

**APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM**  
**U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

**SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

**A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 10 December 2008**

**B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Mobile District CESAM-RD-I, Ronnie Gilley Properties, Inc. (Country Crossing), SAM-2008-1284-LET JD Form 6**

**C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: Jurisdictional wetland pocket adjacent to but not directly abutting Big Creek.**

State: AL County/parish/borough: Houston City: Madrid  
Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 31.06509° N, Long. -85.39817° W.  
Universal Transverse Mercator: Zone 16 X: 652256.1126 Y: 3436651.5350

Name of nearest waterbody: Big Creek

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Chipola River

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 03130012

- Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  
 Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

**D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):**

- Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 9 December 2008  
 Field Determination. Date(s): 24-26 September 2008, 6 November 2008

**SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS**

**A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There ~~are~~ no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

- Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  
 Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.  
Explain:

**B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.**

There ~~are~~ no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

**1. Waters of the U.S.**

**a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):<sup>1</sup>**

- TNWs, including territorial seas  
 Wetlands adjacent to TNWs  
 Relatively permanent waters<sup>2</sup> (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  
 Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  
 Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  
 Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  
 Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  
 Impoundments of jurisdictional waters  
 Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

**b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:**

Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres.  
Wetlands: +/-0.02 acres.

**c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual**

Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

**2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):<sup>3</sup>**

<sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

<sup>3</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional.  
Explain:

### SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

#### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

#### B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody<sup>4</sup> is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: 263.28 ~~square miles~~

Drainage area: +/-8 ~~square miles~~

Average annual rainfall: +/-57 inches

Average annual snowfall: 0 inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:

Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through 2 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are 10-15 river miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.

Project waters are 10-15 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: Project waters do not serve as a State boundary however the flow of Big Creek crosses into the State of Florida approximately 5 to 6 miles downstream of the proposed project site.

<sup>4</sup> Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

Identify flow route to TNW<sup>5</sup>: Big Creek flows into Marshall Creek, which converges with Cowarts Creek to form the Chipola River (a TNW) in Florida.  
Tributary stream order, if known: Fourth.

(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):

Tributary is:  Natural  
 Artificial (man-made). Explain:  
 Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

Average width: 15 feet  
Average depth: 3 feet  
Average side slopes: 2:1

Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):

Silts  Sands  Concrete  
 Cobbles  Gravel  Muck  
 Bedrock  Vegetation. Type/% cover:  
 Other. Explain:

Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: This stream appeared to be stable at the time of the site visit; sandy sediment in creek bed and flood plain gets shifted around during flood events.

Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Not determined.

Tributary geometry: Meandering

Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): +/- 1 %

(c) Flow:

Tributary provides for: Pick List

Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 20 (or greater)

Describe flow regime: This tributary flows continuously throughout the year.

Other information on duration and volume: Stream flow is perennial.

Surface flow is: Discrete. Characteristics: There is a defined bed and bank and an adjacent broad flood plain. Flow is normally confined to within the banks, but overflows into the floodplain following significant rain events.

Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings:

Dye (or other) test performed:

Tributary has (check all that apply):

Bed and banks  
 OHWM<sup>6</sup> (check all indicators that apply):  
 clear, natural line impressed on the bank  the presence of litter and debris  
 changes in the character of soil  destruction of terrestrial vegetation  
 shelving  the presence of wrack line  
 vegetation matted down, bent, or absent  sediment sorting  
 leaf litter disturbed or washed away  scour  
 sediment deposition  multiple observed or predicted flow events  
 water staining  abrupt change in plant community  
 other (list):  
 Discontinuous OHWM.<sup>7</sup> Explain:

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):

High Tide Line indicated by:  Mean High Water Mark indicated by:  
 oil or scum line along shore objects  survey to available datum;  
 fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)  physical markings;  
 physical markings/characteristics  vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.  
 tidal gauges  
 other (list):

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

<sup>5</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

<sup>6</sup> A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

<sup>7</sup> Ibid.

