# Defense Logistics Agency THE MEMPHIS DEPOT RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD

Agenda
Thursday, January 18, 2001, 6:00 p.m.
Hamilton Elementary School
1378 Ethlyn Avenue
Memphis, Tennessee 38106

Welcome and Introductions

3 min.

Mr. Kevin Clay Community Co-Chair

Review and Approve January Agenda

5 min.

Mr. Kevin Clay

Review and Approve September and

October RAB minutes

**Old Business** 

Community RAB Housekeeping Issues

5 min.

Mr. Kevin Clay

**New Business** 

**Environmental Program Update** 

30 min.

Mr. John DeBack
Base Transition Coordinator
The Memphis Depot

**BCT Update** 

10 min.

Mr. Turpin Ballard Environmental Protection Agency

**RAB Comment Period** 

15 min.

Mr. Kevin Clay

**Public Comment Period** 

15 min.

Mr. Kevin Clay

#### ADJOURN MEETING

CWM Weekly Briefings – 10:00 a.m.

<u>Wednesdays</u>—January 24, 31

February 7, 14, 21, 28

The Memphis Depot Community Outreach Room
2163 Airways, Building 144

## **MEETING MINUTES**

# The Memphis Depot

# **Restoration Advisory Board**

January 18, 2001

## **Hamilton Elementary School**

## 1378 Ethlyn Avenue, Memphis, Tennessee

The Restoration Advisory Board (RAB) meeting was held at 6:00 p.m. on January 18, 2001, at Hamilton Elementary School located at 1378 Ethlyn Avenue. The attendance list is attached.

#### WELCOME AND INTRODUCTION

) 1	MS. MOORE:	Good evening everyone. Can I have your attention everyone, please?
2		We're about to get started. To those of you who have been to RAB
3		meetings before, you know I'm normally not the one doing this. So, let me
4		open and welcome everyone to the Memphis Depot's first Restoration
5		Advisory Board meeting for 2001 here at Hamilton Elementary School.
6		
7		I have to say there is a Hamilton Middle School. There is a Hamilton
8		High School. Hamilton Middle School is there (indicating), and Hamilton
9		High School is on Person. I'm a graduate of the last class of the middle
10		school location, which was the high school back in the early '70s.
11		
12		I would like to thank Mr. Michael Hawkins and ask him to please stand.
13		He's the principal of Hamilton Elementary School, and I would like for us
14		to give him a hand for taking time out of his busy schedule. I would also
15		like to say that the Memphis City Schools does not really charge us
16		anything for having these meetings when we go into the community at the

schools. We have a minimum fee for the building engineer. It's a very big sacrifice for Mr. Hawkins to take time to stay here with us tonight while we conduct our meeting.

As I said earlier, this is Hamilton Elementary School. The girls and boys bathrooms or lavatories are right outside the door to the right, (indicating) and there is a sink, soap and paper towels right outside should you have to leave out of the meeting.

There are refreshments on the table. We ask that you please sign in. We have a separate sign-in sheet for the Restoration Advisory Board members.

We have Ms. Hattie Chism sitting in tonight for Dr. Cleo Kirk. I must tell the RAB that Dr. Kirk called today. He wanted everyone to know that he's almost well, and he is looking forward to attending the RAB meetings and onto a great start in 2001. Ms. Chism, welcome, and thanks for sitting in for Dr. Kirk.

We also have G.C. Glance representing Jim Covington and the Depot Redevelopment Corporation (DRC) tonight. We would like to thank you, G.C., for taking time out to come and sit in for the DRC.

We have our regular RAB members seated around the table, and I would also like to announce some sad news and explain why I'm sitting here. Kevin Clay came by a little bit earlier this evening and resigned from the RAB. Kevin Clay was the Memphis Depot Restoration Advisory Board Community Co-chair. We just found out just minutes ago when he turned in his letter of resignation. I'm a little bit in shock right now. So I'm sitting in only with the pardon, or the acceptance of the RAB. If you will let me just sit here with John DeBack to get through tonight's meeting,

we'll have to discuss that issue later. We don't have a quorum here tonight. So I don't know what you can vote on, but we will be in touch with you to discuss that issue a little further.

With that, I would like to present to you and introduce to some new people who are present here, and we do have some new community members here. Oh, I would like to recognize Ms. Lettie Parker. Ms. Lettie Parker saw the ad for the Restoration Advisory Board meeting in the paper and called and said she has some interest in some property near the Depot. Ms. Parker said that she would come, and she did come tonight. She's a new community member in attendance. Let's give her a hand for her participation here the RAB.

Ms. Parker also just said, "this is pastor." She told me earlier that her pastor is Reverend Rogers -- is that correct - Walker? Could you please stand? And your church is in the Depot area, sir? Yes, they have property on Ball Road. Welcome, Reverend Walker. We appreciate your coming out, and especially in the weather tonight, the inclement weather.

John DeBack has been at the Memphis Depot and came to be the Base Transition Coordinator -- is that correct -- for the Memphis Depot when the facility closed in 1997. As you may know or you may not know, Shawn Phillips resigned from the DLA (Defense Logistics Agency) and moved on with the Corps of Engineers January 2. When Shawn left, we needed to have a BRAC (Base Realignment and Closure) Environmental Coordinator, so now our Interim Base Environmental Coordinator is John DeBack, who has been here all along.

John is very familiar with the program and the environment cleanup steps at the Memphis Depot. He knows the community. He knows the RAB members. He knows several of the community members that have been in

)		regular attendance at the RAB meetings, and he also knows the contractors
2		for the Depot.
3		
4		So, let's welcome John DeBack. It's his first meeting tonight. So we're
5		kind of like going to improvise. Just think, Shawn went to the Corps,
6		Kevin just resigned, and this is John's meeting. So let's give ourselves a
7		hand before we start this meeting, and we're going to start it off with
8		enthusiasm. Thank you. Now, let me see what's next. John, I want you to
9		say a few words, and then we'll get back to approving the agenda.
10	MR. DEBACK:	I just want to take a second here and thank everybody for coming out
11		tonight. It's really a bad night, and we have been looking forward to
12		having a RAB meeting in this neighborhood for some time, and I'm glad
13		we're finally here.
14		
15	REVIEW AND AF	PPROVE JANUARY AGENDA
5		ă.
17	MS. MOORE:	With that, next RAB members, we have the review of the January agenda.
18		Have you had time to look at it? May I have a motion, please?
19	MR. TRUITT:	So moved.
20	MS. PETERS:	Second the motion.
21	MS. MOORE:	Thank you. The agenda has been moved and seconded for tonight's
22		meeting.
23		
24	REVIEW AND AF	PROVE SEPTEMBER AND OCTOBER 2000 RAB
25	MINUTES	
26		
27	MS. MOORE:	Also, we have September and October RAB minutes. Mr. Ballard?
28	MR. BALLARD:	Opportunity for discussion?
29	MS. MOORE:	Sure.
30	MR. BALLARD:	Historically and typically we had a RAB at the end of the meeting we've

