MEETING MINUTES Restoration Advisory Board October 21, 1999 The Memphis Depot "J" Street Café, Building 274

The Restoration Advisory Board (RAB) meeting was held at 6:00 p.m. on October 21, 1999 at the Memphis Depot in the "J" Street Café, Building 274, 2163 Airways Boulevard, Memphis, Tennessee. The attendance list is attached.

Note: Unlike previous meetings, a professional court reporter was not available due to a conflict in scheduling. Though every effort was made to accurately capture all the dialogue during the meeting, some portions may have been inaudible. Please review the minutes carefully and advise the Community Relations Office of any discrepancies as soon as possible.

WELCOME AND INTRODUCTION

3 MR. WILLIAMS:

First of all I would like to say good evening. My name is Mondell Williams and I'm the Community Co-Chair for the RAB. I would like to welcome you to the October RAB meeting. This is our last RAB meeting for the 1999 year and for this century. If there are any former employees or any person who has not attended the meetings, I would like to welcome you. There is a sign-in sheet back there on the table by the door for the visitors attending the meeting. If you would like any copies of the minutes of the meetings, give your complete address and please put your zip code on it. We will forward you any information that we might have.

12

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

1	REVIEW AND AP	PROVE OCTOBER AGENDA
2		
3	MR. WILLIAMS:	Next I would like to get you to look at the agenda to see if there are any
4		corrections, or if you'd like to add anything or take anything away.
5	MR. PHILLIPS:	Mondell I need to possibly add something to the agenda, or rather take
6		something away. One of our items in Old Business is the review of the
7		CERCLA (Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and
8		Liability Act) process that the city legal department was doing for
9		Councilwoman Hooks. We will not do that unless she comes in for the
10		meeting.
11	MR. WILLIAMS:	Anymore? May I have a motion to approve?
12	MR. ENGLISH:	So moved.
13	MR. WILLIAMS:	All in favor? Opposed? Any abstaining? For the future, if any RAB
14		members have items to be placed on the agenda for the meeting send them
15		in early, or Alma should be contacted to let her know that you have an
16	*	item and we can add it to the agenda.
17		
18		On December 16 the RAB is having a Partnering Session from 11:00 a.m.
19		to 4:00 p.m. at Sanders Facilities, 2600 Elvis Presley. We're asking all the
20		RAB members to attend this session. Our community relations staff is
21		working to provide an enriching session for you. Lunch will be served
22		and we will have a lot of issues to discuss during this time. So please
23		make it your business to attend this session December 16, from 11 a.m. to
24		4 p.m. Are there any points of clarification?
25	MR. CLAY:	My name is Kevin Clay. I have a question for Mondell. Can you
26		elaborate on who we'll be partnering with and what the session is about?
27	MR. WILLIAMS:	I'm going to direct that to our Community Relations Specialist, Ms.
28		Moore.

1	MC MOODE.	That are Africa March 1 and 1
1	MS. MOORE:	Thank you. Alma Moore is my name. Mr. Clay, we have RAB members
2		to partner with. Last year, we had an administrative meeting that was
3		closed to the public and for RAB members only. We have some speakers
4		in mind that I'm working on having to come give us some training. We'll
5		discuss our RAB charter and some goals for the year 2000, other RAB
6		issues and housekeeping issues. So the RAB members are partnering, and
7		I'm working on two speakers to come in. Once I get it confirmed, we'll
8		send a mailing out. Thank you.
9	MR. WILLIAMS:	Any more questions about that? I have a letter here that I'm passing
10		around for Ms. Terri Gray. We're thanking her for the time and
11		dedication she's put in for the RAB. I'd like all the RAB members to sign
12		it as we pass it around.
13		
14		Our RAB membership subcommittee has worked very diligently
15		reviewing applications and interviewing candidates for the position of
16		RAB community member. Applications for the top two candidates have
17		been provided for you this evening. Have you had time to review the two
18		applications that have been placed in front of you? The subcommittee will
19		now report on the findings of who they have decided to recommend. I
20		will turn that over to Mr. Kevin Clay.
21	MR. CLAY:	The RAB subcommittee on adding members to the RAB had a meeting on
22		Tuesday afternoon, and we received eight official applications. We polled
23		the members to select the top three, and I think we were unanimous on
24		selecting two. There was a third person that had a couple of nominations
25		that was to be interviewed by the committee. However, we didn't have a
26		majority on the third person, so two people were actually interviewed -
27		Ms. Tanja Mitchell and Mr. Reginald Eskridge. After extensive
28		interviewing, we decided to recommend to the board Mr. Reginald
29		Eskridge. I don't know if he's here or not. Is he here? Okay, he's in the
		_

MR. WILLIAMS; MR. CLAY; MR. GRAY; MR. WILLIAMS; MR. PHILLIPS;	you? Our committee was again unanimous in selecting Mr. Eskridge to present to the board as the newest member. We're going to present him to the full board for nomination for membership. Would anyone like to make a motion to take on the new member that the subcommittee has recommended? Mr. Williams, my name is Kevin Clay and I would like to nominate Reginald Eskridge as the new community member on the board. I second. All in favor? Any opposed? Abstaining? Motion carries. Would you like to approach the table? (Mr. Eskridge takes a seat at the board table.)
MR. CLAY: MR. GRAY: MR. WILLIAMS:	the full board for nomination for membership. Would anyone like to make a motion to take on the new member that the subcommittee has recommended? Mr. Williams, my name is Kevin Clay and I would like to nominate Reginald Eskridge as the new community member on the board. I second. All in favor? Any opposed? Abstaining? Motion carries. Would you
MR. CLAY: MR. GRAY: MR. WILLIAMS:	Would anyone like to make a motion to take on the new member that the subcommittee has recommended? Mr. Williams, my name is Kevin Clay and I would like to nominate Reginald Eskridge as the new community member on the board. I second. All in favor? Any opposed? Abstaining? Motion carries. Would you
MR. CLAY: MR. GRAY: MR. WILLIAMS:	subcommittee has recommended? Mr. Williams, my name is Kevin Clay and I would like to nominate Reginald Eskridge as the new community member on the board. I second. All in favor? Any opposed? Abstaining? Motion carries. Would you
MR. GRAY: MR. WILLIAMS:	Mr. Williams, my name is Kevin Clay and I would like to nominate Reginald Eskridge as the new community member on the board. I second. All in favor? Any opposed? Abstaining? Motion carries. Would you
MR. GRAY: MR. WILLIAMS:	Reginald Eskridge as the new community member on the board. I second. All in favor? Any opposed? Abstaining? Motion carries. Would you
MR. WILLIAMS:	I second. All in favor? Any opposed? Abstaining? Motion carries. Would you
MR. WILLIAMS:	All in favor? Any opposed? Abstaining? Motion carries. Would you
MR. PHILLIPS:	like to approach the table? (Mr. Eskridge takes a seat at the board table.)
MR. PHILLIPS:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	Congratulations and thank you for your involvement.
MR. WILLIAMS:	Next I would like to say that I was proud of the subcommittee, and I was
	very impressed not only with him, but some of the other applicants who
	applied for this position. I would like to encourage the ones who did
	apply and were not elected to keep pressing on because there will be,
	sooner or later, room for other members to come on board. And I would
	just like for them to know that we are still looking for good members from
	the community, people who want to make an untiring effort to make sure
	that things are being done and being done in a fashionable manner. So to
	anyone who did not get selected but did put in an application, I'd like to
	welcome them to still participate and have interest in what's going on in
	the community.
REVIEW SEPTEM	IBER MEETING MINUTES
MR. WILLIAMS:	One more thing we must do. By now everyone has received a copy of the
	minutes of the September meeting. I would like to know if you have any
	changes, if you see anything that was misquoted, or if anyone was
	MR. WILLIAMS:

	misrepresented in any kind of way if they would bring it to the table and
	we will make any changes necessary.
	May I get a motion to approve the minutes of the September meeting?
MRS. YOUNG:	I move that the minutes be approved.
MR. TYLER:	I second.
MR. WILLIAMS:	Any opposed? Any abstaining? Motion carries; the minutes are approved.
MR. PHILLIPS:	Thank you Mondell. We're going to move into the Old Business portion
	of our agenda. Before we get into that I have two more announcements to
	make.
	One is a fairly minor one. But in case anyone has been trying to get hold
	of me through email within the last two weeks, I'd like to apologize. Our
	system has been turned off here at the Depot. I will give you my new
	email address, which is located physically up in our New Cumberland
	headquarters site. But to date, I haven't been able to access it remotely
	yet. Anyway, that address is rphillips@ddc.dla.mil. If anyone needs the
	spelling on the name, it's with two 'Ls." (Email address was repeated).
	Alma has also handed me her new email address. After the @ sign it's
	exactly the same as mine, but before that it's "comrel" - that's an
	abbreviation for community relations.
	The second announcement I'd like to make is, as a board we've waited
	quite a while for this announcement. I can make it tonight. The timing is
	appropriate, because there are several documents due to come out right at
	the December/January time frame. The Technical Assistance for Public
	Participation (TAPP) grant has been awarded. It was awarded in the
	month of September to Environmental Realty, Incorporated. I would like
	to ask Mr. Jesse Wilburn, who's the owner of that company and the
	MR. TYLER: MR. WILLIAMS:

