542 0 File: 541.460.000n C.H.



THE MEMPHIS DEPOT TENNESSEE

ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD COVER SHEET

AR File Number <u>542</u>

1 File: 140.300.000, A C.H. 542

1

MEETING MINUTES Restoration Advisory Board January 21, 1999 The Memphis Depot

Memphis, TN

Conference Room

The Restoration Advisory Board (RAB) meeting was held on January 21, 1999 at the The Memphis Depot in the Conference Room. The attendance list is attached.

WELCOME AND INTRODUCTION

1	MR WILLIAMS	Let's call the meeting to order. I would like to say good evening
2		and welcome everyone to our RAB meeting. This is the first one of
3		this year - 1999 But I would like to first start off by apologizing
4		for last year, at the October meeting, when we abruptly ended the
5		meeting I would just like to say that. I wanted to make sure that
6		everyone knew that I apologize for what had happened at the last
7		meeting Some people were not here, but I just wanted to say that
8		
9		I would first like to say it is going to be a little lengthy here tonight
10		because there are a lot of things that have happened during the time
11		that we were not meeting For the first thing, I'd like to first
12		welcome everybody here to the meeting, the former employees and
13		the new people that are coming that may be from the community or
14		wherever I'd like to welcome you all here tonight. I would like to

1		welcome the volunteers from MIFA's Retired Senior and Volunteer
2		Program. They have been working with Ms. Moore doing some
3		volunteer work in the community And, if you don't mind, I would
4		like to take a few minutes to let her go into that about the MIFA
5		volunteers
6	MS. MOORE:	Thanks, Mondell. MIFA has a program called Retired Senior and
7		Volunteer Program, RSVP We've partnered with RSVP, and
8		these volunteers have been a tremendous help for me. They are
9		contacting neighborhood associations, block club presidents and
10		maintaining our Information Repositories. I have three retired
11		librarians in the group. The great news is most of the volunteers
12		are from this community.
13		
14		We do have two volunteers here tonight. Mr. Garrison signed up.
15		He was at MIFA at our meeting, and he'll be volunteering He's
16		volunteering now - and Ms. Peters But, other community members
17		here tonight—we have Ms. Thelma Nichols Would you stand, Ms
18		Nichols? She's a retired librarian and has been at Cherokee Library
19		working this week And, my mom, Alma Black-she's been
20		running off all these copies that I have to run off for the RAB
21		meeting She comes in and helps me out quite a bit. We have Ms.
22		Peters, who also is a RSVP volunteer She hasn't done any extra
23		work at our repositories yet, but we appreciate her work on the
24		RAB. I see no more volunteers from RSVP, but I do have a staff
25		of about eight ladies that are helping me out-and Mr. Garrison,
26		and I appreciate that Let's give our volunteers a hand

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

2

- -

3

1	MR WILLIAMS.	I would like for you to know that the meeting is being recorded
2	•	When you get up to speak, make sure you say your name first to
3		make sure that the reporter gets everything. Also, I'd like to tell
4		you that the RAB had a seminar in December, and it was on
5		parliamentary procedures so we could be more professional about
6		what's going on during the meetings and handle things more
7		professionally. I wish a lot of the members could have attended,
8		but they didn't and missed a good seminar.
9		
10		I'd like to tell you about the flip chart. What we've done is try to
11		implement a new program whereas, if a speaker is up speaking, they
12		have five minutes to speak. During that time, someone will flip the
13		chart or will show you the minutes that you have left to speak. So,
14		you have to really pay close attention, because we are on a time
15		frame here. We only have an hour and a half to conduct this
16		meeting, and we would like to make sure that we take time out to
17		let the community speak. The public is allowed five minutes to
18		speak during the public comment period, and we want to make sure
19		that we get them in during that time
20	MR. PHILLIPS	We have the agenda to look at
21	MR WILLIAMS	First of all, just give me a minute I just came from Millington, and
22		I was sort of in a rush.
23		
24	REVIEW AND AI	PPROVE AGENDA
25		

•

3

Our agenda-we need to look at the agenda and see if there are any 1 MR WILLIAMS changes that need to be made Would anyone like to add anything 2 to the agenda before we approve the agenda for the evening? Could 3 I get a motion to approve the agenda? 4 MR. COVINGTON Moved. 5 Second 6 MS. PETERS All in favor? 7 MR WILLIAMS: 8 **RAB MEMBERS**. Aye. Any disagree? Okay. The agenda is approved for tonight. I would 9 MR WILLIAMS: like for the RAB members to sort of turn their cards facing so that 10 the co-chairs can see them so that you may be recognized when you 11 12 get ready to speak. 13 MS. MOORE Not facing. What we are going to do is—I saw this at a 14 conference, and it worked. We're going to try it It may not work 15 but, before you speak, to be recognized, turn your card up and let the chair acknowledge you before you speak When the chair 16 acknowledges you, then you turn it down like this, and then you 17 may speak We are going to try that tonight If it does not work, 18 19 then we will try something else 20 We would like for the RAB members to please make changes to MR WILLIAMS 21 your addresses, phone numbers—anything that has changed in the 22 past. This is the list that's going around with all of your information on it So make sure that it is in order. We would also 23 like to use flip charts during the public comment period We will 24 25 do that later in the meeting 26

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

542

4

.

REVIEW OCTOBER MEETING MINUTES

2	•	
3	MR WILLIAMS	I would like to review the minutes from the October meeting. Does
4		anyone have any changes they would like to add or anything that
5		was out of order in the minutes from the last meeting?
6	MR. ENGLISH	I move to accept the minutes
7	MR. BALLARD	Second.
8	MR. WILLIAMS	All in favor?
9	RAB MEMBERS:	Aye.
10	MR. WILLIAMS [.]	Any opposed? No Carried
11	MR PHILLIPS	As you can tell, Mondell and I are working very hard on our
12		parliamentary procedures I think we were the focuses, although
13		the training session in December was for all of the RAB members.
14		Neither Mondell nor myself have ever run or chaired a meeting
15		prior to our experience here So please forgive us our growing
16		pains
17		
18	OLD BUSINESS	
19		
20	MR PHILLIPS:	I'd like to get on with old business. There are several comments
21		that I can follow-up on that have been made during previous RABs
22		One comment was on how former employees can get compensated
23		by the government for injuries or health situations they've had
24		happen to them during their term of employment here At the time
25		when I answered that question, I referred to a CA-1 form, which is

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

•

542 5

5

-

1	a Federal Office of Personnel Management form. I brought
2	examples of them here.
3	
4	I will just read you the CA-1. It is called the Notice of Injury and
5	Claim for Continued Pay and Compensation. The CA-2 is Notice
6	of Occupational Disease and Claim for Compensation. I believe
7	that is most appropriate if people have long-term health effects-
8	the CA-2 form This is a copy of it These can be picked up at any
9	federal office that has an employment branch or personnel branch.
10	. The closest to us here, ours is closed because we are a closed
11	depot, are the IRS on Holmes near Getwell and the Corps of
12	Engineers office downtown in the Federal Office Building These
13	forms can be picked up there.
14	-
- 15	Additionally Mr Truitt in a previous RAB, asked about the storage
16	structures on the golf course and what the sampling there had
17	shown I reviewed what CH2M Hill produced Out of the two
18	sampling locations, there were no detections above any of the
19	screening criteria the BCT had established or background levels
20	So that is the answer to his question
21	
22 ·	Also at the last RAB, the one in October, a comment came up
23	during the public comment period about the confidentiality clause
24	After the meeting, in a conversation I found out that the actual
25	point of the question was if that confidentiality clause affects any
26	other federal agency doing work here, such as ATSDR It does not

-

-

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

542

6

affect any other federal agency doing the work here With our 1 meeting minutes last time, we mailed out a copy of that clause from 2 the Federal Facilities Agreement 3 That is it up there. Is that not it? MS. MOORE 4 No. That is the Charter 5 MR PHILLIPS MS MOORE: Okay. Well, it is over here on the table 6 Everyone had it in your minutes that were mailed to you, a copy of 7 MR PHILLIPS. that clause. 8 9 **PUBLIC COMMENT OPTIONS** 10 ١ 11 New business. During our administrative meeting that the RAB 12 MR PHILLIPS. had in December, we discussed public comment options When we 13 met in December, we discussed several options that would allow 14 for more public comment time at the end of the RAB Our charter 15 states, and this is a quote, it is straight from the charter that the 16 RAB approved. "The public shall be given 3 to 5 minutes to 17 comment on agenda topics at appropriate times during the meeting. 18 The public shall be given 3 to 5 minutes to comment on non-agenda 19 items at the end of each meeting The RAB may vote to allow for 20 more time for public comments, or discussion of publiccomments or 21 agenda items. The community co-chair shall be responsible for 22 ensuring comments provided during the meeting from the RAB 23 members or the public remain relative to agenda topics. The 24 community co-chair shall also be responsible for ensuring public 25 comments during or at the end of RAB meetings do not exceed 5 26

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

542

7

minutes, unless RAB members vote to allow continued public comment."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Let me say this, when we say for 5 minutes the intention of the charter is per comment When one person has a comment, they have five minutes to make their point. Get their comments heard We haven't provided sufficient time for public comments on the agenda items for the past few months and the public time at the end of the meeting. We thought about it during that administrative meeting we had in December, the RAB members who were here We voted, or we talked about two options. I've got those two options summarized I would like to read them to you

The first option is: Allow 3 to 5 minutes for one comment from one person for each agenda item when appropriate Allow the allocated 15 minutes for public comments at the end of our meeting That will be, if someone takes all of their 5 minute comment period, that means there is only three comments. Each person will be allowed 5 minutes for comments and discussion If the RAB feels that more time for public comment is needed, the community co-chair will ask the RAB for a vote to extend the meeting. We could also provide cards at the front table as you come in for comments to be written on and submitted to the RAB as a board. If someone has a comment, and they are too shy to voice it or whatever, they can put their comments in writing The card questions would be interspersed during the public comment

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

542

8

period. Any unanswered questions, any cards that we don't get to at the end of the meeting, we'd put those in that month's minutes, and we would respond to them.

The second option: Allow 3 to 5 minutes for one comment from 5 one person per agenda item when appropriate. Allow 15 minutes 6 for public comments at the beginning of our meeting instead of at 7 the end, and 15 minutes, 3 to 5 minutes per person, that could be as 8 few as three comments addressed It could be as many as five and 9 as few as three Each person will be allowed 5 minutes for 10 comments and discussion. If the RAB feels more time for the 11 public comment is needed, the community co-chair will ask the 12 13 RAB for a vote to extend the public comment period We would, 14 again, provide cards for comments to be written on and submitted 15 to the RAB And we would answer any of those written questions 16 that do not get answered during the RAB meeting, we would 17 answer those in writing That way, everyone's comments, whether 18 they got to voice them at the RAB, or not, will show up in the 19 minutes for that meeting, and receive a response to that comment Would anyone like to open the floor to comment on these two 20 21 options? Mr. Clay. MR. CLAY 22 I have a question I want to ask first Have you put forth all of the clauses for comments at the beginning of the meeting or after the 23 24 meeting, or are those the only options we have?