had a RAB Comment Period, then followed by a period where the

[بن		community members in attendance could make comments. Typically,
2		what has happened is that we go along and then have to extend the
3		meeting in order to get the community members their time. And we as
4		RAB members are, in a sense, obligated to be here every meeting, but the
5		community members come, you know, to attend. They come seeing an
6		agenda, expecting to be able to make any comments that they bring at a
7		certain time. I think that it would be at least it would be courteous if
8		we were to now or in the future flip-flop the order of comments. After
9		the final agenda item technical item is presented, let the community
10		members make any comments they would like before we have a RAB
11		Comment Period. That way they could make their comments, and if they
12		are pressed for time, they could leave. That's just a suggestion from me.
13		Any discussion is fine.
14	MS. PETERS:	I think that's a good suggestion.
15	MR. TYLER:	Is that a motion?
.6	MR' BALLARD:	That's a motion to change this agenda and subsequent agendas.
17	MR. TYLER:	Second.
18	MS. MOORE:	It's been moved and properly seconded that we move the Public Comment
19		Period before the RAB Comment Period to allow the community members
20		the opportunity to have their comments heard prior to further discussion
21		from the RAB members. Thank you for your suggestion, and it's well
22		taken.
23	MR. BALLARD:	We can't vote?
24	MS. MOORE:	Oh, we really can't vote, but we can do it tonight because of the seconding
25		from the RAB members that are present. So we will do it just for tonight.
26		I can't really get into community RAB Housekeeping issues at this point.
27		We will have to have further discussions with the community RAB
28		members, and the only items that were on the table were the vote on a
29		RAB community member seat. The RAB subcommittee members for
30		membership met, and they had a name to submit to the community RAB

members, but we do not have a quorum of community RAB members present tonight to vote on that person. So that will have to be tabled. 3 So keep that in mind, and hopefully there will be a quorum for the 5 February meeting. There will be further written correspondence to the RAB members about the submission of that name. Now we have another 6 community RAB position available, as well as a discussion on a 7 nomination for a new co-chair. All of that cannot take place until there is 8 a quorum of community RAB members, of which we currently have -- we 9 had 12. Now it's 11. So we'll have to discuss that when we have more 10 community members present. Mr. Brayon? 11 MR. BRAYON: 12 Do we have a name and a biography of that particular person that we're supposed to vote on? I say in the interim period if you do, if you could 13 14 give us that information, we can go through the voting procedure. 15 MS. MOORE: Will you help me count? Because I'm still in a little shock, Mr. Brayon. 6 Help me out a little bit. The community members -- there used to be 12, and a few minutes ago Kevin resigned, making it 11. So two-thirds of 11 17 is seven. We've got Mr. Tyler, Ms. Peters, you and Mr. Truitt. We have 18 19 four community RAB members. So you can't really vote. 20 21 Kevin Clay was prepared to present a bio. Betty Mills was elected as the community RAB member to be voted upon tonight. But we do not have 22 enough members to vote. So we'll have to do that at the February meeting, 23 but I would like for Betty Mills to stand so the RAB members can know 24 who she is. She's here tonight. That's Betty Mills (indicating), community 25 26 RAB members. The community RAB subcommittee interviewed three 27 people, and this was the first choice of the RAB subcommittee members. In February that will be brought to the table. 28 29 There is an evaluation form that I sent out to the community members that 30 attended the RAB administrative meeting in December, and I've gotten

		three evaluations back. So, if you haven't mailed in your evaluation,
2		please do so.
3		
4		And the next item is the September and the October minutes. You had
5		two months worth of minutes to read, and I wonder if everybody has had
6		time to read those minutes. If so, I would like a motion to accept or
7		amend those minutes.
8	MS. PETERS:	Madam Chair?
9	MS. MOORE:	Yes, Ms. Peters?
10	MS. PETERS:	I move that we accept the minutes for September and October 2000.
11	MR. TRUITT:	Second.
12	MS. MOORE:	The minutes for September and October 2000 have been approved and
13		seconded and will stand. Those minutes and will be placed in the
14		Information Repositories that are located at the Memphis Depot, Cherokee
15		Library, the Memphis and Shelby County Health Department and at
5		Hillview Neighborhood Network Center on Alcy Road should anyone in
17		the community like to view any of the information on the environmental
18		cleanup programs at the Memphis Depot. You can feel free to call me,
19		and I will be glad to make arrangements for you to come to the Depot or
20		visit any of the off-site locations.
21		
22	<b>NEW BUSINESS</b>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
23	ENVIRONMENTA	L PROGRAM UPDATE
24		
25	MS. MOORE:	Next we have the Environmental Program Update by Mr. John DeBack.
26		Also, if you will look in your stack of information, we have a list of the
27		upcoming CWM meetings. Those CWM briefings still go on at 10:00
28		a.m. on Wednesdays in the Community Outreach Room. Make it your
29		business this year, RAB members, to try to come to the Community
30		Outreach Room and visit. Mr. Truitt?
l.		

MR. TRUITT

Question: With Carter Gray moving, is someone going to replace him?

9,	MC MOODE.	
)1	MS. MOORE:	Yes. Carter has mentioned to us two or three names of people that have an
2		interest in sitting in that specific seat on the RAB. That person has not
3		been officially named, but we will have a representative from the
.4		Memphis & Shelby County Health Department. Please make note, I think
5		I mailed this out to everyone. (indicating)
6	MR. TRUITT:	Yes.
7	MS. MOORE:	This was a very nice article about Carter in the paper (indicating), and we
8		wish him well. He really did serve us well when he was a member of the
9		Memphis Depot RAB. There is something else I wanted to mention. That
10		was it.
11		Okay, John is going to give his presentation.
12		
13		When you look through your information, as John sets up, there is a draft
14		February 15 agenda. Of course, we just named several things that we'll
15		have to bring for discussion in February that are not listed there, but if you
6		have any other suggestions or would like to see any other information on
17		the February agenda, please give me a call and let me know what you
18		would like to add. We're in the process of planning the agendas
19		throughout 2001. We do have technical presentations planned according
20		to the project schedule, and we will be submitting those to the Restoration
21		Advisory Board members probably just in time for the meeting February
22		15. We're going to take it back to the "J" Street Cafe, and we look forward
23		to seeing you there, but give me a call if you have anymore to add to the
24		agenda for February. Thank you.
25	MR. DEBACK:	Can y'all hear me? Good. Are we going to dim the lighting? Okay, again,
26		I want to welcome everybody for being here tonight. And, just briefly,
27		we're going to cover where we are at in the CERCLA (Comprehensive
28		Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act) process and tell
29		you what voluntary early removal actions we've taken at the site. We'll
30	***	show you where we are in the process time wise of CERCLA, and discuss
10		•
<i>)</i> 1		what future activities we have for the next two years and beyond that.

