexploded ordnance reviews of documents.

EPA – Mr. Brittain had an excused absence.

JPA – Ms. Schneider stated the JPA would announce their choice of an underground storage tank contractor the following day and will begin pulling tanks probably by the last week of June. She stated that during the sixty-day extension requested by JPA for public comment of the landfill EE/CA their contractor would discuss landfill issues with the Army and review an older document with baseline information that they previously had not seen.

2. Action Summary Sheet

Is response to Ms. Schneider's question about 0.56 acres of land with one facility mentioned for SuperFOST #2, Mr. Doyle stated it was a storage building for fire trucks.

3. Technical Review Committee (TRC) Report

No one was present from the TRC to give a report.

4. Update of TAPP Contract Hours

No update because the contracting officer is out of the office on business for two weeks.

E. AUDIENCE COMMENTS

There were none.

F. ADJOURNMENT

With no further business brought before the board, the meeting was adjourned.