25 MR. PHILLIPS. It is up to the RAB to decide that

1

2

3

4

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

+

•

1	MR CLAY.	I guess I would like to move that we have a 15 minute public
2		comment period prior to the meeting, or at the beginning of the
		-
3		meeting.
4	MR WILLIAMS	Do you think that it will be feasible?
5	MR. CLAY	I move that we select option two.
6	MR. PHILLIPS:	I need a second before that comes to the board for discussion.
7	RAB MEMBER	I second the motion.
8	MR. WILLIAMS:	Any discussion?
9	MR. PHILLIPS.	Mr Brayon.
10	MR. BRAYON [.]	Does it mean, as clarification, 15 minutes before? What happens
11		after the meeting? Maybe I missed that in the proposal. That is no
12		comments after the meeting?
13	MR. WILLIAMS:	No There will be
14	MS MOORE	No, there won't
15	MR BRAYON	I wanted a clarification.
16	MR CLAY	The reason why I thought we might do that was so we could take
17		on hard new issues that the public has, and maybe we need to table
18		them or put them aside until we get more information But let's get
19		that out in the open so that if anyone, before our meeting, goes, we
20		can flow with our meeting I think the city of Memphis and city
21		council and all other legislative bodies probably use the same
22		approach.
23	MR PHILLIPS	According to the two options, they are just summary The RAB
24		can vote on what they need If, at the end of the meeting there is
25		sufficient need for comment, the RAB can vote to open it up,

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

.

-

.

1		extend the meeting for that period I would like to recognize Ms
2	•	Bradshaw
3	MS. BRADSHAW	In some of the national RAB meetings that I've attended, they split
4		the time up between government and community. And that way, if
5		there are issues that the community feels strong about and
6		concerned about, those issues can be discussed So, they split I
7		know Denver split the time up between government and time up for
8		community issues But if they have this 15 minutes at the
9		beginning, and they still would be able to comment during the
10		meeting, so you're not cutting them out
11	MR PHILLIPS	Mr. Ballard, did you rescind your comment?
12	MR. BALLARD	Yes
13	MR PHILLIPS	Ms. Peters.
14	MS. PETERS.	What I really wanted to know, if you are going to have the public
15		to speak 15 minutes before the meeting, and they are entitled to ask
16		questions during the meeting, how long do you say you are going
17		to stay here? That is what I am concerned about, because I can see
18		you spending 30 minutes right there, you know, 15 minutes before
19		and then people during the meeting Whatever we discuss, they're
20		going to have questions about what we're talking about Then if
21		they ask questions then, how long? Didn't you say the meeting was
22		going to last an hour and a half?
23	MR. PHILLIPS	I would like to respond to what we're doing with our presenters, so
24 ,		everyone understands that This timing system, presenters such as
25		tonight's, Mr. Greg Underberg is making a presentation on
26		groundwater. His allotted time is 25 minutes.

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

1	MS MOORE	For questions and comments included.
2	MR PHILLIPS.	That includes questions and comments. He actually has 15 minutes
3		to do his presentation. And we will start his countdown, so he
4		knows his presentation needs to be over in 15 minutes
5	MS PETERS	Did you count these minutes you got on here now?
6	MR. PHILLIPS	Yes.
7	MS PETERS	Does that include all of the minutes for the people to speak in and
8		after the meeting, too?
9	MR. PHILLIPS	Right now, according to this month's approved agenda, the public
10		comment is at the end of this meeting. We're talking about setting a
11		precedence for the February meeting onward Let me mention one
12		thing about the card idea. At the end of October's minutes, I spoke
13		to no fewer than two citizens who attended the RAB meeting, and
14		who never did get a chance to ask their questions One lady was
15		frankly kind of shy about asking her question. The card idea is for
16		that reason Some people might be embarrassed by the question or
1 7		whatever. That is a great idea And, you know, it is up to the
18	,	RAB, but take that idea about the comment cards seriously Are
19		there anymore comments about the two options?
20	MS MOORE	I wanted to reiterate that the public can speak, when appropriate,
21		about agenda topics Everyone may not have a comment
22		throughout the agenda topics For the past six months, we haven't
23		even implemented this particular part of the charter. So that will be
24		inclusive I don't think that we would be able to take but one
25		comment per issue So it will be a limited process

•

Restoration Advisory Board....January 21, 1999

.

12

•

1	MR. PHILLIPS	When each presenter's 20 or 25 minutes is over, that is the end of
2		that agenda item Ms Hooks?
3	MS HOOKS:	I certainly think it is extremely important that the public has an
4		opportunity to comment and that we have some structure in place
5		to allow that. I do not think, though, that it is appropriate for the
6		public to comment during the meeting. And I am really basing this
7		from other boards that I serve on as well as being on the council.
8		We conduct our meetings formally, and anyone that wants to speak
9		on any item on the agenda there is certainly sufficient time for them
10	•	to do that.
11		\setminus
12		And I am a little bit concerned that, if we do that while the meeting
13	_	is going on, then perhaps we won't be able to move through the
14		agenda that we need to move through. If the comment period
15		needs to be expanded so that it gives everyone an opportunity to
16		get their questions answered, I don't have any issues with that at all
· 17		But I do think we need to, because of the time sensitivity that we
18		are working under, be able to move through the agenda and
19		recognize the public appropriately at that point in time
20	MR PHILLIPS:	Let me read something from the charter This is that very first
21		quote. "The public shall be given 3 to 5 minutes to comment on
22		agenda items at appropriate times during the meeting."
23		
24		That may be appropriate to get through the agenda and make sure
25		all comments are addressed at the end like we have done it at the
26		end in the past, and still keep the card idea
	•	

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

•

,

13

-

,

1	MS HOOKS	If that is in the charter, I am not suggesting that we supersede any
2		of the
3	MR. PHILLIPS	No The charter is not clear That is the point Was your
4		suggestion a motion?
5	MS HOOKS	Yes.
6	MR. PHILLIPS	An amendment to one of those two options?
7	MR. FLYNN [,]	Should I give an amendment to the motion?
8	MS. HOOKS:	Correct.
9	MR. PHILLIPS	Do we have a second on that?
10	MR. ENGLISH:	Second.
11	MR PHILLIPS	Discussion on the amendment. Mr English
12	MR ENGLISH	I kind of agree with her that the comment was made by Alma that
13		we might only have time for one person to make a comment on an
14		agenda item. If we limit any public comment to one person and
15		then we don't give good balance, possibly, to a full development of
16		the discussion from the public regarding any agenda item I agree
17		with Ms. Hooks. I agree with the concept that we need to probably
18		have it more structured either before, at the beginning, or at the end
19		of the meeting. Whatever we have to do to give everybody time,
20		but let's not bog down the agenda process
21	MR PHILLIPS	Mr. Clay
22	MR. CLAY:	I would like to withdraw my motion
23	MS. PETERS	Thank you.
24	MR PHILLIPS	Mr. Ballard
25	MR BALLARD	In line with the idea of having the cards, you know, you presented
26		that as people who want to submit some questions If the cards are

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

.

14

•

--

1		available for them and as a question comes to their mind on an
2		agenda comment, they write it down, hand it to Alma. And at the
3		public comment portion of the meeting, perhaps at the end, you can
4		read a comment Take one from the audience, and then read a
5		comment, something like that. Make sure that those who have
6		questions about agenda topics get their questions in there, and you
7		don't have to wait until the next meeting to get the question out
8	MR. PHILLIPS	Ms Hooks, would you repeat your amendment?
9	MS. HOOKS.	Certainly.
10	MR. FLYNN.	You can't withdraw the motion yet
11	MS PETERS:	Somebody already did
12	MR. FLYNN:	You can't withdraw the motion yet.
13	MS HOOKS	My amendment to the motion was that the public comment not be
14		during the actual meeting I had no issue whether or not we were
15		going to do a period before or a period after, only that the comment
16		not be during the meeting so that we have an opportunity to, at
17		least, move through all of the items that are on the agenda
18	MS. YOUNG	I second it
19	MR PHILLIPS	Another discussion on that amendment?
20	MS BRADSHAW	Years ago, community people used to come in here and sit up here
21		like zombies And everyone that, if you had a question about
22		something that the person had this slide up about, you were not
23		allowed to ask questions, make comments or anything I can
. 24		understand that it may get into a timely discussion But, if it is
25		something important then you know, people should have the
26		opportunity to express their concerns about whatever And I don't

15

-

_

.

1		abints about the site has a finite about TO's in start of in st
	•	think that we have the right to limit that If it is stated in the
2		charter, so let it be, and why change that? You may explain things
3		clear enough where no one has a question But, then again, it may
4		be a lot of loopholes in there that needs some discussion
5		
6		So I can understand things being lengthy, but I think that is
7		important. I sit here in many meetings, and by the time at the end
8		of the meeting, even though I wrote down a question, I've flipped
9		through so many pages, I lost the question. And I needed that
, 10		person to go back and set up his display and see. That is kind of
11	MR WILLIAMS	I sort of agree with Ms. Hooks about the comment period being at
12		the end But seeing that I am the community co-chair, I have split
13		feelings I feel that if we do have public comment period, I think
14	·	that we could limit the minutes of the question Then maybe we
15		can possibly get more people in during the time that they are doing
16		the discussion. I think if we limit some of the time we could do it
17		so we get more people in If we just give 5 minutes to one person,
18		we won't be able to let anybody else speak I think that would be
19		wrong So during the meeting if a public person wants to speak, I
20		think that if we could sort of limit the minutes we might could work
21		it in
22	MR PHILLIPS	Point of clarification, then we will recognize Ms Gray The 5
23		minutes is for public comment, and if there needs to be a response
24		from the RAB board, a response. Not necessarily every comment
25		requires a response. Questions require responses. Okay? And that
26		is for the comment and response Ms Gray

16

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

-

•

-

1	MS GRAY	I agree that you know, the comments may be before or after
2	•	However the public that comes to this meeting, as the meeting
3		progresses, they are going to come up with questions that they
4	,	won't remember a month from now. Your idea of the cards is
5		good They can write during the presentations Write on the cards
6		their questions, maybe even give them to Alma. And then if we
7		have the public comment period at the end, each presenter can take
8		his cards that she has kept with their questions on it and give it to
9		the citizen. The citizen can sign the card, put their name on it if
10		they want to have some interaction with the presenter, and do it
11		that way. And that would cut down on the interruptions as we
12		went through the agenda items
13	MR. PHILLIPS	Ms Hooks
14	MS HOOKS:	I really hate to do what I am getting ready to do But, again, I do
		I really hate to do what I am gotting ready to do Day, again,
	MB HOOKS.	-
15	MB HOOKS.	think that the public comment period is critical and the input is
15 16	MB HOOKS.	think that the public comment period is critical and the input is critical to what we are doing over here There may be opportunity
15 16 17	NID TIOOKS.	think that the public comment period is critical and the input is critical to what we are doing over here There may be opportunity to resolve the issue quite easily if you allow a time limitation, so
15 16 17 18	NID TIOOKS.	think that the public comment period is critical and the input is critical to what we are doing over here There may be opportunity to resolve the issue quite easily if you allow a time limitation, so that if you have an item on the agenda and you have people that
15 16 17 18 19	NID TIOOKS.	think that the public comment period is critical and the input is critical to what we are doing over here There may be opportunity to resolve the issue quite easily if you allow a time limitation, so that if you have an item on the agenda and you have people that want to speak, if they are limited to 2 minutes, whatever the
15 16 17 18 19 20	NID TIOOKS.	think that the public comment period is critical and the input is critical to what we are doing over here There may be opportunity to resolve the issue quite easily if you allow a time limitation, so that if you have an item on the agenda and you have people that want to speak, if they are limited to 2 minutes, whatever the magical number is that the board wants to come up with, then they
15 16 17 18 19 20 21		think that the public comment period is critical and the input is critical to what we are doing over here There may be opportunity to resolve the issue quite easily if you allow a time limitation, so that if you have an item on the agenda and you have people that want to speak, if they are limited to 2 minutes, whatever the
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		think that the public comment period is critical and the input is critical to what we are doing over here There may be opportunity to resolve the issue quite easily if you allow a time limitation, so that if you have an item on the agenda and you have people that want to speak, if they are limited to 2 minutes, whatever the magical number is that the board wants to come up with, then they have the opportunity then
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 		think that the public comment period is critical and the input is critical to what we are doing over here There may be opportunity to resolve the issue quite easily if you allow a time limitation, so that if you have an item on the agenda and you have people that want to speak, if they are limited to 2 minutes, whatever the magical number is that the board wants to come up with, then they have the opportunity then Doris, I think that goes back to what you say They haven't
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 		 think that the public comment period is critical and the input is critical to what we are doing over here There may be opportunity to resolve the issue quite easily if you allow a time limitation, so that if you have an item on the agenda and you have people that want to speak, if they are limited to 2 minutes, whatever the magical number is that the board wants to come up with, then they have the opportunity then Doris, I think that goes back to what you say They haven't forgotten about it, because we have moved on to something else.
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 		think that the public comment period is critical and the input is critical to what we are doing over here There may be opportunity to resolve the issue quite easily if you allow a time limitation, so that if you have an item on the agenda and you have people that want to speak, if they are limited to 2 minutes, whatever the magical number is that the board wants to come up with, then they have the opportunity then Doris, I think that goes back to what you say They haven't