1		principal, to stand up. That will be your consultant for the RAB board,
2		and this gentleman will fill that role for us.
3		
4		Alma, I believe we probably should work on a subcommittee to get
5		together to develop which documents get sent to this gentleman for his
6		company to review. We probably need four or five members to help fill
7		that role - deciding which documents that contractor will get to review, or
8		which presentations you'd like to have that contractor make for you on
9		your behalf.
10	MR. WILLIAMS:	Mrs. Bradshaw, I wish that you would volunteer for that to make sure this
11		subcommittee gets this gentleman to review the records that need to be
12		broken down to send to people so they'll know exactly what's going on -
13		or what records should be sent out I guess. Am I right Shawn?
14	MR. PHILLIPS:	The RAB needs to decide how they want to use this money that's in this
15		grant program within the rules of how this money that can be used. That
16		can be for the review of documents that DLA's contractors and the Corps
17		of Engineers' contractors produce. It can also be used for a training
18		session if the RAB would like training on some subject.
19		
20		Also included in the scope of work for this gentleman's company and
21		what's allowable for use of this TAPP grant monies, is to have him make a
22		presentation to the RAB on any of those earlier to items.
23	MR. WILLIAMS:	Not only would I like you to volunteer (reference to Ms. Bradshaw), I
24		would like this new gentleman to volunteer too.
25	MR. PHILLIPS:	Welcome to our Restoration Advisory Board. (laughter) Mr. Clay?
26	MR. CLAY:	I would like to make a comment. Mondell you were quite insightful to ask
27		Mr. Eskridge to help Mrs. Bradshaw and other members on this volunteer
28		subcommittee. Mr. Eskridge is a practicing attorney and I think he'll be a

1		needed voice to help us understand some points. So, I too ask Mr.
2		Eskridge to volunteer.
3	MR. PHILLIPS:	I think it would be appropriate at this time to see a show of hands of
4		people who would be interested in volunteering. I think it will be a fairly
5		minor time constraint on you.
6	MS. BRADSHAW:	I don't mind volunteering within the time I am here.
7	MR. WILLIAMS:	Within the time that you're here? What do you mean?
8	MS. BRADSHAW:	I mean because of my schedule, and when I'm in town.
9	MR. WILLIAMS:	Well, the reason I would like for you to volunteer is because you show a
10		great interest in the different documents and what needs to be discussed.
11		And I just think that you would be very helpful in that area.
12	MR. PHILLIPS:	Thank you Mondell, and thank you Mrs. Bradshaw. Right now I see three
13		hands - Mrs. Bradshaw, Mr. Eskridge and Mr. Clay. We'd like to get two
14		more members. (Hands raised) Mrs. Willett, thank you; thank you Mr.
15		Tyler. Mr. Clay?
16	MR. CLAY:	I also would like to, if we could, get one of the environmental people from
17		the state, or if Jordan or Carter would like to sit in. I think that would be
18		positive as well.
19	MR. PHILLIPS:	As a RAB board I would not argue with that, but I would caution the
20		subcommittee to use that regulatory official as a consultant to you.
21	MR. GRAY:	Carter Gray. I don't know the constraints of the grant itself. I think it's an
22		excellent opportunity for some of the newer members of the board to look
23		back through a number of the earlier documents when a great deal of that
24		information was developed. Certainly our office has a lot of that, but I
25		don't know whether that community assistance grant envisions
26		governmental groups participating in how it's spent. I'm happy to do it,
27		but I don't want to violate any of the grant rules so they'll have an excuse
28		not to give us more next year.
29	MR. PHILLIPS:	I believe Mr. English volunteered for it.

1	MR. ENGLISH:	I don't have any problem being involved with either group meetings or
2		being available to be called for questions or whatever. In fact, I'm always
3		open for that any way, so whatever capacity you see fit to use me I'll be
4		glad to be there. I'm going to be honest with you, okay. So if I think a
5		document is minor and is not important, I'm going to tell you that. And
6		that may be the reason you might want to go for that document or not. But
7		to me the grant is a very small grant. It's going to require that you focus
8		your efforts on those major important parts of all the work here that is
9		critical. So that's what I'm going to be advising you - to let you know
10		that on the front end - if I'm going to be involved in it.
11	MR. PHILLIPS:	Thank you Jordan.
12	RAB MEMBER:	Are we still under Old Business?
13	MR. PHILLIPS:	Actually, we haven't started Old Business yet. Okay, I'm ready to move
14		into Old Business now. Let me go to my notes.
15		
1.0		
16	OLD BUSINESS'	
17	OLD BUSINESS ⁷	
		STIONS FROM SEPTEMBER MEETING
17		STIONS FROM SEPTEMBER MEETING
17 18		STIONS FROM SEPTEMBER MEETING We have a three-month break between now and January when our next
17 18 19	COMMENTS/QUE	
17 18 19 20	COMMENTS/QUE	We have a three-month break between now and January when our next
17 18 19 20 21	COMMENTS/QUE	We have a three-month break between now and January when our next official RAB meeting is held. We welcome suggestions from the RAB
17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMENTS/QUE	We have a three-month break between now and January when our next official RAB meeting is held. We welcome suggestions from the RAB members for agenda topics for that meeting. You can send those to us any
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMENTS/QUE	We have a three-month break between now and January when our next official RAB meeting is held. We welcome suggestions from the RAB members for agenda topics for that meeting. You can send those to us any
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	COMMENTS/QUE	We have a three-month break between now and January when our next official RAB meeting is held. We welcome suggestions from the RAB members for agenda topics for that meeting. You can send those to us any time between now and the end of the year.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	COMMENTS/QUE	We have a three-month break between now and January when our next official RAB meeting is held. We welcome suggestions from the RAB members for agenda topics for that meeting. You can send those to us any time between now and the end of the year. Earlier, during the last meeting, Mr. Gray asked for a progress report to be
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	COMMENTS/QUE	We have a three-month break between now and January when our next official RAB meeting is held. We welcome suggestions from the RAB members for agenda topics for that meeting. You can send those to us any time between now and the end of the year. Earlier, during the last meeting, Mr. Gray asked for a progress report to be prepared regarding the status of our projects today. That's Mr. Carter

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

half of calendar year 2000 during our meeting in January. We already have several items we believe might be appropriate for our January RAB. We will not have regular RAB meetings in November and December, but there should be plenty of items to present in January.

Additionally, I would like to remind you that the day before our RAB in January is the day scheduled for Risk Assessment Guidance training from the toxicologist from EPA Region IV. So I'm sure that will be on our minds that day as well.

I would like to give you a brief update on where our web site is. It's one of the major items on our New Business agenda tonight. I have some slides that are still-shots from our web page. It's not finished yet. It's still a work in progress, but I'd like to show you tonight what we have done so far.

We had a DRC (Depot Redevelopment Corporation) presentation two months ago. A couple of questions were asked of Mr. Covington. One was from Ms. Betty Mills, and she asked if MIFA was storing food in Building 629. Additionally, Mrs. Bradshaw asked a question, and I tried to answer that last month, but I would prefer Mr. Covington report back to the board. Ms. Bradshaw's question was did Livingston Healthcare — who is a tenant in one of our buildings — store any radioactive products in their warehouse. As I reported last month, the answer to that, which I've been told is no, but we'll hear it from Mr. Covington.

There's an Action Memorandum document that we've put in our repositories – additionally we mailed copies of that out to members of the RAB. That Action Memorandum is for our proposed removal action in

MR. BRAYON:

the southwest corner of the property where the paint shops were. I'd like to ask the RAB board if there are any points of clarification. Mr. Brayon? Eugene Brayon. Sorry I wasn't here at the last meeting. In the EE/CA report they quoted some things that I was responsible for bringing up. It's how will the fence keep industrial contaminants levels – contain the dust of these contaminants and transport these past the fence in residential areas. And they wrote that this would be taken care of when they removed the contaminants or whatever dust particles or whatever they had to do by some rather extravagant means.

I congratulate them for that and I think that's something that really should be done. But they also by doing this, point up the importance of the airborne pollutants that may have already been out there for several years ahead of this particular activity. I did not intend for this to be just for the removal of contaminants of this particular kind. But since Mr. Covington and his committee has stated that alternative one – which means that things would stay as they are so that the city could use it for the same purposes that was used by the Depot – meaning that the contaminants would still be there. I was asking for some control of the time after the cleanup until in the future. I think that they circumvented this particular aspect that dealt with just cleaning up and not the future. I asked specifically, how could a fence eliminate the airborne pollutants that may be out there? Not may be, but what's found out there. This has not been addressed in my estimation, and I think that it should be addressed.

I have received from the BRAC members and from Alma a long list of people who are responsible for this and I think they're here. They are -- Carter Gray is here and Jordan English – they are responsible. I want to know from them and from the others, what are they doing in the efforts to

1 keep the environment clean and clear out there? It seems to me that 2 there's a shift of the obligations of what the Depot is doing. They're 3 rightfully placed, and they are in positions to take care of this situation. 4 5 You asked about a January agenda. I would like for them – and all the 6 people you sent me names for - to come to that meeting and tell the RAB members how they are keeping up with what's going on with Mr. 7 8 Covington's assignments of people to take over whatever's going to be 9 located in this particular Depot. What efforts are being made that the 10 community will be safe from any problem areas? I've heard on one end 11 that there's no control. If they wish to have a paint shop or a sandblasting 12 shop, if the same pollutants are in there, there's no control. I want some 13 clarification. I don't want to belabor this point, but do you understand 14 where I'm coming from? 15 MR. PHILLIPS: Yes sir, I do. In terms of what I can respond to or the Defense Logistics 16 Agency (DLA) can respond to, we're doing what we believe we're 17 responsible to do up there. I agree that if that was your full concern, that 18 full concern did not get responded to. I'd like to point out that in my 19 belief what you're asking for is probably more than a point of 20 clarification, and I can't do more than that this evening. I do think it 21 might be a good topic for an agenda for January possibly. We can make 22 contacts out of our office and Alma can make contacts. I don't know if 23 it's going to be possible to get everybody on that point of contact list that 24 you're referring to. We're talking about 15 or 20 agencies I believe -25 quite a few. I don't know if it's possible, but I'm sure we can stick with 26 the Health Department and the Tennessee Department of Environment and 27 Conservation about addressing those kinds of issues. Mr. Tyler did you 28 have a point of clarification? 29 MR. TYLER: (inaudible)