You may or may not know that the CERCLA process is essentially a six-step process. We are progressing through this process on the Main Installation. We are at the fourth step, actually, almost in the fifth step. We have finished our Feasibility Study. The Proposed Plan has been put out for public comment, and the Record of Decision (ROD) is being prepared.

At Dunn Field we continue on in the Remedial Investigation (RI) phase, the third step of the CERCLA process. What we have done so far -- these early investigations and activities that we took starting back in 1982 up through the early '90s -- led to the site being put on the National Priorities List (NPL) and into some groundwater remediation at Dunn Field which we -- early in '98 we actually turned on the pumps and started pumping water out of the ground up there to eliminate the spread of contamination from Dunn Field out towards the -- out in the outfield. This year we also completed our Remedial Investigation report for the Main Installation. These are the other activities that we completed this year. By the way, if anybody has any questions, I have no aversion to you. Just raise your hand, and we'll answer the questions as we go through or I will take questions after.

What do we have in the future? In the near future, we plan to complete the remedial field work at Dunn Field -- excuse me -- the field work and the Remedial Investigation. We'll finalize the Main Installation Record of Decision, which means we're going to get it signed and approved through the regulators, and it also includes the response to the public comments. We're going to begin step five, which is the design for those actions that are identified in the Record of Decision for final cleanup at the Main Installation.

)ı		In 2001 we will also complete the soil cleanup on the Main Installation.
2		There's some groundwater activities that will go beyond 2001, but all of
3		the soil activity on the Main Installation will be completed in this next
4		year. The CWM removal: which most of you are aware of, we're coming
5		near the end of that process yes, sir?
6	MR. BRAYON:	Mr. DeBack, just for clarification, because some people haven't been here,
7		when you say "cleanup," you are cleaning up to what level?
8	MR. DEBACK:	We're cleaning up to industrial standards of
9	MR. BRAYON:	You understand that is the nature, that it's the industrial level?
10	MR. DEBACK:	Yes, sir, cleaning up to the anticipated reuse. And when I talk about soil
11		cleanup, whatever soil is identified to be remediated in the Record of
12		Decision, that activity should be completed in 2001 on the Main
13		Installation.
14		
15		We should complete our CWM removal at Dunn Field, which is ongoing
5		right now, and complete the Remedial Investigation at Dunn Field.
17		Now, I want everybody to understand that the CWM that's the chemical
18		warfare materiel cleanup is not part of the Remedial Investigation.
19		That's a cleanup that we know needed to be done. There was an early
20		removal action taken on that, and that's different from the Remedial
21		Investigation, which will tell us what additional cleanup we have to do at
22		that site. And, of course, in addition to the soils, we'll begin the Main
23		Installation remedial action. Whatever additional action besides soil is
24		identified in the RI, we'll start that on the Main Installation.
25		
26		We don't depending on the outcome of the Remedial Investigation, we'll
27		complete the Dunn Field Feasibility Study either early this year or
28		excuse me late this year or early next year. The process that we went
29		through at the Main Installation, we will also complete at Dunn Field and
30	× 94	the Proposed Plan, which will go out for public comment. We also plan to
100		

have the Dunn Field Record of Decision once those comments are

received and responded to. Excuse me. As a part of that Record of Decision, we'll have any responses to the public comments from the 2 Proposed Plan at Dunn Field. 3 4 After 2002, we'll complete the Dunn Field Remedial Design. Obviously, 5 we can't do the design until we have the Remedial Investigation and 6 Feasibility Study for that and, of course, the decision on what we're going 7 to do. We'll start the Dunn Field remedial actions, whatever they turn out 8 to be, and then beyond that, there will be some long-term operation here. I 9 can't tell you tonight whether it will be pumping or it could be other types 10 of groundwater remediation -- since there's long-term remediation both on 11 Dunn Field and the Main Installation that involves the groundwater. 12 That's to protect -- ultimately to protect everybody in the community and 13 to get whatever we find down there out of there. Do we have any 14 questions? 15 5 MR. TRUITT: Ulysses Truitt. I'm sorry I didn't do that the last time, but I'm not 17 accustomed to these formalities. But, John, in accordance with the Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Studies that have been conducted 18 since the beginning, and with the objectives of total reutilization of the 19 Installation industrially to compensate Memphis' ability to earn money, 20 you see no barriers or no obstacles at this point? We're in total 21 compliance as far as EPA is concerned and everything is moving along on 22 schedule? I think that's what the community needs to know. 23 MR. DEBACK: We're going through the CERCLA process, and as I indicated in the 24 briefing, we actually have two separate operations going on, Dunn Field 25 and the Main Installation. We're closer to the end of that process on the 26 Main Installation. We've completed our Proposed Plan. The Proposed 27 Plan is going up for public comment. The Record of Decision -- and that's 28 the decision on what activities we have to do to accomplish an effective 29 and safe cleanup. That Record of Decision is being prepared now.

30

Once that Record of Decision is signed by our headquarters and approved by the environmental regulators, TDEC (Tennessee Department of 3 Environment and Conservation) and United States EPA (Environmental Protection Agency), then we will proceed with the recommendations in 5 that Record of Decision and accomplish those cleanup activities. 6 7 For example, the soil removal: We know right now where the soil removal is going to take place, but because of the rules of the process, we have to 8 9 have that Record of Decision before we can start physically to remove that soil. However, we are in the process today of planning -- doing the 10 engineering and planning -- of what we need to do in order to accomplish 11 that task. So, for those of you who have looked at the documents, who 12 have looked at the Proposed Plan and all the data that we have generated 13 to get to this point, to this Record of Decision, you're aware of these areas 14 15 where we will be doing the remediation -- it's likely that we'll be doing the remediation. 6 17 18 What we're doing is we've talked to our engineers and said, "We don't 19 want to wait and start the process and delay it another three or four or five 20 or six months. We want you to start on that engineering today, so that 21 when the Record of Decision is approved, we're ready to move forward and start with that remediation." Any other questions? Mr. Brayon? 22 Just one comment for clarification purposes. The areas that you've 23 MR. BRAYON: cleaned up will not be suitable for human habitation. By that, meaning 24 25 living conditions for people to build homes on; right? MR. DEBACK: 26 There will be a restriction on much of the property for residential use. 27 Yes, sir. That's correct. 28 MR. BRAYON: Which means ---29 MR. DEBACK: And that's not uncommon for industrial commercial sites. MR. BRAYON: 30 And you're still looking at an eight-hour workday when they use it for industrial use, which means that there are some restrictions in the cleanup?