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

-

•

1		because we are limiting the comment. Then at the end if you want
2	•	to move back into, as this agenda is set up today, a 15-minute
3		comment session that can be for any items that are not on the
4		agenda. Or any other questions that come up, you can do that as
5		well That allows the critical input My concern is that we are able,
6		because of the time sensitivity with which we are working under, be
7		able to complete those items that we need to. But, by no means do
8		I want to put anything in place that would disallow public
9		comment Yes, it is in the form of a motion. But you've got a
10		motion You've got an amendment.
11	MR. PHILLIPS:	This is back to what Kevin suggested Do we vote on the motion?
12	MR ENGLISH.	Point of order. I guess, aren't we deciding with Kevin's motion that
13		we want the public comment on the front end of the meeting versus
14		the back end of the meeting?
15	MR. PHILLIPS	That is what we are talking about
16	MR ENGLISH	That is pretty pure and simple with whatever amendments may have
17		been added to it, what we are voting on here. Basically the way I
18		understand it is, if we don't vote to have it before, we're basically
19		going to accede to the fact that we are having them afterwards
20	MR PHILLIPS	Okay And Kevin's motion, which he withdrew, which I might ask
21		him to replace, was that it be at the beginning Okay, would you
22		like to reissue that motion?
23	MR CLAY	Have I successfully withdrawn the motion, first?
24	MR. PHILLIPS	Yes, you did.
25	MR CLAY.	I have no other motions If anybody else wants to make one that is
26		fine

٠

18

,

1	MR PHILLIPS:	Mr Ballard
2	MR BALLARD	I would move to have the public comment on non-agenda items at
3		the end of the meeting, and 2 minute per agenda comment allowed
4		at the end or during each agenda item
5	MR PHILLIPS.	At the end of each agenda item?
6	MR. BALLARD	At the end or during, but limited to 2 minutes per agenda item.
7	MS HOOKS	I second.
8	MS PETERS:	I second.
9	MR. PHILLIPS	I have got 2 seconds. Any discussion? Mr English
10	MR. ENGLISH	The only thing I was going to suggest is with 2 minutes it is not
11		going to give us much time. But for the purpose of agenda items,
12		probably, the best comment that can be made during a 2 minute
13		period is like a point of clarification or something that helps clarify
14		the information presented In my opinion it shouldn't go on to
15		question or expound why we did or didn't do something else That
16		is more something that should come at the end of the meeting that
17		should be why didn't you do it this way or whatever It seems like a
18		clarification comment or understanding type question that is more
19		important.
20	MR BALLARD:	I was going to add that if any questions on agenda items that aren't
21		picked up should be written down and handed in That is what the
22		cards will be for, one purpose of the cards, so everyone gets heard.
23		But we go ahead with the business of the meeting done in a timely
24		fashion
25	MR PHILLIPS	Mr. Gray

-

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

.

I think a lot of this could also be accomplished by using the cards as 1 MR. GRAY much as the city council and county commission use them Which 2 is, if someone comes to the meeting knowing their agenda topic and 3 knowing they have a comment about that agenda topic, they 4 5 preregister for it with Alma or with Mondell, or whoever is the 6 appropriate person We can then look—we have 60 people that want to talk about the same topic. If we have 60 people who want 7 to come to our meetings and talk about that same agenda topic, 8 before we approve the agenda, we will have in our hand a series of 9 cards that says this is the hot topic tonight. Let's reshuffle, assign 10 the appropriate public comment time on the front end and not say, 11 oh, we can only talk 2 minutes and everything else at the back. 12 13 So I think if we are looking for alternatives on how to get the 14 maximum public comments, I think you have to have questions that 15 come up in discussion. But if we can control it on the front end by 16 a series of cards where it says I want to talk tonight about the 17 Technical Assistance and Public Participation (TAPP) 18 Subcommittee because I want to tell what a great job this guy did 19 for me, we know that on the front end We have 10 people that 20 want to do that We can adjust the meeting Say we have 10 21 minutes for comments at the end of this meeting because we've 22 gotten preregistration. I can't put a motion on the floor to that 23 ability, but I think there are methods we can use to be within the 24 purview of the charter and within sort of existing rule formats to 25 adjust that public comment where it is needed 26

20

1

ì

;

.

ł

.

1	MR PHILLIPS:	What you are suggesting, Mr. Gray, we could do that as standard
2	`	policy And when we read the agenda at the beginning of the
3		meeting and ask for its approval, we can do that at that time It
4		doesn't necessarily have to be part of the motion that is on the table
5		for discussion right now Is there any further discussion on that
6		motion that has been made?
7	MR CLAY.	Can you clarify the motion that is on the table? I don't understand
8		what we are fixing to vote on.
9	MR. BALLARD	It was, the motion I made was to have the public comment period
10		for non-agenda items at the end of the meeting and allow a 2
11		minute period per agenda item for public comment during the
12		meeting at an appropriate time during each agenda item
13	MR. CLAY.	But no comments prior to the meeting?
14	MR. BALLARD	Right
15	MR PHILLIPS:	Okay. Mr. Brayon
16	MR BRAYON	Point of clarification, that is only one 2 minute comment per agenda
17		item?
18	MR BALLARD	Yes
19	MR BRAYON.	Just, I doubt very seriously if you are going to be able to, as an
20		example, public comment options, 10 minutes, Mr Shawn Phillips.
21		How long have we been on this item?
22	MR. BALLARD;	It is up to the chairs to manage the time
23	MR. PHILLIPS.	We have four more minutes on this topic Ms Peters
24	MS PETERS.	I heard three people make some motions I heard people try to
25		withdraw a motion Can you repeat that motion, because when you
26		have motions on the floor if somebody makes an amendment to the

21

-

1		motion you have to vote on the amendment first And we started
2		making motions, and we ain't finished the motion
3	MR. PHILLIPS.	No, ma'am. The motion currently on the table is for non-agenda
4		items that the public might have comments about to be addressed at
5		the public comment period at the end of the meeting During
6		agenda topics, tonight for example-Groundwater
7		System/Monitoring Well UpdateGreg Underberg, a 2minute
8		period allowed when appropriate during that agenda item for the
9		public to make a comment Typically that may be a question like,
10		"what did you mean by that?" That is a reasonable thing.
11	MS PETERS.	Are you saying we don't have three motions on the floor of some
12		kind?
13	MR PHILLIPS.	No The other ones were withdrawn There is one current one I
14		just stated it. Mr English
15	MR. ENGLISH.	It's not like we're not all involved here on this board. This doesn't
16		limit the board to questions during the agenda topic We are here
17		so we can kind of feel from maybe the question that might come
18		from the audience that there might be concerns about it It is not
19		like we're just skipping over all concern altogether And that
20		concern can still be made at the end of the meeting as part of the
21	_	overall comments
22	MR PHILLIPS	Could I call the question on the motion that is on the table? Those
23		in favor? We have 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 Do I see you Ms Hooks or not?
24		6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 in favor Opposed? One Abstentions,
25		non-voting? We will assume those who didn't vote don't vote. This
26		motion is carried Ms. Hooks

,

22

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

•

٠

-

1	MS HOOKS	Thank you Just a quick comment then, and you all have to bear
2		with me. This is the way we do down at the council. I am a little
3		concerned which is the reason why I voted against it I certainly
4		support public comment per agenda item, but in my mind I was
5		thinking more 2 minutes per person to speak, as opposed to a 2
6		minute window for the entire public comment during agenda items.
7		I am here for the duration. You know, if that requires that I spend
8		30 or 40 extra minutes then so be it. I do think it is critical that the
9		public does have the opportunity to make that comment
10	MR. PHILLIPS.	Thank you. I've got another thing or two here. When you come in
11		could everyone please make sure you sign in on the sign-in sheet,
12		so we can get information out to you that's generated at the
13		meeting. And as you can tell we are going to really try to get the
14		public's comments, and it's going to take for our presenters to pay
15		attention to the time clock I would like to remind all the
16		presenters about that, and ask you to please follow. Today during
17		our Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) Cleanup Team meeting
18		we approved the October and the December BRAC Cleanup Team
19		minutes They are available here tonight. Are they on the back
20		table?
21	MS. MOORE	Yes
22		
23	THE GREATER M	EMPHIS ENVIRONMENTAL

24 JUSTICE WORK GROUP MEETING

-

25

23

.