1	MR. PHILLIPS:	Mrs. Bradshaw. Mrs. Bradshaw was next.
2	MS. BRADSHAW:	Shawn, on the question that I asked in August about Livingston Health
3		Services or whatever, that wasn't the only thing that I asked for. I asked
4		for the rest of the companies that are around here – what are they housing?
5		And if they're housing chemicals, what type of chemicals they're
6		housing? I don't want the ingredients or anything like that, but we need to
7		know if there are chemicals on these grounds and the different companies
8		around here. Not just Livingston, but all of them. We need to know what
9		is over here now.
10	MR. PHILLIPS:	Mrs. Bradshaw I understand. Can I ask you for clarification of your
11		comment? You said the rest of the companies around here. Do you mean
12		on the Depot?
13	MS. BRADSHAW:	On the Depot. Yes.
14	MR. PHILLIPS:	Thank you.
15	MS. BRADSHAW:	I'm talking about on the Depot. That's what I'm interested in now. And
16		Shawn, there's a building at the corner of – well it's not a building
17		anymore - at the corner of Dunn and Perry Road, not at the corner but
18		more on Dunn from Perry Road. It's this thing that's chewing up the
19		concrete or whatever. There's quite a bit of dust that's arriving from
20		there. And if that's a toxic building that it's chewing up, something needs
21		to be done about that immediately. Some days it's so dusty around here.
22		And that was one of the buildings in question. They're turning this
23		building into sand particles. But it's horrible around here.
24	MR. PHILLIPS:	Those are your two comments, in terms of what other companies may be
25		storing or shipping or whatever out of their rented space here on the
26		Depot?
27	MS. BRADSHAW:	I want to know what's their purpose, what they make, what they do and
28		what they're housing. And if there's certain types of chemicals we would
29		like to be aware of them, especially if they're hazardous chemicals.

1	MR. PHILLIPS:	What they do, what they make, what they store - just general information
2		about what the companies might have? I'm going to refer that question to
3		Mr. Covington. He has all the subleases with those tenants.
4	MS. BRADSHAW:	Well that's what I asked for in August.
5	MR. PHILLIPS:	Well you've made it perfectly clear now what you're looking for. The
6		second one - the dust that's being generated - I know the project you're
7		talking about. That concrete crusher, it's an aggregate crushing machine.
8		That's part of the city's road widening project coming on to the Depot
9		property. If that dust is creating a nuisance, I'm going to refer that directly
10		to Jim Covington tomorrow morning.
11	MS. BRADSHAW:	My next question is, even though that's where the concrete barriers were,
12		wasn't that an area where they used to unload chemicals, in that particular
13		area?
14	MR. PHILLIPS:	Not that I'm aware of ma'am.
15	MS. BRADSHAW:	Can you look and see what was done by those railroad tracks at that
16		particular time because everything is airborne over there - everything.
17	MR. PHILLIPS:	We went beyond responding to points of clarification on the Action
18		Memorandum of the paint shop. So in the interest of keeping on our
19		agenda, I have to move on at this point. Let me make an additional note to
20		myself about that dust, to answer your question.
21	MR. WILLIAMS:	I want to ask Mr. Gray a quick question here. In breaking up air, water and
22		land, who handles what? I know the state handles land how does that
23		work?
24	MR. GRAY:	Primarily the Health Department is the delegated agency for the air
25		pollution. So, I do have an interest in Mrs. Bradshaw's concern, which
26		Shawn is going to follow it up, and I can promise you one of my
27		inspectors will be out there tomorrow morning. So we deal with odors, air
28		pollution and that kind of stuff. The state bears primary responsible for
29		solid waste management, remediation cleanup, water protection and some

1		delegation under the permitting of (inaudible) and wells, as long as they're
2		not municipal wells. So, the state's from the ground down primarily.
3	MR. WILLIAMS:	So is county up and state down?
4	MR. GRAY:	Well I wouldn't want to phrase it quite like that.
5	MR. PHILLIPS:	Thank you. Continuing on with Old Business, we've prepared a fact sheet
6		- kind of a summary of the 1991 Initial Assessment - and mailed that out
7		to you. I'm still working on the budget report, which I've been telling you
8		I've worked on, and I'll mail that to you when I finish it.
9		
10		Again, I'd like to invite you to visit one of our Information Repositories at
11		the Main Branch Library, the Cherokee Branch, the one that Carter Gray
12		maintains down at the Memphis/Shelby County Health Department, and
13		the one here in the reading room. We have all of our documents available
14		in our reading room for checkout to RAB members. That has not been
15		exercised yet, but if you ever want to check out a document - and with the
16	ų	two months we have coming up with no RAB meeting - it would be a
17		good time to catch up on some of the technical documents we produce.
18		Call Alma or Cookie at 544-0613, and for the benefit of Mr. Eskridge let
19		me give those names again. Cookie Johnson and Alma Black Moore are
20		both to be reached at that number, 544-0613.
21		
22		Our latest edition of EnviroNews that was mailed, the September/October
23		edition, is available on the back table for those who did not receive it. I'm
24		interested in asking a question of Mr. Eskridge. I read on your application
25		that your concern is local residents and former employees that live in the
26		neighborhood. Are you on the mailing list for that document? (reference
27		to EnviroNews)
28	MR. ESKRIDGE:	Yes, I already receive it.

MR. PHILLIPS:

Thank you. I want to point out that we announced in that document that we planned on putting our first Finding of Suitability to Transfer document, also known as a FOST, out for thirty-day public review period. That transfer document is for our former housing area. I just wanted you to know that has not been done yet. I still have that document in the draft stage. When I get it drafted we will make the public notice and we will also notify all of the RAB members when it goes out for public review.

There also has been, dating back to some earlier RAB meetings, a request to find out what's going on with the ATSDR reports. We have an update on those studies from ATSDR, and that stands for the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. They've provided us information over the last couple of weeks and I'd like to read what they provided me.

"ATSDR anticipated that the Cancer Incidence Assessment document will be available for public comment at this time. However, Mr. Gene Brayon has joined the health concern subgroup, and ATSDR is currently working with Mr. Brayon to address some of the questions raised by the community members before they release that document. Once these questions have been satisfactorily resolved, the document will go out for public comment."

I don't know when that is. The second thing ATSDR is working on for the Depot community is a document called the DDMT Public Health Assessment. And that's currently undergoing extensive internal review within the agency. DLA does not have that document. It's expected that the document will be released for public comment around the first of December. Those two little tid-bits come directly from ATSDR.

1		"All of those who participated in the Greater Memphis Environmental
2		Justice Work Group and all members of the RAB will receive a copy of
3		both documents for review. The document will also be made available for
4		review at the repositories used by us, DDMT, and will be widely
5	(4	publicized. During the public comment period of probably sixty days
6		there will be one or more meetings to receive verbal comments."
7		
8		Okay, that's the end of their statement. I'd like to point out that will be
9		ATSDR's meeting. They will run that meeting. I'm sure the Depot will
10		attend it, and I'm sure they'll encourage all RAB members to attend those
11		meetings.
12		
13		Due to a past request - and again that's from Mr. Brayon - he has
14		requested that ATSDR speak to the RAB, and we plan on following
15		through with that request. This is one of the agenda items we were talking
16		about for January. We at the Depot will invite ATSDR to come make a
17		presentation on their two studies in January. So, Mr. Brayon if you have
18		any thoughts about that with your earlier request of tonight, priority or
19		whatever, if you could let us know we would appreciate it. If you want to
20		tell us your priority right now
21	MR. BRAYON:	(Nods, no).
22	MR. PHILLIPS:	Okay, thank you. At the last meeting Ms. Brooks, who lives on Hays,
23		raised a concern about the rail crossings on Dunn Road. I have checked
24		with the Depot Redevelopment Corporation and those tracks do not appear
25		to be needed by the reuse plan. So, there is no intended future use of those
26		tracks and those crossings. I don't have any additional information other
27		than that. Mr. Clay?
28	MR. CLAY:	I have a question. Are the tracks scheduled to be removed?
29	MR. PHILLIPS:	I don't know the answer to that.

1	MR. CLAY:	Whose responsibility is that, Mr. Herenton?or
2	MR. PHILLIPS:	I frankly don't know the answer to that either.
3	MR. CLAY:	Can I ask you to get a response to me and to the board when you find out?
4	MR. PHILLIPS:	Yes sir.
5	MR. CLAY:	Thank you.
6	MR. PHILLIPS:	Ms. Hooks?
7	MS. HOOKS:	Thank you. I sent a letter to John DeBack requesting that the Depot
8		actually remove and repair those tracks. I have some information that
9		indicates the Depot is responsible for that. I haven't gotten a reply back -
10		quite honestly I don't think enough time has passed since I sent out my
11		correspondence to receive any correspondence back from him. If that
12		fails, then I will move forward to get the city to come in and try to resolve
13		the issue.
14	MR. PHILLIPS:	Thank you. I haven't received your letter yet. That's why I could not
15		answer but I suspected it was coming.
16		
17		The last thing we have under Old Business is we did not have a BRAC
18		Cleanup Team meeting this morning. We will meet Monday afternoon
19		when we convene for a strategic planning session, and Mr. Tyler
20		graciously volunteered to attend that meeting. He will report back to the
21		RAB in January. Mr. Tyler?
22	MR. TYLER:	Stanley Tyler. I had a question under Old Business. I think it was the
23		August BCT meeting, there was a presentation about lowering the dieldrin
24		level from a professor out of the University of California at Berkley. He
25		presented an outstanding proposal to lower the dieldrin levels. I want to
26		know what is the status? Are we going to act on that, or what is going to
27		be done with his proposal? For those who are not familiar with it, he said
28		you could incorporate lowering the dieldrin level by using landscaping
29		techniques and some other high technology that's very cost effective. The