)ı		You know, you're cleaning up to EPA specifications, and that's all. Which
2		means that no one in here can go over there and build a home and reside.
3	MR. DEBACK:	To answer I'm going to defer to Turpin for the EPA question.
4	MR. BRAYON.	I'm asking you.
5	MR. DEBACK:	But I would like to answer your question. And to answer your question
6		very directly, we are cleaning up to a standard that is anticipated by the
7		reuse activity. And directly to answer your question, on certain parts of
8		the Depot you will not be able to build a house.
9	MR. BRAYON:	You will also not be able to stock fish in your ponds; right?
10	MR. DEBACK:	That, I can't answer. I don't know the answer to that. And I'm not trying
11		the put you off. I just don't know the answer.
12	MR. BRAYON:	The point is that when you let's be clear on "cleanup." People are of the
13		opinion when you say "cleanup," you clean up to specifications that are
14		not limited. This is a limited cleanup to industrial use, and that's what
15		usually happens but
5	MR. DEBACK:	If it's offensive, we won't use the word "cleanup." I will use the word
17		"remediation." We're remediating to a standard, and the standard is driven
18		the standard is driven by the proposed reuse activity.
19	MR. BRAYON:	Then give those standards and tell me what you're what the standards
20		are.
21	MR. BALLARD:	This is Turpin Ballard. The standard, if you will, is established through
22		the Risk Assessment process, and we evaluate a variety of scenarios, a
23		residential scenario and an industrial scenario. And each of these
24		scenarios have, yet, different assumptions to make about how long
25		someone will be exposed to contamination.
26		
27		If you take the levels of contamination as they currently exist on most of
28		the Main Installation and run those through the Risk Assessment
29		calculations, they come out, for the most part, unacceptable for human
30 -	1888	habitation but acceptable for proposed reuse, acceptable for occupation
Jı –		under an occupational exposure scenario.

7		There's been some questions raised about if it's safe for an eight-hour
2		exposure assumption, eight-hour workday. A lot of people will work a
3		ten-hour workday. And Kevin Clay asked me specifically about that, and
4		I said I would go back and, you know, recalculate based on a ten-hour
5		workday. I did that for each of the exposure areas that we had in our Risk
6		Assessment. The results of that I'm working up a memo which I
7		wanted to distribute to the RAB this month, but I haven't completed it yet.
8		But the result still supports that industrial reuse, even if it's a ten-hour day
9		every day. It's still protective for the worker. I will provide the final
10		memo or results, you know, written up to the RAB as soon as well, I can
11		provide it to the Depot, and they can include it on their mailing or have it
12		here at the next meeting.
13	MR. DEBACK:	Any other questions? Yes, sir.
14	MR. TYLER:	Stanley Tyler. Along those lines, will there be a disclaimer stating that
15		this property is not ever fit for commercial I mean, residential reuse
3	, =	ever?
17	MR. DEBACK:	There will
18	MR. TYLER:	So in case somebody comes in here with a bunch of money and decides
19		"Well, this is choice property in the middle of a community, and I have X
20		amount of millions of dollars, and I want to just make single family homes
21		and see if I can make it go." Because it's surrounded basically by
22		homeowners and apartment dwellers.
23	MR. DEBACK:	There will be more than a disclaimer, Mr. Tyler. In addition to the
24		documentation that leads up to the transfer, those documents will all
25		contain covenants, as we call them, or disclaimers, that state what the
26		restrictions on the property are. In addition to that, the deeds that are
27		prepared for the property will include covenants that establish every one
28		of these restrictions. Those covenants, for example, would be no
29		residential use for certain parcels.
30	MR. TYLER:	Two more questions. If this thing takes off, let's say, industrial and they
		decide to run two or three shifts, so a worker working possibly 12, 14-hour

days, five, six days a week, would he be safe? Because if it takes off and it goes well like everybody hopes, overtime is something a lot of people like. They prefer not to work, but the money is good. So an industrial worker working a 10 to 12-hour day, six or seven days a week, does that exceed the guidelines? It's possible ---5 Probably would. It very well might. When I talked with Kevin, we talked 6 MR, BALLARD 7 about the reasonableness of assuming that someone would work an occupational lifetime at 12 hours a day or more. I understand that 8 9 overtime occurs, but when we do a Risk Assessment, we have to -- in 10 order to be nationally consistent, we use standard assumptions that are used nationally. 11 12 Because of the question raised by Kevin Clay, I did the recalculation, 13 which is a pretty simple thing to do. You know, to answer that question 14 15 on a ten-hour day, a twelve-hour day -- I mean, you can -- all you have to 6 do is, you know, multiply the original result in the Risk Assessment by whatever the factor is over and above eight hours. Ten hour days you 17 multiply times 1.25, twelve-hour day multiply by one and a half in order 18 to come up with the risk number. 19 20 21 So it's not something that anyone here couldn't do, but once you get the result and if it exceeds the risk rate, what you have got to look then at is a 22 realistic exposure scenario. On a national basis the standard exposure 23 24 assumption is an eight-hour workday, and we have to, you know, do our 25 risk assessments consistent with the guidance unless there is some current site specific reason to do otherwise. 26 MR. TYLER: 27 I bring this up -- as you know, in industrial areas it's not uncommon to 28 work plenty of overtime and have two shifts. So it's just -- I think it's a reasonable question. 29