We should be on The Greater Memphis Environmental Justice 1 MR PHILLIPS. Work Group Meeting. I would like to introduce Dr John Crellin to 2 give a very brief discussion on the status of his work Do you need 3 an overhead projector? 4 It looks like it will be a hassle setting it up. I have about 20 5 DR. CRELLIN handouts here of one-pagers if we can hand them around the room 6 as far as they go. So let's do that and that way we don't have to 7 disturb the projector October 17, 1998-we had a meeting of the 8 Greater Memphis Environmental Justice Work Group, and I was 9 asked tonight to come to just give you a briefing on some of the 10 things that happened at the meeting and basically my agency's 11 recent activity. We have several subgroups within the agency or 12 the agencies within the work group 13 14 The first one is the one that is reevaluating the 1995 Public Health 15 Assessment It is called the Site Review and Update Subgroup 16 Some of the things that we shared at the October 17 meeting were 17 my preliminary conclusions on the site Preliminary conclusions 18 that currently the Memphis site, the contaminants from the 19 Memphis Depot do not represent a long-term health hazard to the 20 people living off the site. That is my preliminary evaluation of data. 21 It needs to go through review by all the people involved in this 22 room or whatever The reasons why I make that statement now, I 23 thoroughly looked at the data and there is a lot of data on this site. 24 Again that is my preliminary conclusion The second thing is that 25 when you look back in time, especially far back, this is a site that 26

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

has been around a long time. I wasn't able to determine whether
there is a health hazard or not There are so many uncertainties so
many data gaps I just can't say. Something could have happened.
Maybe it didn't. I don't know And I don't know of any way right
now of solving that. I've had some suggestions, and we will look
into those

The other thing I wanted to mention was that we talked about was 8 off-site sampling ATSDR, through our own, we have a group that 9 does environmental sampling that will do sampling off-site. We 10 sent out a list to people of proposed areas We got a few 11 suggestions back, but we're going to continue to develop what 12 we're going to do. We will work to develop our protocol as far as 13 what we're going to sample for, where we are going to sample, and 14 how we are going to sample, basically, with all the stakeholders 15 involved in the site which is the Concerned Citizens Committee, 16 EPA, the Depot staff, the members of the RAB So you all know 17 what we're doing. As far as the current status of the document, it 18 has taken me far longer than I ever thought to do it I'm hoping 19 that I will be done in three or four weeks And then it goes out for 20 data validation. And then probably in another four to six weeks a 21 draft document will be ready for people to look at. 22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

23

24

25

26

Let me quickly cover the Health Subgroup. The preliminary, again, we released this information in October The overall cancer rate for the six census tracts immediately around the site were not elevated

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

•

1		There was an elevation in male stomach cancer and in women, in
2	•	endometrial cancer, endometrial and uterus. We are still looking at
3		the data. We have extra information, another year's worth of data
4		we are going to look at. We hope to have a draft in another month
5	DR. KIRK:	What data are you looking at?
6	DR. CRELLIN:	We're looking at the cancer incidence data that the state holds for
7		the city of Memphis and for Shelby County, and we're looking at
8		all, you know, we looked at a wide variety of cancers
9	DR. KIRK:	These documents you are looking at are they complete documents
10		on, does it come from our health department?
11	DR CRELLIN:	They come from the hospital case records. That's the way they
12		pick them up It is from what they tell me, it is pretty complete
13		reporting as far as these things are done. They have 80 or 85 or 90
14		percent of the hospitals The cases that can be reported are
15		reported and that is considered acceptable.
16	MR PHILLIPS	Can I interrupt You have another couple of issues? Are you able
17		to give updates on those or are you really involved in those?
18	DR CRELLIN:	I am not as much involved with this We passed the sheets around
19		or whatever I guess if you have questions afterwards I would be
20		glad to provide as many answers as I can I am much more
21		involved in the first two issues than these others I guess the one
22		thing I would like to just briefly mention the Health Care Subgroup,
23		this is the idea of having the Memphis Health Resources Services
24		Administration (HRSA) Clinic. It's a government-funded clinic
25		that is partly funded by the government that could, people that have
26		concerns about their health and want a place to go for competent

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

26

.

.

.

1		treatment. We are setting up with them through a cooperative
2		agreement, and then through, they will have available, experienced,
3.		environmental physicians from Meharry that will basically train their
4		staff to know what to look for, or how to include environmental
5		types of diseases in their diagnosis.
6	MR. PHILLIPS	We have a question or comment from Ms. Bradshaw?
7	MS. BRADSHAW	John, you said there was no elevated cancer in the community but
8		there was elevated cancer and stomach cancer and ovarian cancer,
9		right? Or cervical cancer?
10	MS YOUNG:	No, uterine
11	MS. BRADSHAW:	You said it wasn't, but those two cancers was elevated?
12	DR. CRELLIN.	I meant that there was an elevation in males for stomach cancer and
13		there is an elevation in women for uterine cancer. Overall for men
14		and women for stomach cancer, there wasn't an elevation
15	MS. BRADSHAW	Also, we didn't ask for just five years We asked for 20, going with
16		a 20 year data We wanted a 20 year data because you can't tell
17		anything about no five years Because cancer, people report cancer
18		every day
19	MR PHILLIPS	Okay Thank you.
20	DR. CRELLIN:	Can I just respond? To do 20 years, we have to do mortality data
21		which is not as good as incidence data And the state of Tennessee
22		now has, the reason we are doing it next year, they now have six
23		years of data, 1990 to 1996 But that is like a lot of states, there is
24		not an extensive incidence data base which is the actual hospital
25		case records You know people getting sick rather than a
26		mortality, of course, is whether people die of that

-

-

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

27

-

-

1	MR PHILLIPS:	Thank you Mr Brayon?
2	MR. BRAYON.	I guess that is my question to you. Was it a six year data collection
3		base? What parameter of time did you collect the data?
4	DR. CRELLIN:	1990 to '95.
5	MR. BRAYON.	How do you know it is elevated or not elevated? What criteria did
6		you use for your case?
7	DR CRELLIN.	We compared it back to the national rates. One of the things we
8		are going to do this second time period is we are going to compare
9		it back to county rates and, also for the city of Memphis because
10		there can be differences in what you are seeing just based on what
11		is typical for an area.
12	MR BRAYON.	Six years And you have got work to do yet?
13	DR. CRELLIN	It should be done in a month. Most of the actual analysis has been
14		done and has been written up
15	MR PHILLIPS	Ms Hooks
16	MS HOOKS	Doris asked the question that I was interested in It was a point of
17		clarification Thank you
18	MS. BRADSHAW.	Could I clear up one more thing on the census tract. That data is
19		not accurate because 38106 goes all the way over to Mapco and as
20		it goes it spreads outward It kind of thins out, the data that we
21		need So it's problems with the whole data that was taken They
22	-	are supposed to be going back and doing it like a half mile radius
23		and capturing what is found in the half mile radius, then I believe up
24		to a mile radius, then a mile and a half to two miles. So it is
25		incomplete
26	MR PHILLIPS	That is a good point

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

28

-

1	MS BRADSHAW:	He didn't bring out those points
2	MR. PHILLIPS	Thank you The next agenda item and we are 20 minutes behind
3		right now No, 10 minutes behind right now. I just checked my
4		watch. Hey, we're getting there.
5		
6	Technical Assistance	e and Public Participation (TAPP) SUBCOMMITTEE
7	Review Scope of W	ork and Contractors to Submit to DOD
8		
9	MR PHILLIPS	Ms. Elizabeth Young. She was on the subcommittee for the TAPP
10		application.
11	MS YOUNG.	Good afternoon $^{\setminus}$ I'm sure that all of you saw all the papers that
12		were lying at your spaces on this table. You are charged with
13		taking those with you and reading them and coming to some
14		conclusion of who it is you want to represent you with this TAPP
15		Grant We've been talking about this for the last five months.
16		Personally, I am a little sick of it. I think we need to bring some
17		closure to it, and it is up to this board to close this project The
18		three items that are in front of you are from Environmental Realty,
19		Hess Environmental Services and the Southern Center for Studies
20		and Public Policy.
21		
22		I asked you all to please call the Depot and let them know if you
23		knew of any environmental agency that was interested in doing the
24		work for the TAPP Grant. Nobody called So I was advised to go
25		to the Yellow Pages. I did secure some names from there, and
26		Hess was the only one who responded Environmental Realty had

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

-

1		already made a physical presentation to us But what I'm going to
2		call their resumes, qualifications and experience, are in your hands
3		now What I would like for you to do is read the statement of
4		work, the scope of work, and see, it is there on the table, and see
5		which one of these companies you think would fit the bill. You are
6		also charged with, by April 29, of calling Alma Black Moore.
7	MS. MOORE:	No. January.
8	MS YOUNG	I'm sorry, January of this year, the 29. Please call Alma and let her
9		know which of these three you wanted Rank them first, second
10		and third. You might like them all, but we need your vote. And if
11		you can do that for us Now, the committee could recommend
12		somebody for you, but that's not why we got together. It is a
13		decision the whole board needs to make. If you would be about
14		your business and do that, we would certainly appreciate it
15	MR PHILLIPS	I would like to add, first of all I would like to recognize the efforts
16		and it is appreciated, believe me. If the board does not, first of all I
17		encourage you to read the statement of work and see what it is that
18		the grant money is proposed to buy you. This is a service that you
19		are buying I would also like to
20	MS MOORE:	It is a draft They can add comments if they want
21	MR PHILLIPS	If you have comments on the services, give those to us by January
22		29 as well Let me just pass along to you what will happen if the
23		members don't take the time to review these statements of
24		qualifications from these three fine companies. If you don't take
25		that time, we send this package up to our contracting folks in
26		Pennsylvania with, you know, potential offerors of the services that

30

-

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

•

1		you are asking for And somebody in Pennsylvania makes the
2	•	decision for you with no input from you. That's what it is. The
3		application is going to go in, and we're going to find somebody to
4		provide these services for you I hope it is who you wanted. That
5		is it.
6	MS. HOOKS	Shawn, might I ask, I understand Environmental Realty didn't do a
7		presentation, but there is not provided in this document a scope of
8		the work that.
9	MS YOUNG	The scope of work is what we developed. You should have it there
10		with yours.
11	MR PHILLIPS	A statement of work for this project is a very brief page and a half
12		document Are there any other comments on this agenda item?
13	MS. HOOKS:	I am not finished Not to belabor this I realize this is the statement
14		of work that we're interested in a company doing. What I am
15		asking is whether or not the presentation has been made by
16		Environmental Realty? Hess, of course, is here and Southern
17		Centers But is there anything in the documentation from them that
18		indicates what they would be willing to do Because if you are
19		following where I am going I might recommend Hess because what
20		they have got in this packet looks good, but I don't know whether
21		. Hess can perform our statement of work or is willing to perform
22		our statement of work And that is the question that I am asking.
23	MR. BALLARD	The statement of work, review it, see what it is submitted and see
24		which one they best fit

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

1	MS. YOUNG	That best fits the scope of work The TAPP Grant says that these
2		are the things these companies must do, and all of them do it But
3		which one of them does it best?
4	MS. HOOKS	I understand that. I understand that. So they are aware of what the
5	MS. HOOKS	statement of work is?
6	MS. YOUNG.	Yes. Every one of them
7	MS HOOKS.	Thank you very much.
8	MR. PHILLIPS:	Let me be frank with you You are one of the three offerors, and I
9		am sure it is inappropriate for you to talk
10	MR. WILBURN:	Jessie Wilburn from Environmental Realty.
11	MR. PHILLIPS	I need to ask the board, let the board know Mr. Wilburn, he's one
12		of the potential offerors He, I am sure, would like to discuss that
13		he can meet the statement of work that the board is asking for. Is it
14		the will of the board to hear that?
15	MR WILBURN	I don't want to speak on that I just want to say something
16	MR PHILLIPS	Would the board like to hear this?
17	MS PETERS:	I don't mind hearing him. That's all right
18	MR PHILLIPS	Those who want to proceed with this? Please go forward
19	MR WILBURN	What she was saying about what you have received before you, to
20		be perfectly honest, I think Ms Black called me and told me she
21		had lost our qualifications. And in my haste to get her something, I
22		didn't know really what she wanted to do with it Now I know she
23		wanted to pass it out and for you all to make a decision In my
24		haste I gave her a qualification that only talked to us as asbestos
25		and lead-based paint abatement people
26		
		•

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

- .