1		most costly part of it would be the laboratory side of it – doing the
		•
2		laboratory checks to make sure it works. But, from what I saw of what he
3		presented, it looked like it will be effective, and cost effective. If we do
4		nothing, the dieldrin level will stay high up to ten years. If we take this
5		particular path that the professor from California offered, the dieldrin level
6		would be lowered by less than 50% in three years. If we're going to turn
7		that golf course into a public park - with 1-year-olds, to 30-year-olds, to
8		60-year-olds - we need to go down that path. And I was told that this path
9		was cost effective. What's the status of that?
10	MR. PHILLIPS:	The project or the technology you're talking about has been mentioned at
11		the RAB meeting before. It's called bio-remediation. We plan on having
12		the Corps of Engineers look at that as an alternative when we produce a
13		Feasibility Study. The Feasibility Study in the CERCLA process follows
14		the Remedial Investigation (RI), and we are scheduled to have the final
15		Remedial Investigation at the Main Installation, I believe in December of
16		this year or in January. I believe it's January 2000. We'll then look at the
17		feasibility of different alternatives - things we can do to make this
18		environment cleaner. That bio-remediation will be either selected or not
19		selected for whatever reason in that Feasibility Study. Thank you Mr.
20		Tyler.
21	MR. TYLER:	This informed decision, will it be open to the public, or how will you go
22		about doing it? You all will look at all the studies and decide this is the
23		one we're going to go with? Will the RAB have input, or will the
24		community have input? Who will have input when you make the final
25		decision?
26	MR. PHILLIPS:	The way that Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation,
27		and Liabilities Act (CERCLA) process works - Superfund the way the
28		Superfund works is that after the Feasibility Study is complete, it makes
29		recommendations. It does not make the decision. After that, the BRAC

1		Cleanup Team will develop a document called the Proposed Plan. We put
2		the Proposed Plan out for 30 days of public review. We are required to
3		hold a public meeting on that document as well. If the public agrees with
4		the decision, or if there's some reason why we have to reverse the
5		decision, we take that into account. At that point we issue a document
6		called a Record of Decision (ROD), which is the legal document that
7		binds us to implement that remedial decision.
8		
9		So that will go out. It will be a document called the Proposed Plan. The
10		public will review that with the Remedial Investigation and the Feasibility
11		Study at their disposal in the repository, and review the decision that is
12		proposed.
13	MR. TYLER:	One last question. What will be the defining criteria as to what plan is to
14		be selected? Will it be safety, cost, or quick and convenient?
15	MR. PHILLIPS:	The criteria the BRAC Cleanup Team must evaluate when they pick an
16		alternative, or propose an alternative in that Proposed Plan – that criteria is
17		established by the CERCLA act. It's in regulation what we have to
18		evaluate. Jordan, do you know those off the top of your head?
19	MR. ENGLISH:	There are nine criteria – short-term effectiveness, which basically talks
20		about will the act of fixing the problem create more problems or not. I
21		can't remember all of them right now; long-term effectiveness,
22		implementability, cost can also be a factor and there are several others;
23		state ARARs (Applicable, Relevant and Appropriate Requirements).
24		There are nine criteria altogether, but the over-arching criterion that's
25		looked at is the overall protectiveness of health and environment.
26	RAB MEMBER:	Can you define what ARAR is?
27	MR. ENGLISH:	I'm probably going to mess this up, but it's Applicable, Relevant and
28		Appropriate Requirements. Those are things like MCLs, or maximum
29		contaminant levels, that are allowed for drinking water; if there's air

√ 1		emission standards, those are ARARs. One point to remember about
2		ARARs, the main criterion for an ARAR is that it has to be universally
3		applied. So an MCL for drinking water would be appropriate, a limit in
4		Shelby County that it adheres to for air emissions would be an ARAR. Or
5		something that was used at one site across the state cannot be used as a
6		criteria for this site here unless it's been universally applied across the
7		state. There are others that I just can't think of, so give me a call, and I'll
8		pull them out of my little book.
9	MR. PHILLIPS:	Thank you Jordan. Mr. Tyler with all your concern or all your questions
10		going down this vein of how this process works; I might make a
11		suggestion to some of the TAPP subcommittee members. That might be a
12		process that would be valuable to have elaborated for you. I believe that's
13		something that's totally within the bounds of what that grant could be used
14		for. Mrs. Bradshaw also had a comment.
15	MS. BRADSHAW:	What other alternatives are you going to use in the golf course cleanup?
16		You've got bio-remediation. What's are the other two remedies that you
17		listed for the cleanup?
18	MR. PHILLIPS:	The other two?
19	MS. BRADSHAW:	Yes. Normally it's three different types of suggestions for cleanups. I
20		was wondering if there were any more you suggested other than bio-
21		remediation.
22	MR. PHILLIPS:	We haven't started the Feasibility Study for the golf course yet, so I can't
23		answer that.
24	MS. BRADSHAW:	I thought that you had something other than bio-remediation because, Mr.
25		Tyler, that's not proven that it will work. I don't know what else in on the
26		golf course other than dieldrin - what other chemicals are there.
27	MR. PHILLIPS:	You're right. We have to evaluate all that.
28	MS. BRADSHAW:	So that's what environmentalists call a "do-nothing remedy."

1	MR. PHILLIPS:	I think we're probably jumping the gun here, latching on the bio-
2		remediation. What Mr. Tyler saw and what we did as a BRAC Cleanup
3		Team is there was a Ph.D. doctor working on this technology at a college
4		in California. The Corps of Engineers was at a conference where they saw
5		his presentation, and they thought it might be applicable here. We hired
6		that professor on a retainer to come and take some of our soil to see if it
7		was an alternative. The results were somewhat favorable. And that's all it
8		is at this point. It has not been decided. The document that will make a
9		recommendation, the Feasibility Study, has not been started yet. So, if
10		you want two other alternatives for me to tell you about tonight, I don't
11		know what they are. It just simply doesn't exist at this point in time.
12	MS. BRADSHAW:	At the next BRAC meeting I would like to come also, if some of these
13		things are going to be discussed and if you have something technical. At
14		the last BRAC meeting, you didn't hear anything that was important. It
15		seemed like you were going back over the same things you had already
16		been over. And I hate to go to a meeting to spend my day and not discuss
17		the technical issues.
18	MR. PHILLIPS:	I would recommend that if we have BCT meetings, we report on them at
19		the RAB meeting. As we get closer to developing that Feasibility Study -
20		and we might have a draft Feasibility Study which the BRAC Cleanup
21		Team is reviewing – it would probably be an appropriate time for you to
22		come to the BRAC Cleanup Team meeting. And I'll be sure and tell you
23		about that, Ms. Bradshaw. Let me make a note to myself.
24	MS. MOORE:	I have it down Shawn.
25	MR. PHILLIPS:	Thank you Alma.
26	MR. ENGLISH:	I'll be real quick. I have no problem with citizen members deciding to use
27		the TAPP grant to explain what is essentially the law. I would recommend
28		that either EPA or the state gives you the discussion of the nine criteria,

1		and that you use the resources of your TAPP grant to review dollars and
2		review the decisions themselves that are made.
3		
4		The process that puts together the feasibility alternatives is not limited to
5		three. There is only one that is required. It is required because there is a
6		requirement by law to establish a baseline, and that's a "no action"
7		alternative. All the other criteria are established over the course of the
8		Feasibility Study as alternatives. There are complex processes involved
9		with selecting different alternatives - technology that works, technology
10		that doesn't work and it's thrown out - but several alternatives will be
11		developed and those will be decided upon. So the state or EPA can give
12		you this presentation. They do it all the time, and EPA invented it.
13	MR. PHILLIPS:	Thank you Mr. English.
14	MS. BRADSHAW:	Jordan, when I made that statement I remembered the three criteria for
15		cleanup of the groundwater. And I thought regardless of what issues or
16		what cleanup is done on this site, you narrowed them down to three and let
17		the people know which way you were going on the particular cleanup.
18		Because it was three for groundwater, and I know that as far as the
19		groundwater was concerned, it was supposed to be pumped and treated.
20		But it was pumped and ran through the sewer system. And that wasn't on
21		the list, so I was wondering would you put this forward - on the initial
22		cleanup - and let us know which way you're going with this so we can
23		have an opportunity to respond?
24		
25		We were surprised that for the groundwater we were told for years that it
26		was going to be pumped and treated, but not pumped and run down the
27		sewer line.
28	MR. PHILLIPS:	It is being pumped and treated. Quote me on it.

1	MS. BRADSHAW:	We were told there was going to be a filtration system, before it goes into
2		the sewer line. This was when Chris Kartman was here and then it was
3		totally different, from my understanding, of what was actually done. I was
4		surprised. This is running down the sewer system before it's even treated.
5	MR. PHILLIPS:	I'm not aware of this. From my prospective it is being treated by the
6		public works sewer department. This is a discussion, but it's obviously an
7		important discussion. Would you like to propose this as an agenda topic?
8		How alternatives are selected?
9	MS. BRADSHAW:	I don't want to waste people's time on that.
10	MR. PHILLIPS:	Well, like I said it sounds to me, members of the TAPP subcommittee, that
11		this process - how things are selected - there is an interest in how this
12		process works. We need to move on. We're still barely half way through
13		our Old Business agenda. I'd like to point out the RAB Strategic Planning
14		Session update, Mondell was going to do that. Basically, everything
15		Mondell was going to say, and Kevin Clay's question from earlier tonight
16		in terms of what the partnering was all about, was the synopsis of that
17		discussion. So, Mondell that's been covered.
18	MR. PHILLIPS:	We'd like to ask Ms. Alma Moore back up to the mike to give us an
19		update on the planned trip to Ogden, Utah and the January Risk
20		Assessment Guidance training. Please Alma.
21		
22	TRIP TO OGDEN,	UTAH UPDATE
23	RISK ASSESSMEN	T GUIDANCE TRAINING UPDATE
24	RAB STRATEGIC	PLANNING SESSION UPDATE
25		
26	MS. MOORE:	We've been hearing about the trip to Ogden, Utah to observe the site of
27		the CWM removal there. There are a couple of other places in the U.S
28		Spring Valley, Ogden and what's coming up here in Memphis. They're
29		about to mobilize in Ogden, Utah the beginning of November. The

Memphis Depot and DLA agreed to take two community RAB members to observe the CWM removal site. I will be going, along with Shawn. We will probably go early Monday, November 29 and return that Tuesday or Wednesday. More information will be forthcoming.