30

1		And my third question the reuse of Dunn Field. What level is that going
2		to be cleaned up to excuse me proposed to be cleaned up to? Because
3		I know all of this is in the infancy stage. But what are y'all proposing the
4		cleanup levels be?
5	MR. DEBACK:	The reuse of Dunn Field will be cleaned up to industrial standards, and
6		there are some areas of Dunn Field that already exceed those standards.
7	MR. TYLER:	Okay, now, I saw a map once that said that possibly there were three or
8		four plans for reuse, including a park and all that.
9	MR. DEBACK:	Yes, sir.
10	MR. TYLER:	So that's
11	MR. DEBACK:	There is one reuse plan that has been developed. It was developed by the
12		predecessor to the DRC. I recognize that you weren't a participant in that,
13		but some of the people in this room did participate in the early studies.
14		There was other participation in the development of that reuse plan, and
15		the development the current reuse activities slated for Dunn Field are
5	5.8	three reuse activities. One is a widening of the road. That would be a
17		public conveyance to the City of Memphis to accomplish that purpose.
18		**
19		The other portion, the rolling hills up there in the northeast northeast
20		corner is scheduled to go to the correct me if I say this wrong but the
21		Memphis City Parks Department for a city park. That will be a public
22		benefit conveyance through the national park service to the city parks
23		department, and that's been part of the plan since the beginning.
24		
25		The remainder of Dunn Field at this juncture would go up for public sale.
26		The local reuse agency, the Depot Redevelopment Corporation, has
27		insisted that they are not interested in that parcel as part of their economic
28		development conveyance.
29		
30	7600 -	So it will go up for public sale. There will be a bid auction most likely,
J1		and that's been part of the plan ever since the plan was developed and

approved. And, by the way, the plan is not approved by the Army, and it's not approved by DLA. It's approved by the local community, the 3 corporation. Which the corporation is a public corporation that was 4 chartered by the City of Memphis and Shelby County. 5 MR. TYLER: Thank you. 6 MR. DEBACK: Ms. Hooks? I'm sorry. MS. HOOKS: Thank you. I have just a couple of comments, one in relation to concerns 7 about the residential development and its potential to occur here at the 8 Depot. The city has very strict regulations as they relate to industrial use 9 10 of the property, and that combined with the covenant and restrictions that are going to be part of the final documentation, I don't see any way anyone 11 would be able to come before the Office of Planning and Development 12 and Land Use Control Board and have that rezoned for residential. The 13 restriction and covenants are one way of just protecting -- to prevent that, 14 and then our zoning laws are the other way to protect it. 15 Could we get back to what Mr. Tyler said? I do have some concerns. The 17 widening of Hays Street is something that we have been looking at for a 18 number of years. I believe it was the early part of 1999 when the city 19 received documentation that they could proceed in the planning stages to 20 widen Hays, complete with putting in sidewalks and some additional 21 lighting, and hopefully, we will proceed with that. 22 23 24 But I do have some concerns, even though it was part of the community's 25 request, and even though at this point in time it may be still part of the plan, that we would not be utilizing any portion of Dunn Field for a park. 26 27 I am not satisfied and am not comfortable with an industrial zoned 28 property that has had the kind of issues that have surrounded Dunn Field to then be turned over to the city for a public park. And, hopefully, as we 29

30

continue to forge forward and get to the final conveyances of the land. I

would certainly, if I am still on the Council, bring that to the attention of the Council. I know that it is not without concern with the administration, as well as 5 with the director of the parks. But it just appears to me that is somewhat risque, if you will, to turn it into a public park, particularly if we are 6 7 talking playgrounds where kids might play. I am not currently sure, but I 8 believe I'm correct, that the entire parcel of land is zoned industrial. 9 As the elected official representing neighborhoods in that area, I would be 10 very concerned as well, because Hays on the east side of the street is all 11 residential, and to the west of Dunn Field there is residential. It would 12 concern me if it was put up for sale, and I know eventually it will be, for 13 the kind of reuse that will then go over on that side of Dunn Field. I am 14 not so sure that we would even be able to have adequate buffers if 15 5 somebody was looking at it for industrial use. I would much rather see it 17 remain dormant than to have somebody go and build on it. Because we 18 have got to certainly do what we can to protect the integrity of what is 19 already a fragile neighborhood. Thank you. MR. DEBACK: Just a comment -- two comments, one on the park. The park conveyances 20 21 are not a park conveyance until it's final. The park conveyance was applied for by our commission. Is that correct? 22 23 MS. HOOKS: That's right. MR. DEBACK: 24 At any time they are able to withdraw their application for that. I am not wearing my BEC (BRAC Environmental Coordinator) hat, and I put my 25 26 Base Transition Coordinator hat on, and this is entirely the city's decision 27 to proceed or not proceed with that conveyance. 28 29 As far as the sale of the property and/or the park, for that matter, if the request to convey through the national park service was withdrawn, the 30 Depot Redevelopment Corporation as the recognized local reuse authority

Į,		that's the term the generic term that we use for any organizations that
2		are redeveloping military properties as the local reuse authority will
3		have first right of refusal on those properties before they go up for sale.
4		And at this juncture, they have indicated that they are not interested in the
5		property. And, again, as we go through the process, before we actually
6		put a for sale sign out there and an ad in the paper, the offer again will be
7		made to the Depot Redevelopment Corporation of whether or not they
8		want to consider that as a part of their economic development conveyance.
9		And that's just how the process works. Yes, ma'am.
10	MS. PETERS:	I'm Johnnie Mae Peters, and I don't think any part of Dunn Field should
11		ever be used for a park. And what do you mean by selling it? If you sold
12		it to somebody and you are only bringing it up to standards for, you know,
13		building places for people to work, how would you say it's good enough to
14		put a park on?
15	MR. DEBACK:	We don't there's
5	MS. PETERS:	And you're saying you'll sell it. If you sold it to somebody, would the
17		restrictions be on the contract if they bought it that they couldn't use it for
18		anything else but industrial?
19	MR. DEBACK:	Yes, ma'am.
20	MS. PETERS:	And I don't think anybody ought to even a part of it be a park if
21		children are going to play on it.
22	MR. DEBACK:	I understand what you're saying completely, and I don't I personally
23		don't get a vote. Again, the reuse plan was developed, it was voted on or
24		accepted or approved through a process that's outside of our arena. I guess
25		I really can't answer your question about the park department
26		withdrawing their request. If they withdraw their request, it's not a
27		problem. I mean, they're not committed to take that field, and that's the
28		best way that I can say that, and the deed conveyance isn't done until
29		everything is accomplished. Well, on that particular parcel, there's a
30	- (FA)	couple of years yet. You know, we've still got we are still working on
- 1		