32

-

1		In reality we have a variety of other qualification statements that we
2	•	sent out For instance the one to Firestone is totally different from
3		the one that you have before you, because we try to send them out
4		based on the issues involved. You don't have too much in the one
5	·	on Environmental Realty talking about what they can do for you
6	MR. PHILLIPS	Let me make a comment then, or a suggestion There are two ways
7		that we could get the proper information from Environmental
8		Realty. Their number is on the third page of their package. It is at
9		the very top Individuals from the RAB can contact them and feel
10		them out, if you are interested You could provide us with
11		something updated
12	MR. WILBURN:	I can mail each one of them a copy.
13	MR PHILLIPS	If we could get it by close of business tomorrow, Alma will get it
14		out to the folks
15	MS MOORE	I can give him a list of the RAB members, and he said he can mail it
16		out
17	MR WILBURN	Yes. I can mail each one of them
18	MR. PHILLIPS	Try to get it to us by tomorrow so they can get it on the weekend
19		The first comment was Ms Bradshaw
20	MS BRADSHAW:	Yes. I gave Alma the Southern, what is the name of that group, the
21		group out of Atlanta? And she picked the wrong doctor
22	MS MOORE	Well, let me clarify that That is the guy I met at the National
23		Environmental Justice Advisory Council (NEJAC) meeting The
24		guy who you were referring to was not called. The people who
25		responded with packages to me are all that I can submit. So the

•

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

٠

33

,

~

1		one that responded was the guy, Bob Holmes, that you guys got a
2		copy on The other Bob never did respond.
3	MS. BRADSHAW	We never did get a chance to contact him, a Dr Bullard He's in
4		the same area. I want to try to get that packet in as soon as
5		possible to you because he is well known throughout the United
6		States
7	MR PHILLIPS	Prior to January 29 and I believe it can be included in what we can
8		send up
9	MS BRADSHAW	I thought that we didn't have to pick one, that it could be several.
10	MR PHILLIPS	She did not ask you to pick one. She asked you to rank them.
11		That is all you have to do
12	MR FLYNN	Ranking
13	MR PHILLIPS.	Mr English
14	MR. ENGLISH	The only comment I want to make is that this is sort of becoming a
15		prebid meeting in a sense
16	MR PHILLIPS.	That is extremely touchy
17	MR ENGLISH	It is. I wanted to make sure that the information we pass out to
18		everyone for you to consider is identical If a member has no
19		chance to respond, that they all have a chance a respond to a
20		specialization, if we all call it that We are heading down some
21		shaky paths here
22	MR. PHILLIPS.	Mr. Clay.
23	MR. CLAY.	I'd like to move that we send this back to the committee and let
24		them straighten it out so we don't spend this much time in meetings
25		anymore
26	MR PHILLIPS	Ms Hooks

.

.:

,

.

موي

34

,

-

-

.

1	MS. YOUNG	Ms Hooks?
	MR PHILLIPS	Ms Hooks was up first
2		I am looking. This has just been a cursory examination of the
3	MS. HOOKS	_
4		documents in front of me, but I will tell you there is absolutely no
5		way I can rank anybody or make a representation. What I have in
6		front of me are the qualifications of one company, a client list on
7		another list. This obviously, is too large for me to go through.
8		What I am looking for, if I am being asked to rank so that we can
9		choose, is a proposal from a company that says, based on this
10		Request for Proposal (RFP), this is what we can do. Okay, and
11		then attached to that they can give me their qualifications.
12		-
13		I don't have the expertise, quite honestly, to sit here and look at the
14		qualifications of a company to make a determination that just
15		because this is their client list means that they are going to do what
16		is in our scope And if we are not doing a request for a proposal
17		where we can analyze the differences between company A, B and C
18		based on the scope of work that we're asking, you are not going to
19		get a reply from me, because I am shooting in the dark.
20	MR PHILLIPS	That is your prerogative.
21	MS HOOKS	If sending it back, Shawn I am sorry, I differ rather than it being a
22		prerogative I want to be able to make an educated decision based
23		on what I have before me. Anybody that's sitting here that looks at
24		what they have before them does not have a proposal that suggests
25		that they will carry out the specifics that are in here. And if
26		someone does, I don't want to belabor my point, but please take the

-

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

-

1		time after the meeting to explain it to me, because I am obviously
2	•	missing it Thank you.
3	MR PHILLIPS	I should explain a bit of the TAPP process. Comment from Ms.
4		Peters.
5	MS PETERS:	My concerns are if the proposal that we're going to get the grant
6		for, I think it ought to be clear to each company what we want
7	·	from them, what we expect them to do according to what we can
8		get out of the \$25,000 We don't need to know all of the things
9		that they do We need to know the things that they can do for us
10		according to what we are fixing to deal with in that \$25,000 I
11		think if we would be to the point on that and not want to know
12		everything the company can do since we ain't fixing to hire the
13		company to do everything they can do. Let's just have them, you
14		know, specifiy about putting in there what we need done with the
15		program that we are going to do How will that work?
16	MR ENGLISH:	This statement of work needs to be firmed up, finalized, presented
17		to any and all people that we might want to consider They
18		respond to this The way they respond to this, in other words, I am
19		agreeing with everybody else that said something The way they
20		respond to this is the way we grade them We don't look at the
21		people they serve, the kinds of work they do We want to look at
22		what we want them to do for us If we want to get more specific,
23		that is fine. If we want to leave it like this, that is fine We have a
24		tougher grading job. We give this to them final and say here is
25		what we want you to do, how can you respond to our needs, and
26		we grade them based on that

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

-

36

-

1	MR PHILLIPS	Ms Bradshaw
2	MS BRADSHAW	I think that some of the companies didn't understand what we were
3		talking about technical assistance. People kind of misunderstood
4		that. But what we were looking for, technical assistance from
5		people, was that they had experience in participating with
6		community people and that can give technical assistance to the
7		RAB Now that was my understanding Are you saying that it
8		doesn't matter what they have done, and they have no experience in
9		this particular arena to give technical assistance? Then, you know,
10 ·		I don't see how they can
11	MR. PHILLIPS	Ms Young had a comment
12	MS. YOUNG.	Yes, I sure did I would like to make a suggestion that Alma
13		reword whatever information she sent to these companies and send
14		them the scope of work with something like are you able to provide
15		these services for us, and let's bring this to a close because
16	MR PHILLIPS	Let me explain the contracting procedure as it has to happen. I
17		hope this will explain it to you too, Alma I've heard it go all the
18		way around the table Unfortunately, I should have explained this
19		up front What members of the RAB are going to do when they
20		look at these qualifications, statements of qualifications, from these
21		potential offerors, in essence, 1s going to tell a contracting officer in
22		Pennsylvania only that these guys are on the playing field or not.
23		
24		The request for proposal, your RFP as you referred to, goes out to
25		all the bidders They propose on that scope of work to the
26		contracting officer The contracting officer makes the selection,

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

37

1		and this grant is awarded to that offeror You are doing a
2		preclearance for the contracting officer to know these offerors are
3		on the playing field. I would not lose any sleep over what you are
4		doing here And if we just want to provide the contracting officer
5		offerors out of the Yellow Pages, we can do that little. Ms Moore.
6	MS MOORE.	Please, RAB members, I'm going to make this statement. I wanted
7		to make it because we have three TAPP Subcommittee members
8		Let's be fair We've talked about this We've called you We've
9		asked you for your input, your suggestions of companies and what
10		you want done. And, let's be honest, nobody has submitted that
11		information
12		
13		Ms Young submitted some suggestions. I called these companies
14		and explained that we were working on our scope of work and
15		asked if they were interested This is a draft scope of work. We're
16		asking you for your input. Now, what I'm hearing now is us to go
17		back to the drawing board and get all of this again, and then it will
18		be the same two or three people If we don't get the proposal or
19		the interest from a company, we just can't get anymore companies.
20		So we need everyone to make a suggestion of a name of a company
21		if you have one in mind We've done that. Ms. Young, haven't we
22		done this over and over?
23	MS YOUNG	Yes
24	MS. MOORE	And it's the same people making the suggestions. So what I am
25		saying is those companies, those three people right there, are the
26		only people who have voiced a concern in the Memphis Depot

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

38

-		
1		Restoration Advisory Board. If there are other companies out there
2	•	that you know about, it is your money It's your project I'm
3		trying to be a liaison So if you know about another company, then
4		you can contact them You have that right to forward the
5		information, to go through the procedures But nobody has
6		recommended any companies other than these companies I haven't
7		gotten any information. I called a couple of other companies They
8		didn't even call me back. So that's where we are now
9	MR PHILLIPS:	I don't know who was first Ms Hooks
10	MS. HOOKS:	For clarification purposes, my issue has absolutely nothing to do
11		with who responded My issue has nothing to do with what work
12		has gone before. My issue has nothing to do with the fact that I am
13		one of those that did not call back My issue has nothing to do with
14		anything other than I have been asked to evaluate three companies
15		based on a list of their clients And, I am sorry, Shawn I have to
16		tell you this, a comment that that is my prerogative is certainly
17	ţ	inappropriate. It is my prerogative that I am sitting here I really
18		don't, I don't need that
19		
20		But in order for me to make an intelligent decision to do what I just
21		was asked to do, I have to have something in front of me that
22		makes me know the people that have expressed some interest,
23		whether they came out of the Yellow Pages or they picked up the
24		phone and called, can, in fact, perform this statement of work. And
25		if what I think I heard you tell me was somebody up in
26		Pennsylvania is going to make this decision for us anyway and all

39

-

.

.

-

1		we're doing is saying here are three people we would like you to
2	•	consider, then I don't need that kind of exercise.
3		
4		I need to be able to evaluate whether or not a company can perform
5		a statement of work. Now, are you telling me that the boss up
6		there is getting ready to say, well, that is all very well and good that
7		you all have taken the time to do that, but we are going to choose
8		that company over there? Then I am really busy, and I can focus on
9		some other aspect where my input is valuable
10	MR. PHILLIPS [.]	I apologize to you for that statement about prerogative. That was
11		inappropriate I'am sorry Mr English.
12	MR ENGLISH:	I kind of agree with Ms Hooks to the extent that what we have in
13		front of us here, with these different potential contractors, is
14		varying degrees of information, or quantities of information. And I
15		think it is definitely wrong for us to rank these guys because,
16		basically, from what we've gotten here we can't rank their abilities
17		to do that job for us
18		
19		We need to present to them, and my suggestion is from all our
20		good work, we only got three answers These are them guys Let's
21		go with them. Let's firm this scope up, get them to these guys and
22	-	let them go with it These are the guys showing the interest now
23		Let's go with it and get this thing solidified here the statement of
24		work Anyway that we want to better it, better it, and go with it
25		Let's don't propose any language to anyone Let's decide who we

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

+

.