We asked that you vote silently and select two members out of five that expressed an interest in visiting Ogden. The two RAB community members you selected are Mr. Eugene Brayon and Mr. Mondell Williams. In case Mr. Brayon or Mr. Williams could not make the trip, an alternate was selected. The alternate you selected was Ms. Johnnie Mae Peters. So we will forward more information about the trip to these three community RAB members. And in case Ms. Peters can't go, or Mr. Brayon and Mr. Williams, the other alternate would be Mr. Clay. So we have people in line, and we just need to make sure that the schedule works out for everyone. There will be more information forthcoming about the process of the trip and the travel arrangements.

We have feedback from the RAB about Risk Assessment Guidance training. We've talked about that for a while here at RAB meetings and Dr. Ted Simon with EPA Region IV has agreed to train the RAB. Ms. Doris Bradshaw has had extensive training from Dr. Simon and she has made comments about what a great presentation he had, and that we probably need a lot of training as far as getting all the information we can. But RAB members were polled about the amount of time they were willing to commit to Risk Assessment training. It seems you are willing to give up half of a day. So we will have the training from 8 a.m. until 1 p.m. here at the Depot. Most members, as I said, were willing to give up half a day. We will send you more information prior to that RAGs training which will be January 19, the day before the January RAB

1 meeting here at the Depot. So please make your schedules available for 2 January 19 from 8 a.m. to 1 p.m., and to remember our RAB meeting 3 January 21 and the RAB partnering session on December 16, so we can 4 discuss some of the issues of importance. 5 6 Congratulations to Mr. Brayon and Mr. Williams, and we look forward to 7 a report to the RAB about your findings in Ogden. 8 MR. PHILLIPS: Let me just clarify two things she said. The December 16 RAB meeting is 9 the administration meeting. It's only open to the RAB. The training 10 session that we're being offered by Dr. Simon – I don't plan on 11 conducting that meeting in this large of a forum. Depending on how many 12 people can commit to come, I plan on doing it in the room where we used 13 to have our RAB meetings, over in the Commander's building. It's a 14 much better room for teaching and for that type of a session. 15 At this time, if she's willing, would you like to make any comments about 16 17 the CERCLA legal process Councilwoman Hooks? Ms. Hooks I know you didn't hear this at the beginning of the meeting, but we're shy a 18 19 transcriptionist tonight and we're doing this all on tape recorder. Thank 20 you. 21 22 REVIEW OF CERCLA PROCESS 23 24 MS. HOOKS: Thank you, Shawn. Janet Hooks. Coming to you is a copy of a 25 memorandum to Allan Wade and it's from Attorney Mary Aronov in his 26 law firm. Mr. Bradshaw continued to ask a question about what language 27 actually exists that requires or mandates that the federal government is 28 responsible for cleanup down the road should a problem arise. And if you

will bear with me, I'm just going to point out the highlights of this memorandum without going completely through it.

The first page basically asks the question, and since I posed the question as a councilperson, then the reply is coming back that the city wants to know, etc. That being said I will just read a couple of the excerpts for you and I think that will answer the question Mr. Bradshaw raised. (Councilwoman Hooks reads aloud from the document, a copy of which was distributed to RAB members and is attached to these minutes).

The last paragraph merely says that it is a possibility that the city entered into some agreements with the Depot prior to the remediation starting. And if these provisions exist between the city and the Depot, they can serve as a basis for compelling the department to remediate. So the only "if" that I'm hoping is that, if down the road there is a problem, it is on the city to then request that the department then come in and continue some remedial action. They can do this – it will probably have to be litigated in order for them to do that – and it has pointed out the four categories by which the city can do that.

So CERCLA does provide some provision. I will tell you that I thought that perhaps Attorney Wade was going to be here tonight. But I will also tell you that while that should give some level of comfort to what the actual provision stipulates, there are a lot of "ifs." There are a lot of "ifs" in my mind because a lot of things are going to be dependent upon what parties are out there at the time that, in any event, remedial action needs to be done further down the road. What kind of monies are in the budget Superfund-wise for the city to actually go in and incur the cost if they do have to come in here and do some other remediation.

1		So those are things that, while the language is not sitting here, those are
2		the political realities of what might happen down the road. But CERCLA
3		does in fact, in answer to your direct question, provide some provision for
4		remediation to continue after the cleanup takes place.
5	MR. PHILLIPS:	Thank you Ms. Hooks. Mr. Clay?
6	MR. CLAY:	I have two quick questions. The first one Ms. Hooks, I want to know are
7		you aware or could you find out if the city and DLA have entered into any
8		agreements?
9	MS. HOOKS:	I am not aware. I will check, but I am not aware and Shawn, I don't know
10		whether you are aware.
11	MR. PHILLIPS:	I'm not aware of any myself.
12	MS. HOOKS:	I would venture to tell you - and this is speaking off the cuff because I
13		haven't checked - but my gut reaction would be no, there has not been an
14		agreement that was forged between the city and DLA.
15	MR. CLAY:	The second is just a comment based on the info we got from Mr. Wade
16		and his staff. It sounds like we'd better do our job on the front end
17		because I would hate to have to count on the taxpayers of Memphis to
18		have to come in behind DLA to clean it up. So that's another reason for
19		the RAB to be diligent in our efforts, because we can save our taxpayers
20		some money down the road.
21	MS. HOOKS:	I do want to point out while I have to make those statements because one
22		of the things I was trying to do was to give a direct response, I needed to
23		know this for myself. Mary Aronov with Attorney Wade's office - and
24		Attorney Wade by the way, for those who do not know is the council's
25		attorney, not the city attorney. He works independent of the city
26		attorney's office. But the lady that responded to my request to him,
27		actually that's her area of expertise in the law firm.
28		

1		And I didn't want to come here and just say yes, without pointing out
2		some of the political realities that will occur, which may truthfully happen.
3		I do want to assure you from my perspective that, should there be health
4		and safety issues that arise down the road after the cleanup has been done,
5		I do believe that the city would then step in. And if it was necessary for us
6		to go to court to litigate to recoup any expenses, the city is not knowingly
7		going to allow a health and safety issue to be imposed upon the public.
8		That is one of the responsibilities that we're charged with. Whether or not
9		we will be able to recoup those costs, that is entirely a different issue. But
10		we will not aggressively try and remediate any additional problem when
11		it's a health and safety issue. The battle would be in recouping the dollars
12		that it might cost the city.
13	MR. WILLIAMS:	Ms. Hooks, did the city and county understand that there would be some
14		financial expenses in taking over this property and that, if anything went
15		wrong they would have to step up to the bat?
16	MS. HOOKS:	On the side, Mr. Williams, when we talk about the redevelopment agency,
17		yes, the city is aware and is actively moving forward with not only
18		appropriating dollars for the purposes of redeveloping the property, in
19		conjunction with private government partnership. So we're keenly aware
20		in order to redevelop the site, that certain things have to take place.
21		Liability is an entirely separate issue. And because I'm not an attorney, I
22		cannot represent that issue here.
23	MR. WILLIAMS:	But you're a real estate agent, or you're into real estate and you know
24		about property.
25	MS. HOOKS:	Yes, I am a real estate appraiser.
26	MR. PHILLIPS:	Mr. Tyler?
27	MR. TYLER:	Stanley Tylerjust a point of clarification. We keep talking about the
28		city liability and what the city has entered into. What has Shelby County
29		entered into and what is Shelby County liable for? Let me be clear

Allan Wade is the City Council attorney. Does he speak for the Shelby 1 2 County Commission on this issue? 3 MS. HOOKS: Mr. Wade is not speaking on behalf of the city or the country. The 4 opinion that you have before you is merely a lawyer taking a look at the 5 law that is on the books that governs the cleanup here; and giving you an 6 opinion as to whether or not, based on the question that was raised, 7 whether or not once the problem is remediated, if there's a problem down 8 the road, then what is the Department of Defense role in this. This is not a 9 position on paper that he has written on behalf of either entity. This is 10 merely a lawyer taking a look at the law, and giving an opinion on that. 11 Dr. Kirk would certainly know better than I do what kind of agreements 12 Shelby County might have entered into. I assure you in my capacity as an 13 elected official that, if down the road, and if I'm still on the council (and 14 who knows), my colleagues on the council would be ready to step up to the plate and provide whatever necessary measures we had to take, if it 15 was brought to our attention that additional problems existed. The city's 16 17 position I'm sure at that point would be, if because of public health and 18 safety they needed to come in here and do that, who in fact would incur 19 the cost would not be an issue that would prohibit the city from taking the 20 necessary steps to ensure public health and safety. 21 MR. PHILLIPS: Mr. English. 22 MR. ENGLISH: I have a question for Ms. Hooks. Do you know or think if the lawyers that 23 addressed this issue were aware or reviewed the CERFA law, which is the 24 Comprehensive Environmental Response Facilitation Act, is in addition to 25 CERCLA essentially, that describes how DoD (Department of Defense) or 26 federal facilities will respond? And I think there may be some greater 27 protection for the city in this case or the community as a whole. Because I 28 think there are greater requirements for DoD to take care of their 29 responsibilities. Given all the caveats you've described though, it still