)ı		the Remedial Investigation, and there will be a Risk Assessment to
2		determine whether or not that's safe to be used as a park.
3		
<u>.</u> 4		Again, the Risk Assessment process, that portion of Dunn Field will have
5		the risk determined on the use as a park, not determined on the use as an
6		industrial facility. There are different risk levels. The levels for an
7		industrial and levels for the use of a park are different, and that portion of
8		Dunn Field that is scheduled to be transferred as a park will be assessed.
9		The Risk Assessment will be made on whether or not it's safe to use it as a
10		park.
11	MS. PETERS:	Would you think it was safe in the same thing the area all over this
12		Dunn Field from when they started back in the '40s of burying stuff and
13		letting that stuff be there? Because I don't think you're going to dig up
14		everything that's been buried in Dunn Field since 1942.
15	MR. DEBACK:	I understand what you're saying. I can only defer to the Risk Assessment
5	*	where we you know, we have got a long way to go. We're still doing
17		the study to determine what is the status at Dunn Field, what do we need
18		to do to bring it up to those standards.
19	MS. PETERS:	Maybe you could put some warehouses over there.
20	MR. DEBACK:	But, again, if the issue as I understand, early in the process that the park
21		commission indicated that the community residents wanted a park in that
22		area, and that was part of their rationale for applying for a park. So that's -
23		- probably Ms. Hooks is better suited or in a better position to address that
24		issue certainly than I am. You know, I'm in no position to go to the park
25		department and say "withdraw your application."
26	MS. HOOKS:	In response, Mr. DeBack, you are certainly correct. It was driven by the
27		community. Trust Marketing, if you all recall way back when, held a lot
28		of meetings over at the Depot and they asked specifically for the
29		community's input about what they would like to see for different reuses
30	333	for the Depot. Overwhelmingly, I should say, a park in the area was what
الر		the public wanted. I'm a little vague at this point in time because of the

time frame. I specifically wanted the golf course to be returned to the city and still ---2 And that's still scheduled for that use. 3 MR. DEBACK: And I am still very much in favor of that. I don't think that the city, i.e., MS. HOOKS: the park commission -- because there is no park board anymore -- would 5 move forward with developing the land as a park unless they were quite 6 7 sure that there were not any environmental issues there. So, Ms. Peters, to answer your direct question, while I have certain 9 personal issues with it being reused for that, until that assessment could 10 take place, I am satisfied that the city would not move forward to develop 11 12 it as a park until they knew that there were no environmental risks. And inasmuch as this is not going into an area where they did not know what 13 existed before, and they're cleaning up the area over here at the Depot and 14 in Dunn Field, I don't see us moving forward. 15 6 We may have gotten in a position more in line, if you will, to request 17 different parts of it to be given to the city, i.e., the right of way taken that 18 19 we need for the purposes of widening Hays Road. That may be that area that was looked at, and again, community driven for a park. But at this 20 21 point in time, until that Risk Assessment is done, I don't see the park 22 moving forward to development at all, as anything. MR. DEBACK: And we have all been here for two years, three years. Many of us have 23 24 been here for three years and have gone through the process, the CERCLA process up to this point on the Main Installation, which includes the golf 25 course area. We have all seen the analysis and the Risk Assessment for 26 27 those areas. That same process will be followed at Dunn Field. It's not a 28 matter of just signing off the paper and handing it over. We have to go 29 through that process, and the RAB and the community has input to that process. The public comment periods are mandatory, as they should be, to 30

allow that input. Any other question?

1		
2		In closing, I would just like to thank and I know you all know Alma, but
3		I really want to thank Alma for coming to my rescue tonight. This is my
4		first meeting as a co-chair, and then to find out that I am the chair. I wasn't
5		exactly prepared for that, and I appreciate the indulgence of the audience
6		here, and I hope to see you at future RAB meetings.
7	MS. MOORE:	You're quite welcome, John.
8		
9	BCT (Base Realign)	ment and Closure Cleanup Team) UPDATE
10		
11	MS. MOORE:	Next we have Turpin's BCT Update. At this time, I would like to poll the
12		community RAB members present and see if we have a volunteer for the
13		BCT meeting on February 15th at the Depot. Do we have any volunteers?
14		(Brief pause.)
15	MS. MOORE:	Well, if you decide to come and I will be calling community RAB
5		members to see if anyone will be interested in attending that meeting on
17		Thursday, February 15th at 8:30 a.m. at the Depot. Turpin?
18	MR. BALLARD:	Before I get into the BCT Update, I just wanted to say on a personal note
19		that I am not resigning, but because of additional responsibilities given to
20		me at work, I am being cut back on the amount of travel that I can do. So
21		I will only be able to attend locally the BCT and RAB meetings every
22		other month.
23		93
24		You know, if any questions come up that should be directed to EPA at a
25		RAB meeting when I'm not present, I would either rely through the
26		minutes or through John or Alma or Jim noting making note of that and
27		bringing it to my attention. I could get a message or a response out to the
28		individual as soon as possible, and the RAB in total by the following
29		meeting or at the next meeting that I attend.

)I	MS. MOORE:	Excuse me, Turpin. I didn't see Mr. Tyler's card over there. Would you
2		mind if I asked him to state his question before you give your
3		presentation?
4	MR. BALLARD:	No.
5	MS. MOORE:	Thanks. Mr. Tyler?
6	MR. TYLER:	I'm sorry I missed the date of the BCT meeting. I'm just volunteering to
7		come to the February BCT meeting. I would appreciate it if you could fax
8		me the date in advance so I can put it in my small schedule of things to do.
9	MS. MOORE:	Thanks so much, Mr. Tyler. I will make sure I get that to you.
10	MR. BALLARD;	Okay, well, I don't believe we have given a BCT Update since the
11		September meeting. The October meeting was given over to discussing
12		the issues related to incidents at Dunn Field. So I'm going to try and kind
13		of update, go over the highlights of the October, December and January
14		BCT meetings.
15		
5	•	Just like the RAB meeting, most of the discussions in October did revolve
17		around the incident where some of the workers involved with the chemical
18		warfare materiel removal went to the hospital. But at that meeting, we
19		also discussed and finalized the plans for the supplemental field work that
20		is ongoing at Dunn Field and soon to be completed.
21		
22		The December meeting we didn't have a meeting in November. The
23		December meeting we had results from the initial soil boring work at
24		Dunn Field, and we talked about the relationship of the soil layers and the
25		Dunn Field area to each other. The good news is that the clay layer under
26		the fluvial aquifer at Dunn Field is quite thick, and that makes it a good
27		protection for any to prevent any downward migration of contamination
28		to a deeper aquifer. We also zeroed in on the field work, was able to zero
29		in on the contaminated soil areas that appeared to be a primary source of

the groundwater contamination at Dunn Field.

And, you know, being scientists and engineers, we, did a lot arm waving and just what-iffing and was thinking about -- what we try and do is project ahead where we may be going. You know, even though we don't have all the data, we sort of do an interpretive process, talk about what might happen based on what we know now and what technologies we might be able to use to clean things up based on what we know at any given time. So what I'm saying is there is a lot of discussion and arm waving that goes on when you get some data that helps you to focus on some kind of problem.