1		like and let some guy in Pennsylvania propose what he wants to do,
2		and see what we can do from that.
3	DR. KIRK	I'm in total agreement with Ms. Hooks I am too busy to get
4		caught up with something else if we are not able to make decisions
5		If the only thing we do is collect information and send it to
6		someone else to make the decisions, we don't really need to be
7		wasting time My concern, it probably goes a little deeper than this
8		item that we're dealing with right now, but it has something to do
9`		with it.
10		
11		And, I would like to know, see if you can give me a list of all of the
12		minority participation in all of the projects around here Can you
13		get that information for me, the minority participation in all of the
14		projects that we have? I understood that we had somewhere close
15		to a \$100 million dollars that's being spent in this area, somebody
16		said earlier, and I would like to know what type of participation we
17		have with minorities
18		
19	•	And, if it is where I think it is, I know one of the companies that is
20		applying for this, you know, the right to do the work here I dealt
21		with them on another board, on a Memphis city board and two or
22		three other boards where we used these companies. Once this goes
23		to Pennsylvania there, we don't know what criteria they select from.
24		I would like to look at that. And once we find the qualified
25		minorities companies, we ought to bring them in

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

,

41

·Z__

r

,

1	MR. PHILLIPS	I can get an answer to you from your question about minority-
2	•	owned businesses which our contractors are currently using, the
3		Corps of Engineers. I can get that information I will provide that,
4		hopefully, as an attachment to the minutes that go out. Ms
5		Bradshaw.
6	MS BRADSHAW:	I wanted to ask, I feel like some people don't understand what the
7		TAPP is all about I think by them not being able to be here, they
8		will understand that it was for the community to use for technical
9		assistance. This is not for the officials It was for our training,
10		right?
11	MR. PHILLIPS.	For the voting members of the RAB
12	MS. BRADSHAW	Maybe you need to give them the qualifications of the TAPP.
13	MR. PHILLIPS.	I will point back to a few months ago Mr. Mike Dobbs from New
·14		Cumberland, Pennsylvania did a presentation on the TAPP If
15		anybody would like to get a copy of that presentation so they can
16		see what this grant is all about, I encourage you to call Ms Alma
17		Moore She can drop another copy in the mail to you.
18	MR COVINGTON	I just wanted to see if I could get this straight, or get a clarification,
19		on how this contracting is going to be done or how the procedures
20		work in Pennsylvania
21	MR PHILLIPS	Is that a question?
22	MR COVINGTON.	It is just a question for you or for anyone else who can answer that.
23	MR. PHILLIPS	Mr. Covington's question was to try to get some clarification on
24		exactly how the contracting is done, how the contracting officer
25		makes their decision, how this grant is awarded I would suggest,

.

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

.

42

.

,

~

1		with all the conversation on this, I need to do that So do we have
2		any motions to table this discussion until I get that information?
3	MS PETERS	Mr Chairman, could I say something?
4	MR. PHILLIPS:	Actually, Kevin Clay.
5	MR. CLAY.	I just want to say that, to kind of piggyback on Dr Kirk's
6		statement, I think we need a full account of how much minority
7		participation is involved in this project, too A \$100 million dollars
8		is a lot of money being spent, and the fact that we don't get any of
⁻ 9		the business—it ain't right. I'm going to go on record and see how
10		much have we gotten, one percent, one-half of one percent, of that
11		\$100 million dollars? The day is too long to keep stuff the old way
12		It's time to do it right You can always count on me to be the first
13		one to throw a monkey-wrench into the situation But we've been
14		doing wrong It is time for us to come out and do it right.
15		
16		The entire board—from anybody at ATSDR, any contractors they
17		use, any contractors the Defense Depot uses—any contractors the
18		board uses. We need to have some minority participation. I'm not
19		racist, but I am tired of being left out. I want a place at the table I
20		want a place in the line at the bank I want to be involved in it
21		And it is time for everybody in this room You know I'm telling
22		you the truth If you don't, you are racist!
23	MR PHILLIPS:	That is why I jumped right on Dr Kirk's suggestion I can find that
24		information because we do document that
25	MR. CLAY	We also need to, once we get the information, we need to make
26		some adjustments on it.

2

مسية

,

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

43

1	MR PHILLIPS	May I mention this goes back to a concern that Ms Hooks had last
2		year about Dunn Field with dust on the road. I would like to point
3		out that the contractor that got that entire job is S&W. They are a
4		road firm owned by a very respectful man, Mr. Floyd Veasley.
5	MR. CLAY:	Shawn, in the big picture, that man doesn't get one-tenth of one-
6		half of one percent! We're talking about real dollars here, and the
7		issue is on the table. We need to stand up to it If this is not the
8		board to do it, if it is the other board should do it, whoever is
9		awarding the contracts, we need to have some minority
10		participation The day is over with for just calling our friends in to
11		bring the fluorspar, put the dirt in and to shift it from here to there
12		
13		Everybody is getting rich and say we've done something in the
14		community. That ain't working. These folks are out here walking
15		around poor. We ain't got no say-so Telling the board we ain't got
16		no input And we have got to sit here and listen to this stuff I can
17		speak for Kevin. I could be making \$110 an hour somewhere else
18		I'm volunteering my time. And if I am going to be here, I want to
19		have an input. I don't want just to be part of a board with nothing
20		else to say other than yes sir I am through with that
21	MR PHILLIPS	Mr. Brayon
22	MR. BRAYON:	I would like to have a point of clarification as to where we are with
23		the Technical Assistance Public Participation Grant, the TAPP
24		Grant I would propose, I won't put it in a motion until you can
25		clarify this Are we going to send the three people the criteria so
26		that they can return their proposal according to the criteria, and that

3 F

•7

44

•

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

•

1		we can either vote on it or let the committee vote on it or to bring
2	•	end to this procedure? Now, I haven't heard what we are going to
3		đo.
4	MR. PHILLIPS:	If I was to get the contractual procedures clarification that you
5		asked for, how does the process work in terms of the selection
6		committee and the award of the grant I think that will answer that
7		question, but I don't know how that is done off the top of my head
8	MR BRAYON:	I didn't make myself clear. You've got three people that have
9		submitted a proposal for this particular situation, and Ms Hooks
10		has pointed out a very valid problem It is not adequate for us to
11		make a decision. I thought that we could send it back to them with
12		the criteria again, let them resubmit And if they miss the criteria
13		then, forget it
14	MS. MOORE.	That is right
15	MR WILLIAMS	I totally agree with you, Mr Brayon I think that the statement of
16		work should go back out to the people who submitted the
17		applications or the information I think we should send the
18		statement of work back to them I'm saying that I think the work
19		sheet should be sent back out to them to give the people an
20		opportunity to really apply to be the agents for us with the TAPP

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

.

I would be glad to. Can we move on?

Grant and give them the opportunity to really set forth their best

efforts to answer the questions and see if they can meet the criteria

that we're looking for I think that the subcommittee should, if I

can get Ms. Young to maybe consider that, redoing that, I would

appreciate it But I know she's been working very diligently

21

22

23

24

25

26

MS YOUNG

45

۰.

1	MR, PHILLIPS	We do need to move on. We are significantly behind our schedule
2	•	now I would like to table this discussion. Can we table it until
3		next month?
4	MR. BALLARD	No. I had something to say about it. I think it is pretty simple, and
5		I think Jordan already said it. I think Ms Hooks already said it
6		And that is, according to what you said about the contracting
7		procedure, this board will be making no decisions about which
8		contractor gets this work
9		
10		So if we got three names you submit them Then the folks up in
11	·	Pennsylvania send out the RFP with our statement of work They
12		get proposals back, and they do the evaluation If they are making
13		the decisions anyway, why does this board have to go through
14		another iteration of, you know, receiving stuff and saying we like
15		this guy If the official proposal that comes up after the bid goes
16		out says, you know, after that is evaluated and they say, well no,
17		we think this guy is better.
18	MR WILLIAMS.	But to give the other contractors a better opportunity to better
19	MR BALLARD.	Which other contractors?
20	MR. WILLIAMS	Whoever has submitted
21	MR BALLARD	That is three guys, right?
22	MR WILLIAMS:	Well, to give them a better chance of
23	MR BALLARD	They will.
24	MR. WILLIAMS	Of when it goes to
25	MR. BALLARD	They will get a better chance because this stuff won't be considered
26		This statement of work and their three names would go up to

٠

1		Pennsylvania. And the RFP, based on this statement of work,
2	•	would go to them, and they would be invited to submit a proposal
3		for this statement of work to the folks in Pennsylvania. And then
4		they will make that decision See they're not going to get this stuff,
5		right?
6	MR. PHILLIPS:	This sounds like this could be a motion.
7	MR BALLARD.	I move that these three names and this, or a tightened up, statement
8		of work be sent to the folks in Pennsylvania.
9	MR ENGLISH	I have a point of clarification. Are you absolutely sure that it goes
10		to a person in Pennsylvania to make this decision without any input
11		from us?
12	MR PHILLIPS.	No I want to check that out. Mr Brayon
13	MR BRAYON	I understand what Mr. Ballard is saying, but I would not want to
14		attach my name or the committee's name to sending forward
15		somebody that I have no inkling about what they can or cannot do
16		I would like to have input first, even though I don't make the final
17		decision If I send something forward, I want to be able to say I
18		back this person to the hilt
19		
20		Now if you're reasonably assured, Mr Ballard, I would take your
21		word for it, that these people can do the work and are reputable,
22		and I happen to know one of the gentleman in this particular
23		business. I would back him a hundred percent, but I want some
24		clarification You just don't attach your name to somebody chosen
25		out of a yellow telephone book There is too much stuff going on
26		now

.

þ

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

1	MR WILLIAMS	I would like to make a point here. Right now it is about 28 after
2	•	7:00 p.m And I am asking the board at this present time, would
3		someone like to make a motion to continue this meeting or table all
4		of this until the next time because we are embarking on 7:30 p.m.?
5	MS. PETERS:	It is to the point of what you're saying, because I've been talking
6		about this TAPP Grant for three months, and it ought to be coming
7		to some conclusion I don't think you ought to send no three names
8		up there, because they're going to look over that and say you don't
9		know what you're doing no way and then pick somebody. If you
10		would come up to the conclusion of sending one name, I believe it
11		would get more help or something than sending three.
12	MR. WILLIAMS:	May I call a point of order?
13	MS PETERS	I ain't trying to make no motion
14	MR. BALLARD	I had a motion I made the motion
15	MR COVINGTON.	Seconded.
16	MR FLYNN [.]	Vote.
17	MR ENGLISH	Can we not discuss?
18	MR. PHILLIPS.	Suggestion from the chair. We are operating here without the full
19		knowledge of how this process works, because I don't know the full
20		knowledge Let me answer Mr Covington's question It may take
21		asking someone who knows about this TAPP presentation or TAPP
22		process better to come back and give us another presentation.
23		
24		At the very minimum, I would like to speak with the TAPP
25		Subcommittee, because they have the TAPP protocol I would also
26		like to speak, with that protocol in my hand, to a contracting officer

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

1		who is going to make this decision and ask about what can or can't
2		be presented to the RAB and who will or will not request the
3		proposal from a contractor. So may we table this?
4	MR. BALLARD	I withdraw my motion.
5	MR ENGLISH	I would like to say, I agree with your desire to wait and find out
6		really what we can do. I think we really need more information At
7		the same time, regarding the statement of work, I think this part of
8		it can go forward I think this is a good starting point. I think,
9		largely it is a pretty good document. I have one idea that can help
10		you and there may be some more after I have had a chance to look
11		at it a little more \setminus
12		- · · ·
13		But I think we can continue with refining the statement of work,
14		but also in the meantime, wait for a clarification on how this
15		process actually works, because we may be able to work this thing
16		better because we know how it works, and propose to whoever we
17		want lists of people that we like, all of them And if anybody we
18		don't like, we don't send it up So that way their decision is tough,
19		and there is one person for them to pick from that is who they are
20		going to get Just an idea
21	MR. PHILLIPS	Question for presenters and for the board Due to the length of
22		time which we are running, may we take, I will read down through
23		several agenda items to table to the next meeting I would like to
24		table The Environmental Justice Advisory Council Meeting
25		discussion from Ms. Doris Bradshaw who attended that meeting. I
26		would like to table that Also, in the New Business the visit to

.