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Explain: Watershed is composed mostly of agricultural land, pastureland, and low density residential. Wetlands associated with tributaries within the watershed are primarily forested and serve to filter runoff from adjacent uplands.

Water color in the tributary is relatively clear. Federally listed mussel species are known to occur in this segment of Big Creek, therefore, water quality must be reasonably good.

Identify specific pollutants, if known: Possible pollutants include nutrients associated with livestock waste, agricultural fertilizers and pesticides, and automotive contaminants such as petroleum products from highway runoff.

(iv) **Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):**

- Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): The tributary is surrounded by a large bottomland hardwood forest and mixed upland pine/hardwood forest on both sides, providing both cover and food sources for a variety of mammals, birds, reptiles and amphibians. The average width is greater than 300 ft.
- Wetland fringe. Characteristics: The wetland is a mature bottomland hardwood forest made up primarily of desirable species and an average width greater than 300ft. on both sides of the creek.
- Habitat for:
- Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Oval Pigtoe (*Pleurobema pyriforme*) & Shiny-rayed Pocketbook (*Hamiota (=Lampsilis) subangulata*) are known to occur in this segment of Big Creek.
- Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: This is a perennial stream with suitable habitat for fish and fish spawning.
- Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
- Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: The stream and surrounding forest provide habitat to support a great variety of terrestrial and aquatic species.

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) **Physical Characteristics:**

(a) General Wetland Characteristics:

Properties:

Wetland size: +/-0.02 acres

Wetland type. Explain: This wetland is a natural depression within the flood plain of a bottomland hardwood forest.

Wetland quality. Explain: Overall wetland quality is high. Hydrology appears to be natural, wildlife corridors and good habitat are present, plant community is made up of native appropriate species, water quality input has been slightly impacted by runoff from agricultural fields and other developed areas, and there is minimal evidence of any recent human disturbance.

Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: Project wetlands do not cross or serve as State boundaries.

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Flow is: ~~No Flow~~. Explain: The wetland is within the 100-year and less flood plain of Big Creek, approximately 175'-200' feet away from the channel of Big Creek. Water enters the wetland during flood events in which water overtops the creek banks and some of the water is retained in this wetland area as flood water recedes. Water retained in the wetland leaves the area primarily through evapo-transpiration and infiltration into the ground.

Surface flow is: ~~Not present~~

Characteristics: There is no stream channel within this wetland or connecting the wetland to Big Creek, and on a daily basis there is not continuous overland sheetflow of water between the Creek and wetland. The wetland is a natural depression in the floodplain that captures and temporarily ponds flood water that spreads across the floodplain following flood events.

Subsurface flow: ~~Unknown~~. Explain findings:

Dye (or other) test performed:

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

Directly abutting

Not directly abutting

Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:

Ecological connection. Explain: This wetland is part of a large, relatively undisturbed, and naturally vegetated intact wildlife corridor surrounding Big Creek. It shares the same species composition, hydrologic moisture regime, and functions as the nearby abutting wetlands of Big Creek.

Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: Wetland is separated from Big Creek by a natural levee along the bank.

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project wetlands are ~~10-15~~ river miles from TNW.

Project waters are ~~10-15~~ aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Flow is from: ~~No Flow~~.

Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the ~~2-year or less~~ floodplain.

(ii) **Chemical Characteristics:**

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: No surface water was present in the wetland during the site visit. No evidence of recent sedimentation or pollutants was observed.

Identify specific pollutants, if known: Potential pollutants include nutrients associated with livestock waste, agricultural fertilizers and pesticides, and automotive contaminants such as petroleum products from highway runoff.

(iii) **Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):**

Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): The wetland is within the riparian buffer of Big Creek. It is surrounded by a mixed pine/hardwood forest that provides both cover and food sources for a variety of mammals, birds, reptiles and amphibians. Average width of riparian buffer is greater than 300 ft.

Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: This is a bottomland hardwood forest and contains a healthy mature canopy and good natural recruitment of appropriate species. Percent cover within the wetland is estimated to be 90%.