1		must be proved that contamination existed from the Depot. But I think it's
2		for a longer period of time. I think forever is the way the CERFA law
3		reads. If that could be reviewed, and it may be better for it to be an
4		independent review, for that particular part of it.
5		
6		And if you don't know, I still have one more comment to make that is
7		about CERFA and CERCLA in general, especially about CERFA.
8		CERFA is sort of an unproven law to some extent. It's gone a certain
- 9		distance, and there have been sites where they have come back in. But it's
10		kind of like a new thing. A lot of the remedies and cleanups haven't been
11		in place long enough to really test it out. And the state is concerned that
12		there are a lot of promises that might be made on the front end that haven't
13		been tested out. So the state is concerned as well, as to how the CERFA
14		law plays out in the long run. But understanding of CERFA is also
15		important.
16	MS. HOOKS:	First, I want to address Jordan. I don't know whether they looked at
17		CERFA. What continues to be put on the table is CERCLA. If I missed
18		CERFA in some of the discussion as a referral to what would then bind the
19		DoD to continue cleanup, I did not ask that question. Now I can certainly
20		ask the attorneys whether or not they did, in doing the research on this.
		ask the attorneys whether or not they are, in doing the research on this.
21		But since mine was specific to this document that we continued to put on
21 22		
		But since mine was specific to this document that we continued to put on
22	MR. PHILLIPS:	But since mine was specific to this document that we continued to put on the table as the document that binds, I don't know if they went any further,
22 23	MR. PHILLIPS: MR. BRAYON;	But since mine was specific to this document that we continued to put on the table as the document that binds, I don't know if they went any further, Jordan.
22 23 24		But since mine was specific to this document that we continued to put on the table as the document that binds, I don't know if they went any further, Jordan. Thank you Ms. Hooks. Mr. Brayon, what does your comment concern?
22232425		But since mine was specific to this document that we continued to put on the table as the document that binds, I don't know if they went any further, Jordan. Thank you Ms. Hooks. Mr. Brayon, what does your comment concern? I am worried, Ms. Hooks, about this old paint and maintenance area report
2223242526		But since mine was specific to this document that we continued to put on the table as the document that binds, I don't know if they went any further, Jordan. Thank you Ms. Hooks. Mr. Brayon, what does your comment concern? I am worried, Ms. Hooks, about this old paint and maintenance area report that was put out where it says at the bottom of page 12 that removal of

1		language, it means to me that they relieve themselves of all types of
2		responsibility.
3	MR. PHILLIPS:	I'll respond to that. As Kevin said earlier tonight, it's the BRAC Cleanup
4		Team's job and this Restoration Advisory Board's job to make sure as we
5		go through this CERCLA process to clean up this property, we do
6		everything that ever needs to be done. Because we want this to be an all
7		encompassing cleanup, that's why you see language of that nature in that
8		engineering evaluation document.
9		
10		We need to proceed with our agenda. We're at the actual time for
11		adjournment of the meeting now. Let me let Alma make an
12		announcement. Then, Mondell, we should we should probably ask if
13		they're voting for the meeting to be extended or not. Alma?
14	MS. MOORE:	I need to make a statement. I'd like to introduce Trevor Smith Diggins,
15		the vice president of Frontline. He's taking the minutes for us manually
16		on his laptop, and he needs a break. This is his first time in Memphis, and
17		he had to come in and roll his sleeves up because the reporting company
18		came last week, on the second Thursday, and they said nobody was here.
19		So they didn't show up tonight. So if you want to extend the meeting,
20		fine. Make that motion. But we need to have a break.
21	MR. WILLIAMS:	Alright. I would like for someone to make a motion to adjourn the
22		meeting or to extend the meeting.
23	MR. TYLER:	I would like to make the motion that we extend the meeting further.
24	MS. HOOKS:	I second.
25	MR. WILLIAMS:	All in favor? Any opposed? Any abstaining? Motion carries. We'll take
26		a five-minute break and then we'll come back.
27	MS. MOORE:	Thank you so much. Welcome to Memphis, Trevor! (laughter)
28		
29		(Meeting breaks at approximately 7:35 p.m.)

1 2	MR. WILLIAMS:	The meeting is called back to order.
3	NEW BUSINESS	
4		EPOT WEB PAGE PRESENTATION
5		
6	MR. PHILLIPS:	Can everyone hear me okay? I'd like to do this slide presentation. I'll talk
7		loudly.
8		
9		Because of time constraints, we are not complete with our web page yet.
10		We will never be complete because it is always going to be a work in
11		progress. Within frankly the last two weeks, we've been able to put a lot
12		of information onto our web page. The web address is down here in the
13		corner of this slide, but I'll read it so the minutes can reflect this. Our web
14		address for this Defense Depot is www.ddc.dla.mil/memphis. The last
15		slash on there you don't need to get to our web page. The best thing for
16		folks to do if you have a computer with Internet access in your house to
17		look at this. If you don't, I believe all the public libraries now have
18		machines that will pull it up on public access. Mr. Brayon?
19	MR. BRAYON:	I've done it several times and can't get to it.
20	MR. PHILLIPS:	There must be a character missing in what you're typing in, but I've been
21		to this site probably a few dozen times.
22	MR. BRAYON:	I've done it several times. You can't get it. I'm going to look at what
23		you've got there and go back tonight and try again.
24	MR. CRAVEN:	Why don't you email the address to him when you're at work, and he can
25		click on the address and go right to it.
26	MR. PHILLIPS:	How about that? If anybody wants us to do that, if they've had trouble
27		getting to the web site, we'll circulate a piece of paper and get people's
28		email addresses at home, and I'll do that tomorrow. That's no problem.
29		Okay. That was Mr. Craven, the gentlemen in the back from the

1	Huntsville office of the Corps of Engineers. He's our computer guy, so
2	thanks for helping me out.
3	
4	Let me work through the presentation real quickly. Basically what I have
5	here are screen shots from the web site that were produced today. So this
6	is up to date as far as everything we had on the page. I don't have a screen
7	shot of everything we have on there, but I have a good representation.
8	This is called the splash screen. It's where you enter into the site, and the
9	outline for the site is an outline that we worked on - the team of Frontline
10	personnel, three Depot personnel and Mr. Tyler - it's an outline that we
11	worked on just over a year ago. I believe it was August or September of
12	'98 .
13	
14	I'd like to point out the major items.
15	 What's New, which will be new information or whatever's new
16	like if we're going to go out and do field work, we'll notify the
17	public there;
18	 Frequently Asked Questions, which are questions we hear at the
19	RAB or refer to during our public meetings;
20	 Community Relations Activities;
21	Cleanup Program;
22	• Reuse;
23	 Links to other government agencies – and this is actually a very
24	important one - with ATSDR and EPA;
25	 And then there will be a screen on there that will have all our
26	names and numbers.
27	
28	The first section thing I want to go to where we do have information so far
29	is the Community Relations screen. As you can see, we use some of the

Relations page, this is what you see when you enter, which is text. But the items over on the left – *EnviroNews* newsletter, Community Overview, all the way down to Restoration Advisory Board – are items you'll click on to and there will be additional information. I have some of that additional information with me. If you click on *EnviroNews*, we plan on putting actual copies of *EnviroNews* on the web so people can view it. In case someone for instance from Washington, DC is not on our mailing list and wants to see it to know what's going on, they can. I have an example of our most recent one that we put out in September. As you can see it's an actual snapshot of the newsletter. It's a "pdf" file.

Another thing that we have selectable so far in the Community Relations area is the Restoration Advisory Board. We have posted one thing on the web page so far, but there's another table that we have that we've sent to be processed and posted on the web site. I'd like to ask members of the RAB, when I open it up here, about the second table. This is a table that has points of contact for non-restoration issues. I believe Mr. Brayon was referring to that table earlier tonight. The second table we have is a listing of all the RAB members, similar in the way that it is listed in the signup sheet, with Mondell as the Community Co-Chair, me as a Co-Chair, our private citizen members, and our governmental members. And I believe that table has – correct me if I'm wrong – telephone numbers on it. Some people might not have realized that what we were proposing to do was putting your telephone numbers on the web site. So if you have any problems with that – and we'll contact the folk who are not here tonight – let Alma know about that, and we'll black out the telephone number.

We also have some information on the cleanup program tab. We have information right now under Environmental Studies and Reports. We are most likely going to add an additional item of information in here. Right now what we have under Environmental Studies and Reports – and again these are actual screen shots – are technical reports which you can select. They're listed as the blue outlined reports at the bottom of this page. If you click on one of those when you're on the web site, you go to an actual Executive Summary document. I've produced one. I've printed one out today; it's the Golf Course Risk Assessment. This is the document generated to look at the two ponds. But this is just a snapshot of the type of information – the background, what the report was aimed to do, a summary of the findings of the report – and if there are any other recommendations made on the report, we put that on the web site.

Getting back to that main splash page, I made a note that one of the important things that I think we have on there, other than our actual information about Memphis, is the other links. All these are active today. I've tried out the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) link. I've also tried out my headquarters link, which is the Defense Distribution Center (DDC), and these are active. They'll take you to those other sites.

I have another tab under Cleanup Program. As I said, there may be an item added to this page. I'd like to point out where that would be. Between the BRAC Cleanup Team and the Home tab, when it gets the approval for putting it on the page, you'll see another selectable item right here. It's called the Executive Data Management System. That's a link to a site that my headquarters does not maintain. That will be a Corps of Engineers site. Basically, right after me on the agenda, Mr. Greg

Underberg with CH2M Hill is going to do a presentation. His presentation 1 2 is about that EDMS (Executive Data Management System), and what the 3 user or private citizen who logs on to that web site will be able to do with 4 some of the environmental data, in terms of viewing it. I'd like to 5 introduce Greg Underberg for his presentation. 6 7 EXECUTIVE DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM PRESENTATION 8 9 MR. UNDERBERG: Thank you, Shawn. I've been coming to most of the RAB meetings here 10 and I've heard a lot of requests about getting the environmental data that's 11 in reports or in progress. What I want to present tonight is a web site that 12 is developed. It's basically what we call the executive level system. It's 13 coding for the data management systems, which the Corps of Engineers is 14 developing for all DLA sites. CH2M Hill is developing and maintaining 15 the web site for the Corps of Engineers the database behind me for 16 DDMT. 17 18 Some general information first, and then I'll walk through the capability of 19 this web site. As Shawn indicated, we will be able to access it at this 20 address. Mr. Tyler? 21 Again, you keep showing that last slash after Memphis. Is it necessary for MR. TYLER: 22 that to be there? 23 MR. UNDERBERG: It is not necessary. If you go to www.memphisdepot.ch2m.com you will 24 go directly into the web site. And it's up at this time. 25 26 Again, what we're doing at this time is taking the environmental data that 27 has gone into the Risk Assessments and the reports, and we're 28 consolidating it into one database that will be available and accessible 29 through the interface at this location. What we're providing is a graphic

interface. You'll be able to log on to the web site, look at a map of the facility, and query it, look at where the detections of whatever you're looking for are found, and pull off the data.