49

+

•

•

1		Ogden, Utah, that is something coming up later this summer. So I
2	•	could table that until next month The BRAC Cleanup Team
3		update?
4	MR. GRAY:	Under our charter, we're supposed to get a report every month
5	MR. PHILLIPS.	Mr. English, can you tighten up your presentation?
6	MR. ENGLISH.	It is very quick.
7	MR PHILLIPS	And, Kevin, Mr Clay
8	MR. CLAY.	I can do it in half the time, easily.
9	MR. PHILLIPS:	Thank you You are on
10		
11	REPORT ON RAE	B MEMBERSHIP SUBCOMMITTEE
12		-
13	MR CLAY:	We had a meeting I'm one of the members of the membership
14		subcommittee, along with Mr. Truitt, Jim Covington, and I think
15		that is basically the group that participated. We held interviews
16		with three very strong candidates We had Mr Wayne Booker,
17		Ms. Peggy Brooks and Mr Stanley Tyler Quite frankly, we had
18		difficulty coming up with one name, to elevate one name, but one
19		guy did stand out above the other two when we looked at the big
20		picture
21		
22		Mr. Tyler is the person that we submit from the committee to the
23		board to fill the seat that was vacated by Ms McGlown We also
24		felt very strongly that Mr Booker and Ms. Brooks were very good
25		potential candidates for representation on the board And we
26		encouraged them to stay active with the board and, you know,

50

-

,

1	۹.	work with us So I guess the committee goes forward with
2	•	recommending Mr Stanley Tyler to fill the vacancy by Ms. Karen
3		Blanks McGlown
4	MR. PHILLIPS:	Is there a second?
5	MR. COVINGTON:	Second.
6	MR. PHILLIPS:	Is there any discussion on Mr. Tyler being nominated?
7	MR. CLAY:	Mr. Tyler is here, by the way.
8	MR PHILLIPS:	Mr Tyler, would you like to identify yourself?
9	MR. TYLER	Sure. Stanley Tyler.
10	MR PHILLIPS	There is no discussion. Those in favor?
11	RAB MEMBERS.	Aye.
12	MR. PHILLIPS:	Those opposed? Abstentions?
13	MR. CLAY	Shawn, I had one other I'm sorry
14	MR. PHILLIPS	I would like to welcome the new board member Would you like to
15		come up, Mr. Tyler?
16	MR. TYLER	Thank you We will save the formal ceremonies so we can speed
17		the meeting along
18	MR. PHILLIPS	Mr. Clay.
19	MR CLAY	Also, there is another issue that has been brought to my attention
20		about a member who has, I think quit or hasn't officially resigned,
21		but she has missed several meetings. We've made several attempts
22		to contact her for her to give us some feedback as to her intentions
23		And to date we haven't received any feedback
24		
25		It is the board's, the charter pretty much says that all RAB members
26		are expected to attend every scheduled meeting. Members must
	ì	

•

51

-

•

1		contact either the Defense Depot or the Environmental Office if
2	۰.	they are going to miss a meeting or send a representative in if they
3		are going to send one in their stead. Basically, the member in
4		question has not lived up or met any of the basic qualifications to
5		retain membership on the board I just want to present to the board
6		the idea of possibly removing her from the board and opening the
7		board up for a new position. Mr Covington? Mr. Truitt who is
8		not here today.
9	MS. MOORE	Who is the person in question?
10	MR. CLAY.	It is Ms what is her name?
11	MS. MOORE	Veronica Smith \setminus
12	MR CLAY:	Veronica Smith, I'm sorry So, believe me I'm not one to want to
13		remove anyone from the board, but I do think the board in order to
14		be effective must have good, active membership. I'm moving that
15		we open up for a vote for removal or whatever.
16	MR BALLARD	Second the motion
17	MR, PHILLIPS	Was that the motion?
18	MR BALLARD	That was the motion
19	MR PHILLIPS.	And the second, okay Any discussion on that?
20	MR CLAY:	I would like to say she is not here on the board, so it is not that we
21		are forcing her out
22	MR WILLIAMS	Was a certified letter sent?
23	MS MOORE	Yes, it was
24	MR WILLIAMS	Mr Gray
25	MR GRAY.	You answered some of my questions in that discussion We
26		notified her of the jeopardy to her position. Do you remember how

•

1

.

.

4

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

52

•

1		many meetings she attended last year? I know she missed some
2	•	Did she attend any meetings last year?
3	MS MOORE:	Her last meeting, I believe, was June. We sent her a certified letter.
4		I called. I sent a letter. Shawn called. Shawn sent a letter.
5	MR GRAY	So, she missed at least four in a row
6	MS. MOORE.	Right, which the charter states
7	MR PHILLIPS.	Is there any other discussion? All those in favor?
8	BOARD MEMBERS	S Aye.
9	MR. PHILLIPS	Opposed? Motion carried. Kevin.
10	MR. CLAY:	Well, I'd like for us to consider a new member now, if we can. I
11		think we have two very fine candidates available. I think Ms
12		Brooks was selected as probably the next most likely person to be
13		prepared to move on to the board and assist. So I would like to put
14		her name as, move that she be considered for the position just
15		vacated.
16	MS MOORE:	Could you state her full name, please?
17	MR. CLAY	Ms. Peggy Brooks
18	MR. PHILLIPS	Do I have a second on that motion? Discussion?
19	MR. BRAYON	Did you say you have two others, Wayne Booker and Peggy
20		Brooks? We need to consider both or take a recommendation I
21		don't know either.
22	MR CLAY.	Are you moving, I don't know if they want to have another round
23		of discussions, interviews between Ms Brooks and Mr Booker.
24	MS MOORE.	We just interviewed them
25	MR. CLAY	We just interviewed them.

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

,

53

-

1	MR FLYNN.	The nominating committee had her ranked second So they had
2		Mr Tyler number one, Ms Brooks number two and Mr Booker
3		number three
4	MR. PHILLIPS	Did that satisfy your question?
5	MR. BRAYON	Yes. I only heard one name.
6	MR. BALLARD.	Actually, I did. Is this just another community at large member
7		position?
8	MR. PHILLIPS:	Yes By the way, let me remind the government members, I know I
9		raised my hand a second ago, but we are non-voters. Sorry.
10		Discussion, Mr. Covington.
11	MR COVINGTON.	I was on the nominating committee and interviewed all three of
12		those candidates, and she truly is a good person for this job.
13	MR PHILLIPS.	Okay. Any other discussion? We have the motion on the table for
14		Ms. Brooks
15	MR CLAY	Ms Peggy Brooks, yes
16	MR PHILLIPS	All those in favor? Those opposed? Abstentions? Motion carried.
17		Is Ms Brooks, is she here tonight?
18	MS MOORE	No She isn't here tonight
19	MR PHILLIPS	Let me ask you, does she live over on Hays?
20	MR. CLAY	Yes. I'm surprised she's not here But I think for the same reason
21		I was concerned she didn't come
22	MR PHILLIPS	Excellent choices, board She is a fine lady.
23		
24	NEW BUSINESS	
25		,
26	BCT MEETING U	PDATE

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

-

54

.

1	
2	MR.

16

PHILLIPS BCT meeting update Mr English

Listen up. It is going to be quick. Basically, signed minutes We MR ENGLISH 3 went through the action items from the last meeting. These action 4 items are in the December meeting minutes that you have here in 5 front of you I'm not going to go into each one of them. If you've 6 got a question tonight, I will be glad to answer your questions later 7 Brief status update. We did a discussion of the Remedial 8 Investigation (RI) Dorothy Richards gave updates on field work 9 for the RI. They basically are completed. Hill is working on the RI 10 report, and we anticipate the final report out for public comment in 11 the fall The biotreatability study, sod has been pulled. It is 12 undergoing a process, basically, a bench-scale study The BCT 13 anticipates reviewing a progress report on the effectiveness in 14 February 15

The proposed 1999 Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis (EE/CA) 17 was basically about the lead-based paint removal project at the 18 former military housing scheduled to begin February 9th and will be 19 completed in April That is housing. Excuse me. Pardon me 20 Lead-based paint removal project at the former military housing 21 was discussed by Kurt Braun The EE/CA for the proposed EE/CA 22 for 1999 centered on the early removal project at the former 23 sandblast and paint booth area, that is being prepared right now 24 We anticipate the EE/CA and the action memorandum out for 25 public review out this summer. 26

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

55

-

1	·	
2	t.	We also discussed USGS role Some of us like United States
3		Geological Survey (USGS) We think they ought to continue We
4		think they have a lot of credibility. We decided that they would
5		continue to be involved with the daily review and provide more
6		objectivity to our work here The last item-OH Materials
7		(OHM/IT Remediation Services) indicated that they would be in
8		the neighborhood west and northwest of Dunn Field sampling some
9		of those monitoring wells in those areas They will be there at the
10		end of next week or the first part of February. So if you see people
11		out there, you will know what is going on
12	MR. PHILLIPS:	We were also following that with some —Alma always does flyers
13		that we hand out door to door letting folks know what we are
14		doing in the neighborhood
15	MR. ENGLISH.	That's it
16	MR PHILLIPS	I need to put a question to the board prior to Mr Underberg who is
17		doing the groundwater system update We are at—we are beyond
18		the end of the regular scheduled meeting of the RAB Would the
19		RAB consider continuing the meeting for this presentation? We
20		have to vote on that. It is roughly a 25 minute presentation. So be
21		aware of that.
22	MR ENGLISH.	Can we give a real brief statement to them what this is about, so
23		they will kind of know what they are going to wait to hear later?
24	MR. UNDERBERG	We are going to present an overview of the groundwater
25		characterization work We're going to talk about the, provide the
26		data that we collected in October with some of the wells and talk

.

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

56

1		about the performance of the extraction, the pumping system at
2		Dunn Field. Then, I've got an animation from the Army Corps of
3		Engineers Waterways Experiment Station (WES) model to
4		demonstrate how that system works. The whole presentation will
5		take roughly about 20 minutes
6	MR. PHILLIPS	So we are talking about a half an hour, probably.
7	MR. WILLIAMS	And we still have the public comment period that we must get in.
8	MR PHILLIPS.	The choices are public comment period now, and that extends the
9	•	meeting for 15 more minutes That is roughly a little bit after 8.00
10		p m, and no groundwater presentation Greg can make his
11		presentation next month. Or, to have him do this, have the public
12		comment period, puts us here until about 8.30 p m So if any RAB
13		member would like to make a motion on which way we go? Mr.
. 14		English.
15	MR ENGLISH	I move that we allow Greg to do his presentation He is prepared
16		for it He's ready He's here now I know it will take things
17		longer, and I am willing to accede to other people's wishes if they
18		don't want to do it But I just think we need a motion on the table
19		to vote yes or no on it I propose we let him quickly go through his
20		presentation, hold questions to a minimum, if possible, and then
21	MS HOOKS	Second
22	MR. PHILLIPS.	Discussion?
23	MR BALLARD:	Caveat, the only discussion is what we talked about earlier. This is
24		a story. Let him tell it, then ask questions.
25	MR. PHILLIPS	Okay. Mr Underberg's presentation
26	MS HOOKS.	You have a motion on the table.

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

_

57 .