Habitat for:

Federally Listed species. Explain findings:

Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:

Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:

Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: When the wetland is temporarily ponded, it provides breeding habitat for amphibians and feeding habitat for birds, snakes and other reptiles. It is a small component of a much larger natural system that supports a very diverse array of aquatic and terrestrial wildlife species.

**3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)**

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: 2

Approximately ( +/-57.24 ) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

<u>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</u>	<u>Size (in acres)</u>	<u>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</u>	<u>Size (in acres)</u>
N	+/-0.02		
Y	+/-57.22		

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: The estimated 57.24 acres of wetlands being considered as 2 separate wetland areas in the cumulative analysis includes the 0.02 acre delineated wetland pocket adjacent to but not directly abutting Big Creek (within 175'-200' of the Big Creek channel) on the proposed project site, and the 57.22 acres of wetlands abutting Big Creek that have been delineated on site. These wetlands are all situated within the 100 year and less floodplain of Big Creek and provide habitat for a number of wildlife species, including amphibians that breed there and snakes and wading birds that feed on them; these wetlands help filter sediment and excess nutrients and other pollutants from Big Creek's floodwaters; and aid in flood attenuation, water retention, and groundwater recharge.

### C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: This wetland is located within 175'-200' of Big Creek, a perennial stream. It is well within the creek's 100-year and less flood plain, as shown on FEMA FIRM maps, and appears to flood any time the creek overtops its banks. The wetland is part of an extensive bottomland hardwood system that runs along both sides of Big Creek and serves as a high quality wildlife corridor. The wetland has the potential to reduce pollutants and attenuate and store flood waters, and provide groundwater recharge through infiltration of floodwater retained within the wetland. The wetland, in combination with Big Creek, has the ability to affect the physical, biological, and chemical integrity of the downstream TNW.

### D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:  
 TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.

Wetlands adjacent to TNWs:          acres.

**2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:
- Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters:          linear feet          width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters:          acres.
- Identify type(s) of waters:          .

**3. Non-RPWs<sup>8</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters:          linear feet          width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters:          acres.
- Identify type(s) of waters:          .

**4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
- Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:          .
- Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:          .

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:          acres.

**5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 0.02 acres.

**6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:          acres.

**7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.<sup>9</sup>**

As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.

- Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or
- Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
- Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

<sup>8</sup>See Footnote # 3.

<sup>9</sup>To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

**E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):<sup>10</sup>**

- which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
- from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
- which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
- Interstate isolated waters. Explain:
- Other factors. Explain:

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.  
Identify type(s) of waters:
- Wetlands: acres.

**F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):**

- If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
- Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
  - Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).
- Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: .
- Other: (explain, if not covered above):

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:
- Wetlands: acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

- Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).
- Lakes/ponds: acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:
- Wetlands: acres.

**SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.**

**A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):**

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Wetland delineation map and site development plan, Johnson & Reeves Engineering.
- Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
  - Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
  - Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
- Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
- Corps navigable waters' study:
- U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
  - USGS NHD data.
  - USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
- U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24,000; Madrid, AL.

<sup>10</sup> Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Soil Survey Staff, Natural Resources Conservation Service, United States Department of Agriculture. Web Soil Survey 2.0, Houston County, Alabama. Available online at <http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/> accessed [10/13/2008].

National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: Madrid, AL (digital data).

State/Local wetland inventory map(s):

FEMA/FIRM maps: Panel 310F, Houston Co., AL and Incorporated Areas, Map No. 01069C0310F, Revised Dec. 16, 2005 .

100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)

Photographs:  Aerial (Name & Date): Web Soil Survey.

or  Other (Name & Date): Color digital photographs taken by representative of Ronnie Gilley Properties on 24 November 2008.

Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:

Applicable/supporting case law:

Applicable/supporting scientific literature:

Other information (please specify):

**B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:** Jurisdictional authority for regulation of this tributary and its abutting wetlands is found at 33 CFR Section 328.3(a)(7) Wetlands adjacent to waters (other than waters that are themselves wetlands) identified in paragraphs (a)(1) through (a)(6) of this section.