Right now we're providing the environmental analytical data – the groundwater data, soils data, surface water data – that we've collected as part of the RI efforts. Currently the data for the Main Installation is up on the system. The data for Dunn Field will be put on the system, once the RI has been reviewed. This will be an ongoing process. As we gather data, we will add it to the database and keep it up to date. There's no special software required for the database. You can access it with a standard web browser and a computer modem. I recommend at least a 56k; otherwise the response time will be pretty slow.

Okay, when you log onto the system this is what the home page for the executive level system looks like. You can build a map, you can build a report, and there are some other capabilities here to look at some geologic images under the other heading. There is online help capability and you can go to some other DLA sites by clicking on those locations. So when you open it up you'll see essentially the base map of the Depot.

Right now we're under the build map menu. When you click on that you'll come up with a list of features that you can show. On this map we have selected the aerial photograph for 1993. Here we've selected the base map, which are the features of the Depot – buildings, railroads and other roads. We've also selected sample locations. These don't light up immediately but when you query the data these are activated. So all these little red dots are the sample locations across the Depot that you can

26

27

28

access. As you move the cursor over it will light up the features of those buildings, and it gives you an idea of where you are across the Depot. There're several scales you can look at. There's a Depot scale, what we're looking at here. There's a larger scale which includes the background data, and a larger scale yet that includes a larger portion of Memphis.

Okay, on this slide after you build the map you can go ahead and build a report. What this allows you to do is guery the database by a couple of means. First, you can select a specific functional unit. And these are outlined in the RI as basically seventy areas across the Main Installation that we organize the Risk Assessment data around. Or you can just select all and get the entire Depot. You can select the matrix for groundwater, surface soil, sub-surface soil. If you select sub-surface soil, you can select the depth interval that you want to look at. We've got samples across the Depot at various intervals and we put them in five categories. You can pick one of those categories at a time. You can pick the individual parameter, in this case it's 111 trichloroethylene, an organic compound. and you can select whether you want all the data, the detects, or go on to see non-detects... or see what was not detected. After you select what you want to look at, you run the report, and it generates what looks like a spreadsheet. You have the functional unit identified; the report that the data can be found in; the sample locations; information on the sample as it's identified; the matrix, whether it's surface soil or groundwater; the interval, and in this case we select the surface soil from zero to one foot: the parameter name; the results of the units; the qualifier, whether it was detected, non-detect or if it was estimated; and the method detection limit of that particular parameter.

1		Again, if you go back, once you select that report, you can flip back to the
2		screen that shows the Depot and click on these little red dots and see
3		where that data is located. That report I just showed can be copied into a
4		spreadsheet and you can download the data that way. I encourage
5		everyone to go ahead and log onto it. It hasn't had a great number of
6		users. If you have any comments, please forward them to me. Thank you.
7	MR. TYLER:	When you click on the building, say building 835, will it give you the
8		history of that building, what was used in that building or what the
9		building was used for?
10	MR. UNDERBERG:	Not at this time.
11	MR. TYLER:	Like 629 used to be for the old hazardous waste, right? If I click on that
12		and if I want to buy that building and I want to know the history of that
13		building, I'd like to be able with the Internet to just click on it. Is there a
14		way to address that issue?
15	MR. UNDERBERG:	At this point the database just contains the analytical data. We don't have
16		information on the history of the buildings on the Internet.
17	MR. PHILLIPS:	I would suggest that if a potential tenant wanted information like that,
18		their first step would be to contact the Depot Redevelopment Corporation
19		and access the information through that vehicle.
20	MR. TYLER:	I was wondering because former workers, citizens, or anybody in the
21		community might be curious as to what was really on the site.
22	MR. PHILLIPS:	This Executive Data Management System won't answer what was there.
23		It answers what we've found through our sampling. Greg, would you
24		please relate the earliest data in this database up through the most modern
25		data? Will you give us those two time frames?
26	MR. UNDERBERG:	Right now the system includes five rounds of groundwater data. It
27		includes the analytical data that supported the Main Installation Remedial
28		Investigation. So what's in that data went through sampling earlier this

1		year, I believe back in April. So, again what's not in the system is the
2		Dunn Field data because that is still under review.
3	MR. PHILLIPS:	Greg, does it include data from the old 1990 law RI report?
4	MR. UNDERBERG:	No it does not. This is recent data that is in the database according to the
5		investigations.
6	MR. PHILLIPS:	Is it a correct statement to say then that all the data that you can pull up
7		through this system is CH2M Hill generated data?
8	MR. UNDERBERG:	Not exactly. The off-site drainage data is in this system also. That was
9		partly ^ by your staff (inaudible)
10	MR. PHILLIPS:	Thank you. Mr. Clay.
11	MR. CLAY:	I want to know if the information from Law Environmental Services will
12		be on the web site? Is that a possibility, getting that on the reports?
13	MR. PHILLIPS:	I suspected this comment would come. I thought Mr. Tyler would ask me
14		this. It is our plan; it is not done yet. And we found out it's going to take
15		a lot of work to make this happen. It is my plan to make the entire
16		Administrative Record through a digitized format, available through this
17		web site, so if you click on it you could go to a particular study you were
18		interested in, and click on chapters and see actual pages.
19		
20		If I'm asked if there's a time frame for that to be available, I don't have
21		one yet because we have to a contract for a company to do that service for
22		us, and there's no contract yet. But that's the ultimate goal behind this
23		system. We want people to be able to view our Administrative Record
24		from their own homes or wherever they can get on the web. Once you do
25		that, if you went to the 1990 law RI report that you're referring to, you can
26		click on the data tables and see them, and print it off the pages. Mr. Tyler.
27	MR. TYLER:	I noticed that BCT was left off, and you had BRAC up there. Is BCT
28		going to be up there, so you can click on their minutes?

1	MR. PHILLIPS:	Those BCT meeting minutes become part of our record, so they will be
2		selectable that way.
3		
4		Let me just give you an example of what I'm talking about. We had a
5		contractor come in and access our Administrative Records - letters, press
6		releases, physical documents - that we produced that sit on shelves. He
7		came up with 368 entries at the point he came to do that. That was earlier
8		this spring. Since that point, we have added one Action Memorandum for
9		the paint shop; we're working on another one, a couple of EE/CAs for
10		paint shop and chemical warfare materiel. So even that 368 number is
11		now obsolete. It's quite an effort and we want to go on doing this, but it's
12		basically being done because of all the requests to make information
13		available to the public. Because of the magnitude of the task, is why I'm
14		really uncomfortable giving somebody an estimate of a date.
15	MR. TYLER:	Well let me just get to the bottom line. I'd like for this web site to be
16		equipped with all the information that's in all these repositories, so that
17		someone won't have to get up to go to a repository or run to a library at 9
18		o'clock. And I want any document that was generated from the beginning
19		of this process put on there and updated regularly. So, if we started in '81
20		and somebody says it costs too much money to go back to '81 and we're
21		going to start at '91. I don't want to go to the repository. I want this new
22		technology to reflect that I can sit at home on my computer and start from
23		'81 and go to 2000. And, are we Y2K ready?
24	MR. PHILLIPS:	Yes, we are. I concur with your comment, Mr. Tyler. I concur strongly
25		with your comment. I'll remind the RAB that Mr. Brayon had concern
26		that our response to needing to see the documents was to go to the
27		repositories, and that is what the law requires us to do. And we are
28		meeting the law. And we want to go above and beyond that. It's quite an
29		effort but we're going to attempt to do that. It's a lot of work. Mr. Tyler.

§ 1	MR. TYLER:	I've been requesting that we should make a recommendation that any and
2		all documents in the repositories be put online on the web site, as
3		expediently as possible, and not worry about cost.
4	MR. PHILLIPS:	Thank you Mr. Tyler.
5	MS. BRADSHAW:	I second that.
6	MR. CLAY:	I'd like to go on record also as agreeing whole-heartedly with Mr. Tyler
7		and Miss Bradshaw.
8	MR. PHILLIPS:	Mr. Eskridge?
9	MR. ESKRIDGE:	Reginald Eskridge. I have a question. Can a disclaimer be put on the site
10		so the public will know that this information that's available is incomplete,
11		and they won't be fooled into thinking that the various studies that have
12		been done reflects the overall extent of the studies it contains?
13	MR. PHILLIPS:	That's an excellent recommendation. Let me make a suggestion for how
14		that can be done. On the splash page we could put a comment there to that
15		effect. But it's an excellent comment that you just made, so we should.
16		There's more information than what we have on our web page right now,
17		and that will most likely always be the case because the web page will
18		take time to update as we generate new documents. So that comment on
19		our web site is very appropriate. Thank you. Mr. Gray – and then I'd like
20		to open the floor to the Public Comment portion of the meeting.
21	MR. GRAY:	I'd just like to say that at the Health Department's repository there are
22		shelves, several shelves, and half a room full of binders, reports,
23		correspondence, drafts and other documents. I too favor that being
24		changed to a simple electronic access board at my site. I certainly would
25		love for the public to come visit, but quite frankly it would be far easier
26		for them to have that than to leaf through the various reports, draft reports,
27		findings and other action items.
28		