1	MR. PHILLIPS	Let's take a vote Extend the meeting and have the presentation,
2		those in favor?
3	RAB MEMBERS	Aye.
4	MR. PHILLIPS.	Those opposed? We're going to extend the meeting. Greg is going
5		to make his presentation We will take a 5-minute short break.
6		
7		(Whereupon, a brief break was taken in
8		the meeting)
9		
10	MR. PHILLIPS.	Mr Underberg, his presentation on the groundwater system that
11	· .	was recently installed, has a real quick update on the ground water
12		program Don't tell me technical difficulties. Order of business
13		prior to getting the projector worked out here, we have not
14		solicited yet for a volunteer from the RAB, a private citizen, to
15		come to the February BRAC Cleanup Team meeting Do we have
16		any volunteers for that? Oh by the way, Ms. Bradshaw came to
17		today's meeting, and is there anything you would like to say about
18		how today's meeting went? Was it informative to you?
19	MS. BRADSHAW	In a way I took a lot of notes But, I haven't gotten a chance to
20		go back over them, since it was today and everything But it was
21		informative. I still have some questions
2 2	MR. WILLIAMS.	Since we are having technical difficulties, could we go on to the
23		public comment period and come back to this Does anyone have
24		any public comments?
25	MR PHILLIPS	I appreciate the patience of this board, especially tonight It is not a
26		very good way to start 1999, is it? But we'll get better.

,

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

-

58

•

1	MR WILLIAMS:	I would like to know if anyone of the community or anyone else
2		have any public comments? Can we call it to order? Okay, Mr
3		Bradshaw
4	MR BRADSHAW:	Yes, I got, because the last meeting was adjourned so abruptly and
5		everything, I didn't get a chance to get my Christmas wish list in.
6		And, as far as the groundwater and the surface water runoff and
7		everything, we got two or three outstanding, what I call legal
8	,	issues, because we heard a lot of things about the experts come in
9		and explain things
10		
11		But we haven't had any attorneys from Agency for Toxic
12		Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), Centers for Disease
13		Control (CDC), Environmental Protection Agency (EPA),
14		Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation (TDEC),
15		or anybody to come here and explain the law to us. Because, if my
16		memory serves me correct, if that fluvial aquifer was contaminated
17		by the Defense Depot, that is a violation of the Safe Drinking Water
18		Act. And I wanted that on my wish list, because you all responded
19		so quickly to my other issues about the national security thing, I
20		want some type of written legal response to why the Defense Depot
21		can break the laws and everything, and black businessmen in the
22		community are being criminally prosecuted.
23	MR PHILLIPS:	This is a question that you might be able to answer.
24	MR BRADSHAW	And, another point, I feel very uncomfortable with people that are
25		in charge of enforcing criminal and civil laws being on the RAB
26		board For instance, EPA, TDEC and several other regulatory

-

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

*،

59

1		
1		agencies are solely responsible for prosecuting criminal activity as
2	`	far as the Depot is concerned. And because of the Federal Facilities
3		Agreement-where I won't go into any conspiratorial theories or
4		anything—but, because of the Federal Facilities Agreement, these
5		agencies have already made agreement that no kind of legal action,
6		evidently, would be taken against the Defense Depot
7		
8		And, as far as the Tennessee Code Annotates, Title 68-212-101, et
9		seq. (unclear word) it specifically states this is a criminal and a civil
10		offense to deposit any kind of pollutants into the waters of the state
11		of Tennessee And, I have called TDEC, left messages on the
12		answering service and everything, and I haven't got any response on
13		how or why the Depot doesn't have to comply with federal and
14		state laws And, I need some kind of written verification for this
15		That is all.
16	MR WILLIAMS.	Anyone else have any comment?
17	MR. PHILLIPS	Folks, earlier today Mr. Underberg put this machine up on the wall
18		in my office, and it worked I don't think it is going to work
19	MS MOORE.	I have one comment before you adjourn. There is, I noticed, a
20		notice in the paper today maybe some of you saw it The Naval
21		Base has a RAB now Its meeting is Tuesday night, and I'm going
22		to go to that to observe I will give you some feedback at next
23		month's meeting
24	MR ENGLISH:	They've had that
25	MS. MOORE	I've never seen the ad, but it is in today's paper
26	MR WILLIAMS	May I get a motion to adjourn the meetings?

٠

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

60

-

,

1	MS YOUNG:	A motion
2	MR PHILLIPS	Those in favor?
3	RAB MEMBERS	Aye
4	MR PHILLIPS:	Those opposed?
5	MR. UNDERBERG:	We will have a repaired machine next month.
6		
7		(Whereupon, at 8:05 p.m. the meeting was
8		adjourned)
9		
10		
11		
		NEXT MEETING:
		Thursday, February 18, 1999
		6:00 p.m.
		The Memphis Depot
		Conference Room
-		2163 Airways Boulevard
		Memphis, TN

*

•

. •

4

•

~

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

.

.

<u>Attendance List</u> <u>Restoration Advisory Board Members</u>

.

Mr Shawn Phillips	Facility Co-Chair
Mr Mondell Williams	Community Co-Chair
Mr Dave Bond	Citizen Representative
Mr. John Garrison	Citizen Representative
Mr. Stanley Tyler	Citizen Representative
Mr Jordan English	Tennessee Department of
	Environment and Conservation
	(TDEC)
Dr Cleo Kirk	Shelby County Commission
Mr. Kevin Clay	Citizen Representative
Mr Carter Gray	Memphis/Shelby County
	Health Department
Ms Johnnie Mae Peters	Citizen Representative
Mr Eugene Brayon	Citizen Representative
Mr Turpin Ballard	Environmental Protection
	Agency (EPA)
Ms Janet Hooks	Memphis City Council
Mr James Webb	Memphis Light, Gas & Water
	(MLG&W)
Ms Elizabeth Young	Citizen Representative
Ms. Terri Gray	Citizen Representative

62

Restoration Advisory Board Members-continued

-

Mr Jim Covington

•

Ms. Doris Bradshaw

*

Memphis Depot Redevelopment Corporation (DRC) Environmental Group Representative (DDMT-CCC)

Others in Attendance

Mr. Greg Underberg	CH2M Hill
Mr. John DeBack	Site Manager/Base Transition Office
Mr Steve Offner	OHM Remedial Services Corp
Ms. Dorothy Richards	Corp of Engineers
Mr John Crellin	ATSDR
Mr. Mark Starnes	Weston
Mr. Jessie Wilburn	Environmental Realty
Ms Alma Black Moore	Frontline
Mr. Terry Flynn	Frontline
Ms Denise Cooper	Memphis Depot Caretaker
Ms Betty Mills	Citızen
Ms. Alma H Black	Citizen
Mr Elroy L. Black	Citizen
Kurt Braun	Corps of Engineers
Mr. Everett Humphreys	Citizen
Ms Thelma Nichols	Citizen
Ms. Katrina Hamm	EPA
Mr Russell Ray Anderson	Citizen

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

-

•

.

Others in Attendance-continued

/

Ms. Barbara A Warr	Citizen
Ms Bobbie Lyles	Citizen
Ms. Janice Smith	Citizen
Ms Margaret Curry	Citizen
Mr. Kenneth Bradshaw	Citizen

-

.



542

TÔ.	All Recipients of the January 21, 1999 Restoration Advisory Board (RAB)
FROM [.]	Minutes Alma Black Moore Alma Black Moor Community Relations Specialist
	Community Relations Specialist
DATE:	March 18, 1999
SUBJECT	Corrections to the January 21, 1999 RAB Minutes

The following corrections were made to the January 21, 1999 RAB minutes The corrected minutes will be placed in the Information Repositories The pages and line numbers are as follows

Page 34, line 3 Page 43, lines 9 and 13 Page 44, lines 8 and 9

3

.-

Copies of those pages are attached.

1		one that responded was the guy, Bob Holmes, that you guys got a
2		copy on The other Bob never did respond.
- 3	MS. BRADSHAW	We never did get a chance to contact him, a Dr Bullard. He's in
4		the same area. I want to try to get that packet in as soon as
5		possible to you because he is well known throughout the United
6		States.
7	MR. PHILLIPS.	Prior to January 29 and I believe it can be included in what we can
8		send up.
9	MS BRADSHAW:	I thought that we didn't have to pick one, that it could be several.
10	MR. PHILLIPS:	She did not ask you to pick one. She asked you to rank them.
11		That is all you have to do
12	MR FLYNN	Ranking.
13	MR PHILLIPS	Mr English
14	MR ENGLISH	The only comment I want to make is that this is sort of becoming a
15		prebid meeting in a sense
16	MR PHILLIPS:	That is extremely touchy
17	MR ENGLISH	It is I wanted to make sure that the information we pass out to
18		everyone for you to consider is identical If a member has no
19		chance to respond, that they all have a chance a respond to a
20		specialization, if we all call it that We are heading down some
21		shaky paths here
22	MR PHILLIPS	Mr. Clay
23	MR. CLAY:	I'd like to move that we send this back to the committee and let
24		them straighten it out so we don't spend this much time in meetings
25		anymore
26	MR PHILLIPS	Ms. Hooks

•

:

٠

1. pm

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

-

34

٠

1		with all the conversation on this, I need to do that. So do we have
2		any motions to table this discussion until I get that information?
3	MS PETERS	Mr. Chairman, could I say something?
4	MR PHILLIPS.	Actually, Kevin Clay.
5	MR. CLAY	I just want to say that, to kind of piggyback on Dr. Kirk's
6		statement, I think we need a full account of how much minority
7		participation is involved in this project, too. A \$100 million dollars
8		is a lot of money being spent, and the fact that we don't get any of
9		the business-it ain't right. I'm going to go on record and see how
10		much have we gotten, one percent, one-half of one percent, of that
11		\$100 million dollars? The day is too long to keep stuff the old way
12		It's time to do it right You can always count on me to be the first
13		one to throw a monkey-wrench into the situation. But we've been
14		doing wrong It is time for us to come out and do it right.
15		
16		The entire board-from anybody at ATSDR, any contractors they
17		use, any contractors the Defense Depot uses—any contractors the
18		board uses. We need to have some minority participation I'm not
19		racist, but I am tired of being left out I want a place at the table I
20		want a place in the line at the bank I want to be involved in it
21		And it is time for everybody in this room You know I'm telling
22		you the truth If you don't, you are racist!
23	MR PHILLIPS	That is why I jumped right on Dr. Kirk's suggestion. I can find that
24		information because we do document that
25	MR. CLAY:	We also need to, once we get the information, we need to make
26		some adjustments on it.

٠

Restoration Advisory Board...January 21, 1999

•

•

May I mention this goes back to a concern that Ms. Hooks had last 1 MR. PHILLIPS: year about Dunn Field with dust on the road I would like to point 2 out that the contractor that got that entire job is S&W. They are a 3 road firm owned by a very respectful man, Mr. Floyd Veasley. 4 Shawn, in the big picture, that man doesn't get one-tenth of one-5 MR CLAY half of one percent! We're talking about real dollars here, and the 6 issue is on the table. We need to stand up to it If this is not the 7 board to do it, if it is the other board should do it, whoever is 8 awarding the contracts, we need to have some minority 9 participation The day is over with for just calling our friends in to 10 bring the fluorspar, put the dirt in and to shift it from here to there. 11 12 Everybody is getting rich and say we've done something in the 13 community That ain't working. These folks are out here walking 14 around poor We ain't got no say-so. Telling the board we ain't got 15 no input And we have got to sit here and listen to this stuff. I can 16 speak for Kevin. I could be making \$110 an hour somewhere else 17 I'm volunteering my time And if I am going to be here, I want to 18 have an input I don't want just to be part of a board with nothing 19 else to say other than yes sir I am through with that 20 21 MR PHILLIPS Mr Brayon I would like to have a point of clarification as to where we are with 22 MR BRAYON the Technical Assistance Public Participation Grant, the TAPP 23 Grant. I would propose, I won't put it in a motion until you can 24 clarify this. Are we going to send the three people the criteria so 25 that they can return their proposal according to the criteria, and that 26

9

44