MR. BALLARD:

And in the January meeting we updated, you know, various activities of the Interim Remedial Action at Dunn Field. The pumping -- groundwater pumping system has been largely completed. The additions to the pumping system have been largely completed, and they are supposed to go online toward the end of this month, which improves the capture zone and enhances the ability to prevent contamination from migrating beyond Dunn Field.

. 5

We had a chemical warfare materiel update talking about the progress they're making at the final location they are on, which is the old mustard disposal pit, and we did some updating on Dunn Field, our information as well.

We discussed the upcoming Finding of Suitability to Transfer for the administration building and adjacent parking lot. I think DLA is developing or is going to be developing that document, which is a requirement of the base closure and transfer process. Once it has been developed and is, I guess you want to call it, draft final, it will go up for public comment and be available for the public to review. I don't have a schedule on that at this time, but that will be presented with plenty of time for -- you know, with plenty of notice. And that's the update I have.

)L	MS. MOORE:	Thank you, Turpin. Are there any questions at this point from the RAB
2		members about the BCT update? Thanks a lot, Turpin. As your
3		suggestion was temporarily voted upon earlier, we will now open the
4		floor for the Public Comment Period, and the microphone is there for any
5		person that has questions or comments. Please feel free to make your
6		comments now. If you will state your name clearly so that the court
7		transcriber can get that information down. The floor is now open for
8		public comments. Ms. Parker, if you will just state your name and make
9		your comments at the microphone. We appreciate it.
10	MS. PARKER:	Good evening. My name is Lettie Parker, and I'm a representative from
11		the New Fellowship Baptist Church. We own property on Ball Road. It's
12		located between Ketchum and I believe Dunn. It's kind of adjacent to the
13		property that, you know, with the Depot area. We are concerned. We are
14		having problems selling that property because of the write-up in the paper
15		that the land is possibly contaminated. So we are here tonight because we
5		are trying to find out something definite about just what is the status of the
17		condition on that particular property that we own. And if there is a
18		contamination problem, would we be compensated in some way? Because
19		we did not know this. We had not been informed and we are at a loss.
20		
21		Another concern I have, I hear you say you are building a park, but I still
22		hear you saying that there's contamination there. Would this park be for
23		children to play on? And would you allow your child to play there if you
24		know that it's not completely a clean area? So I would like to have those
25		two questions addressed tonight. Thank you.
26	MS. MOORE:	Thank you, Ms. Parker. Turpin, could you Ms. Parker, would you state
27		the exact location you referred to? On Ball Road near what intersection
28		again?
29	MS. PARKER:	Ketchum. It's at 8.98 plot, located on Ball Road approximately 887.7 feet
30		on the south line of Ball Road, situated near the intersection of Ketchum.
Jı	MS. MOORE:	Yes, ma'am, Ball and Ketchum?

MS. PARKER:

That's correct.

MS. MOORE:

Okay, I'm going to turn that over to Turpin Ballard, but I do want to -- and I discussed that with you on the phone yesterday. There are several documents that you Reverend Walker and your church members are free to review. I will ask John DeBack to allow me to loan you some documents to take to your church for your committee members. They are

6

3

4

5

7

8

quite lengthy, and I'm sure that we can share some of those documents, if

he will allow, and you can read about the cleanup to date.

address some of the concerns about the cleanup.

9

10

11

12

13

14

This presentation tonight was just a recap of what has been done. So there is still cleanup going on, and there are a lot of agencies involved, and we really just couldn't answer you totally tonight. But I would like you to do some further research on it, like we discussed. So I will make sure that your particular congregation and your committee gets some information. But Turpin Ballard with the Environmental Protection Agency will

15

5

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

29 30

MR. DEBACK:

Also, the park was just a proposed item from community members. As Councilwoman Hooks mentioned, in a focus group that was done several years ago, there's been talk about a park because the community -- the people of the community felt that we needed a park in the community. However, that has not been cleared. Is that correct, Councilwoman Hooks? That's not correct. That's just something that has been proposed, but it cannot be done until the area has been cleaned and the city takes the land on and votes to have that particular area for a park. But I'm sure that -several people have called me about that -- I am sure that you heard that also. It may have been a little confusing tonight. But that's what has happened. The community people wanted a park, but that was on the drawing board a long time ago.

Excuse me. I believe I may have spoken to a representative last week or the week before, somebody that represented you or a potential buyer of the property. I'm going to ask Turpin to keep me honest on this, but the location of your property, referring to our current studies that we have, are not affected by the Main Installation. You are south of the Main Installation by almost a block. And again, unless Turpin says otherwise, our current studies don't show that we are affecting that area, what's gone on at our Main Installation. I told that to the representative.

The other thing that I discussed with them, in some real estate spectrums, a potential buyer is responsible for doing due diligence on any property that they are to buy. That would include doing any kind of environmental studies that they deem necessary for whatever activities they are going to do on that property. That doesn't have anything to do with the federal property that is north of that. In other words, we don't have -- to my knowledge, we don't have any activity that's coming from our site onto

MS. PARKER:

That is the concern.

your site, and I think that's the concern.

17 MR. BALLARD:

John, I talked to Pastor Walker just before the meeting, and again, I'm not exactly certain of the location. We may -- last month I was out with some folks from our Athens lab collecting samples in drainage areas in response to concerns raised in a Public Health Assessment by the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). They were concerned about a possible -- a data gap -- you know, a gap in our data being that potential for contaminants in the past to have been carried off the Depot during a storm event or something and during possible overtopping of banks to have been deposited through the adjacent property -- property adjacent to the drainage ways.

So, with a representative from ATSDR, we collected samples at a number of drainage ways at the southeast corner or just south of the southeast corner of the Depot and also along the west of the Depot adjacent to the Tarrant Branch and also west of Dunn Field along Rozelle Street.

Restoration Advisory Board

We didn't pull up a lot of samples, but we covered the area that we felt needed to be covered to the satisfaction of ATSDR. The results haven't 2 come back from the lab yet. When they do, we'll validate them, put them 3 on maps and put out a -- it's a short report, but we'll put out a report, and 4 when that's available, I will be passing it along to the RAB and also, 5 through Mr. Brayon, we'll pass it to the church there. 6 7 That would probably be the extent of any data that you'll get out of the 8 9 federal, you know, government specific to your property. But, again, I don't know for sure if the samples we collected were on that property. I 10 11 would have to get with you maybe after the meeting and talk more specifically. I think we might have gotten one on that property, but I'm 12 not positive. 13 MS. MOORE: Thank you, Turpin. Usually we have a representative from the Agency for 14 15 Toxic Substances and Disease Registry at our RAB meetings. The regional representative is Benjamin Moore. And probably at this point I'll 5 17 bring it up. I have to announce that I got a call from John Crellin late this evening. Benjamin Moore's mother passed, and he was planning to be 18 here. But he had to leave to take care of those arrangements. So, 19 20 generally someone is here, but we'll get that information to you. 21 Are there any more RAB comments -- public comments? I'm sorry. 22 Thanks. No more public comments? Yes, sir. Okay, any RAB -- any further RAB comments? It looks like Carter Gray came in. 23 MR. GRAY: Please excuse my tardiness. I am now responsible for traffic, and it was a 24 terrible night. (laughter) I'll take all the complaints. As you all read, the 25 26 county has decided to give me a promotion into traffic coordination within the metropolitan area. As such, I think it's necessary in order to do 27 that, that I resign my position -- resign from the RAB, recognizing that 28 either my boss, Mr. Lachapelle, or my successor is going to take my place 29 on the RAB or taking the health department place for continuity or

participation.