29

RAB COMMENT PERIOD

1

27

28

29

2 3 MS. BRADSHAW Something that I mentioned earlier tonight, about the section where the 4 machine is eating up the concrete and crushing it into sand, or whatever 5 it's supposed to be, at Perry and Dunn. I would like to make a recommendation that some type of air monitoring system be set in place 6 7 around these sites that they're working with. Because until someone 8 knows what is released in the air, we can assume that we are being 9 affected by this. But we need the data that shows, when the wind is 10 blowing and there's dust particles in the air, we need to know what is out there. This has happened over and over again with the Depot doing 11 12 construction and cleanup. And I'd like to make a recommendation that 13 they have an air monitoring system. I don't know if you can do it Carter 14 or not. But I think that it should be a DLA responsibility to try and make 15 sure that there is no exposure to the community, regardless. I'm just 16 concerned about that concrete being chopped up. And, from what I 17 understand, there were toxic barrels that were pulled off the train there. 18 and we don't know what's in the air there. So we would like for you to 19 have some kind of air monitoring system put in place as soon as possible, 20 on any site that you're working on that's going to cause any type of 21 airborne dust – whether you're grinding or digging or whatever. 22 MR. PHILLIPS: I assume you're going beyond the Depot and maybe for city construction 23 activities? 24 MS. BRADSHAW: I was talking about the Depot – all this property – because we should 25 know. You've blown up stuff and dirt, dust and everything was in the air 26 for a while. We didn't know if there was something in the air that would

you're doing.

hurt us or not. So it would be best to have some kind of air monitoring

system going on at all times when you're digging, or grinding, or whatever

1	MR. PHILLIPS:	Comment noted.
2	MR. WILLIAMS:	Mr. Jordan English?
3	MR. ENGLISH:	I too at the department, would be very happy if this data management
4		system could include all the information about the Depot. The sooner it
5		happens, the better. I couldn't agree more. But I think it's very important
6		to recognize that there is going to be some time lag between now and
7		when that information is available. The RAB members and public at large
8		should not forget their responsibility to visit the repositories - sorry Carter
9		- and look at these documents and keep the review process going until it is
10		all on line. Don't' think you can wait around for this to get on line and
11		then cram at the last minute. It's just not going to work that way. I don't
12		envision this happening that quickly. Correct me if I'm wrong and I hope
13		I am. But we're going to need to be vigilant and review everything in the
14		repositories where ever they are - located near you or over at the Depot, or
15		come to our office and look at our files - everything is open as far as I'm
16		concerned. So keep up that work.
17	MR. WILLIAMS:	Mr. Gray?
18	MR. GRAY:	In response to Ms. Bradshaw's comment about air monitoring. Air
19		monitoring is expensive, inexact and very difficult in a toxin area. I
20		believe that a better solution would be the elimination of that dust. We are
21		certainly interested in ascertaining what the air quality is like around
22		Superfund sites as the cleanup continues. But because of the variability of
23		the wind, the inaccuracy of a single or even a series of monitors over time,
24		plus the variety of items that potentially could be found, I think prevention
25		is a far better solution.
26	MS. BRADSHAW:	I would like to respond to that. There's an air monitoring system called
27		the bucket brigade, and I think that's about the cheapest I've seen for
28		communities to protect themselves in case something is released. It was
29		used because of things like this that happened around different sites, and

1		they were able to capture the air and show that the air quality was pretty
2		bad at that particular time. So maybe the city, the county, the federal
3		government can look at that, and try to set that as a program for the kids to
4		do, or something. But our air quality is important. I understand that no
5		pollution is better than anything, because we don't want it in the air. But
6		just in case it happens, we should be prepared and able to capture what
7		was released.
8	MR. WILLIAMS:	Ms. Hooks, after you we must open this floor up to the community
9		because we're really, really running behind time.
10	MS. HOOKS:	Thank you. Just piggybacking on what Carter and Shawn said. As a
11		formal motion, could we not try and find a way to prevent the dust from
12		breaking up of concrete from escaping into the air, and put that in place
13		immediately, so that we don't have to come back to try and monitor what
14		we are creating. And I think Carter is exactly right. Preventing it from
15		happening on the front end is a lot better than trying to come back in and
16		remediate, if you will, on the back end by trying to monitor while we're
17		steadily moving forward with the project. And I'm certain that can be
18		done.
19	MR. PHILLIPS:	I agree wholeheartedly with all the comments about an ounce of
20		prevention here. The particular project Ms. Bradshaw brought up earlier
21		tonight and now during this comment period is a project that the federal
22		government is not doing. It's a Depot Redevelopment Corporation
23		project, and I plan on stressing these types of efforts to them. I queried it
24		earlier tonight, but that gentleman unfortunately was not here tonight. Mr.
25		Covington is not here.
26	MS. BRADSHAW:	Well you see Shawn, that's the reason why I'm kind of upset about what
27		was stated last month about there will be no level of cleanup done on this
28		particular facility. When you turn different parcels over to the city, no one
29		thought about what would be released into the area. Y'all need to go back

over those different projects or whatever. Just like y'all blew up the darn 1 2 building and didn't notify – it wasn't you, but it was the city – but still, it's 3 coming from the Depot. And no one is really thinking out the whole plan 4 before they do anything. To me it seems like that's what you're here for. 5 And the citizens that sit on the side, we get the fallout. 6 7 **PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD** 8 9 Alright, I would like to open the floor up for community comments. MR. WILLIAMS: 10 Would anyone like to make a public comment? 11 MR. BRADSHAW: My name is Kenneth Bradshaw. All through the night I've heard talk 12 about web site and other people talking about health issues and everything. And I'd like to address the main issue. It seems to me that people have 13 14 totally forgotten that 99 percent of the people in the black communities that stay around the Depot. The Depot doesn't have any credibility – as 15

2324

25

26

27

28

29

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

As far as the health issue, and as far as ATSDR is concerned, I think they're credibility is even worse than the Depot's. When the assistant administrator, Dr. Barry Johnson came to Memphis three or four years ago – I think it was '96 – I told him the health assessment that the Depot did and ATSDR did was completely illegal. We went back to this 42 U.S.

far as newsletters go, the web site and everything. Simply because the

Depot operated for 55 years and they had chemical warfare bunkers here,

they had various chemical releases, and they never said a mumbling word

to the people in the community. And I think this is disastrous. I'd like to

litigation down the road, when some of these chemical that were supposed

commend Ms. Hooks for her presentation. I think it was very thorough

and very professional, and this just points out that there is going to be

to be cleaned up are still migrating off the site.

1		Code 9604 concerning this same thing. Dr. Johnson evidently agreed with
2		what I was saying, because that was the reason they're doing this health
3		assessment now. That points out to the credibility of one federal agency
4		trying to do a health assessment that another federal agency contaminated.
5		Thank you.
6	MR. WILLIAMS:	Anyone else? If not we're look for a motion from the table
7	MR. GRAY:	Motion to adjourn.
8	MR. TYLER:	Second
9	MR. WILLIAMS:	All in favor (unanimous). Motion carried.
10	MR. PHILLIPS:	Thanks for coming out everybody. If I don't talk to any RAB members
11		before January and you don't come the meeting December 16, have a nice
12		holiday season.
13		
14		
15	(Whereu	pon, at approximately 8:25 p.m., the meeting was adjourned.)
16		
17		
18		
19	ľ	NEXT MEETING: THURSDAY, JANUARY 20, 2000
20		The Memphis Depot
21		"J" Street Café
22		2163 Airways Boulevard
23		Memphis, Tennessee

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Special thanks to **TREVOR SMITH DIGGINS**, vice president of Frontline Corporate Communications, Kitchener, Ontario, who unselfishly assisted the Memphis Depot Community Relations Office with the recording of these important minutes of the final Restoration Advisory Board meeting for 1999.

In the absence of our usual professional court reporter, Trevor's speedy typing on his laptop computer, and the aid of a conventional audio tape recorder, made the capture of this meeting in its entirety a possibility. It should be noted this was his first visit to Memphis and the Memphis Depot. In addition to having to work so hard, we hope you truly enjoyed your visit.

Many thanks again, Trevor!

Transcribed and respectfully submitted,

Andrea "Cookie" Johnson, Administrative Assistant

andrea "Cookie" Johnson

Frontline Communications

The Memphis Depot Community Relations Office

Attendance List

Restoration Advisory Board Members

Mr. Shawn Phillips Facility Co-Chair

Mr. Mondell Williams Community Co-Chair

Mr. John Garrison Citizen Representative

Ms. Willie Mae Willett Citizen Representative

Mr. Carter Gray Memphis/Shelby County Health Department

Mr. Jordan English Tennessee Department of Environment and

Conservation (TDEC)

Ms. Elizabeth Young Citizen Representative

Mr. Stanley Tyler Citizen Representative

Councilwoman Janet Hooks Civic Representative

Mr. Eugene Brayon Citizen Representative

Mr. Dave Bond Citizen Representative

Mr. James Webb Civic Representative

Ms. Doris Bradshaw Environmental Representative

Mr. Kevin Clay Citizen Representative

Mr. Reginald Eskridge*

Citizen Representative (*New; first meeting)

Others in Attendance

Mr. Trevor Smith Diggins Frontline

Ms. Alma Black Moore Frontline

Ms. Andrea "Cookie" Johnson Frontline

Ms. Denise Cooper Memphis Depot Caretaker

Mr. John DeBack Site Manager/Base Transition Officer

Mr. Greg Underberg CH2M Hill

Mr. Bill Craven

U. S. Army Corps of Engineers – Huntsville

Others in Attendance (cont'd)

Mr. Virgil Jansen

Mr. Kurt Braun

Mr. Benjamin Moore

Mr. Kenneth Bradshaw

Mr. Sherlock G. Watson, Sr.

Mr. Jesse Wilburn

Ms. Marian Butcher

Ms. Tanja Mitchell

Mr. Roy Hoekstra

Sverdrup Environmental, Inc.

U. S. Army Corps of Engineers - Mobile

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease

Registry (ATSDR)

Citizen

Citizen

Environmental Realty Services

Citizen

Citizen

STEP, Inc.