I would like to thank each of the members for their service for this community, for their friendship and camaraderie they have shown me over the years. You're a great group, even though you fuss at each other a little bit more than you need to. I think that gets to a lot of very important issues for our volunteer community representatives. I thank you for the time that each of you spends here helping to solve a problem that our community needs solved.

I have enjoyed my time on the RAB more than you know, and it has been an excellent refresher course in all the various agency initials, chemical concentration and the Risk Assessments, and I appreciate that refresher course.

I will obviously stay in touch through -- particularly through Mr.

Covington -- who is in the same section that I am with the deliberations of this Board. You're doing a great job. I would encourage each of you to continue that participation, redouble that effort so we can reach the home stretch, and completion of Dunn Field and the Depot in the manner that needs to be done to protect the community's health and well being.

Having said that, I want to thank each of you, and like I say, I don't do this lightly, but I just really don't get the time to sit on this Board. Thank you all very much, and I appreciate the friendship you have shown.

Thank you. Stanley Tyler, you're recognized.

Thank you. First of all, I would like to thank Carter Gray for his hard work, devotion, dedication and professionalism to the RAB and this community on behalf of what he's done. He's been a big help to me, and I have called him and visited him. He's always had his door open, and I want to thank you for your hard work and devotion to this community and to the RAB.

Number two, are we ever -- is the Internet going to be up and running by 2002? I'm prepared to give at least 12 months to get it by 2002. What is the status of our web page? Because we are getting a lot of information, and we need to basically double click on this information, at least I do. I might be selfish, but it's a lot easier to double click than to go to the repository, and I am not a lazy person. I don't mind reading and burning gas and time. I think you would have greater access if you have all of this information on the Internet.

Number three, I would like to thank Kevin Clay for his hard work and devotion as co-chair of the RAB. I would make a recommendation that we send a plaque stating that we thank him for all his time for serving us as RAB member and as RAB co-chair.

And last, but not least, on this issue of Dunn Field being a park. Back in 1995, I don't think the people in the community knew all that they know now about what's buried over there. Because I don't think there is no way they would have recommended a park if this information had been available to them. I live in that area, and I have worked at the Depot, and a lot of this stuff is new to me since the last two or three years I have been sitting on the RAB. So sometimes people in the community just didn't have all the facts and information in front of them when they decided to reuse that particular land as a park. So it's a fault on my part and maybe the administration's part for not putting all the facts out front. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Tyler. We will try to address all of your suggestions and questions as soon as we can get a little bit more information. I will get back with the RAB members, because I think that there are voting issues and the Internet situation. Give me a little time and I'll give you a little status update on where we are. Since the meeting, there has been some correspondence about getting more information electronically.

MS. MOORE:

27

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

5

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

29

3/1

30

Restoration Advisory Board

January 18, 2001

Anymore RAB comments?

)	MR. TRUITT:	I move for adjournment.
2	MS. MOORE:	Okay, there is one more other thing. I want to announce that the "J" Street
3		Café is where we will have next month's meeting. Let me just say this if
4		you don't mind, Mr. Truitt. There is a new gate entrance called Memphis
5		Depot Parkway, and the Depot is now the Memphis Depot Business Park.
6		It's a really nice entrance. It's the old Gate One. So when you come to the
7		RAB meeting next month, make sure you don't make the wrong turn like I
8		have been doing for the past three or four weeks.
9		
10		Also, Mr. Bond is not here today, but his birthday is tomorrow. We have
11		signs around, and we would like to recognize his birthday. And guess
12		what? It's 7:25 p.m. Mr. Truitt, you are recognized. You can say it again.
13	MR. TRUITT:	I move for adjournment.
14	MR. BALLARD:	Second.
15	MR. MOORE:	Okay, it's been moved and properly seconded that the meeting be
. 5		adjourned.

(Whereupon at approximately 7:28 p.m., the meeting was adjourned.)

Next RAB meeting: February 15, 2001 The Memphis Depot "J" Street Café Memphis, Tennessee

## **Attendance List**

### **Restoration Advisory Board Members**

Mr. John DeBack Interim Facility Co-Chair

Mr. Turpin Ballard Environmental Protection Agency

Mr. James Webb Civic Representative/Memphis

Light, Gas and Water Division

Mr. Ulysses Truitt Citizen Representative

Mr. Carter Gray Memphis/Shelby County

Health Department

Ms. Johnnie Mae Peters Citizen Representative

Mr. Eugene Brayon Citizen Representative

Mr. Stanley Tyler Citizen Representative

Ms. Janet Hooks Memphis City Council

#### Others in Attendance

Ms. Alma Black Moore Frontline Communications

Mr. Trevor S. Diggins Frontline Communications

Ms. Tondalaya Washington Snyder Frontline Communications

Ms. Hattie Chism Citizen

Mr. G.C. Glance Depot Redevelopment Corporation (DRC)

Mr. Robert L. Torstrick Corps of Engineers - Huntsville

Ms. Lettie M. Parker Citizen

Ms. Betty Mills Citizen

Rev. Willie B. Walker Citizen

Mr. Kraig Smith Sverdrup

Mr. Richard Byrd Corps of Engineers

Mr. Steve Offner

Mr. David Nelson

Ms. Dorothy Richards

Mr. Michael Dobbs

Mr. Paul Galiotto

Mr. Frank Johnson

Mr. Russell Ray Anderson

Ms. Hattie Chism

Ms. Tiki Whitfield

Ms. Margaret C. Curry

Ms. Rita Bond

Mr. Rick Bowlus

Ms. Deborah Rogers

CH2M Hill

CH2M Hill

Corps of Engineers - Huntsville

DLA/DDC

DLA/DDC

UXB

Citizen

Citizen

**EPA** 

**ATSDR** 

Citizen

(USACHPPM)

Citizen