## **Bottomland Hardwoods**

Bottomland hardwoods (BLH) are basically wet, floodplain forests that are subject to regular seasonal flooding events. Under the USFWS wetlands classification, BLH are in the palustrine system, forested class, and either the broad-leaved or needle-leaved deciduous subclasses (Cowardin et al, 1979). Like all wetland ecosystems, hydrology is the driving factor of a bottomland hardwood. The distribution of bottomland tree species across a site is determined by individual species' tolerance of certain hydrologic regimes (as defined by very small topographical changes in the landscape). Thus, a complete understanding of the hydrology (existing and/or target) is essential for species selection and individual seed/sapling placement across the site.

Due to these considerations, any bank approaching the MBRT with a potential BLH restoration must provide a detailed hydrologic study of the site. This study must have been conducted over a time period of at least one year and will preferably encompass two separate, but successive, flood seasons. Any plans to manipulate or maintain hydrology will be included in the Mitigation Bank Instrument (MBI).

The relatively slow growth rate of many hardwood trees means that it may take 70-100 years for a mature BLH to fully develop. While some species (cottonwood, sycamore) may reach 30' or more in height in 5 years, others (oak, hickory) may only reach 5'-7' in the same time. In addition, the average age of reproductive maturity of most BLH tree species is 20-25 years. For these reasons it is necessary to hold the bank for a relatively long period of time to assure success before final credit release. However, it is impractical (and impossible) to hold the bank until functional maturity, and is probably unnecessary to hold it until reproductive viability. While preferring a minimum of 15 years before release, we recognize the difficulty this time period presents the banker and will work towards a 10-year full credit release schedule. However, credit releases are based on reaching specific success criteria and will not be granted on a per annum or time demarcated basis. Thus, the years a bank has been in development in no way reflects the amounts of credits released.

**Note:** While reference sites are necessary and will be helpful in setting overall goals for the restoration project, choosing appropriate species for the project should not be based upon reference site conditions, but upon the final target hydrology of the site being restored. This may not necessarily match historic conditions on the site, but will provide for the highest probability of success. (See **Target Forest Type - TFT**)

## Bottomland Hardwood Success Criteria – 10 year

## 1. Site preparation

- Removal of exotics/invasives, and/or inappropriate or competing species
- Elimination of impediments to desired hydrology\* (removal of roads or berms, filling of ditches, ruts, etc.).
- Establishment/acceptance of Target Forest Type (TFT) (modified from White et al. 1990)

## 2. Development of hydrology\* (continuation of site preparation)

- Construction of final earthworks (establishment of micro-topography)
- Installation of monitoring wells/piezometers/flood gages

### 3. Tree planting

- Should be initiated after desired hydrology has been attained\*, i.e. after annual flooding regime has been observed (species placement should be based upon micro-topographical and edaphic habitat preference) (Bledsoe & Shear 2000)
- Tree species will be planted to achieve overall composition of 10-15 species per acre (Clewell pers. comm.) from Table 1
- Planted to achieve a coverage of 200-300 stems/acre at 10 years, trending towards 85% canopy coverage, and a basal area of 250-325 ft²/acre at maturity (Allen et al. 2001)

## 4. Introduction of shrub and herbaceous layer (if not naturally recruited)

- Should be initiated a minimum of three years after successful establishment of target tree species (Allen et al. 2001), if natural recruitment is not sufficient
- Shrubs must be from Table 2, a minimum of three species, with target cover 20-60%
- Herbaceous layer: ≥ 50% of species present are from Table 3, with appropriate coverage<sup>§</sup> as compared to TFT. If necessary, plantings will be made if colonization has not occurred.

### Monitoring:

Monitoring plots should include a specific number of set plots monitored during each period and for final credit release. There should also be an equal number of randomly placed plots to show site variation, with a minimum of 1 set and 1 random plot for/within each 75 acres of contiguous project area.

- Hydrology\*: well/gage reports, evidence of sediment deposits, drift lines, high water marks, etc.
- Vegetation: target speciation, positive growth of root collar, height, cover, basal area, etc.
- Exotics: <1% cover at all times (no seed bearing plants at any time)

<sup>§</sup> Typical herbaceous coverage in mature BLH may range from 5% (Ezell pers. comm.) to near 100% in situations with high seasonal variability (Allen et al. 2001). Thus, target coverage of herbs needs to be determined according to TFT prior to project initiation and goals to attain this target value need to be established at the time of TFT submittal.

<sup>\*</sup> Hydrologic manipulations and monitoring may not be applicable on all sites. For mitigation banks and ILF projects, the IRT will determine the necessity and feasibility of such endeavors during the MBI review process, according to the specific site information available for individual sites.

#### Bottomland Hardwood Restoration – credit release schedule

- 20% Initial release for conservation easement and financial assurance
- Upon completion of site preparation and hydrology work (criteria 1 & 2)
  - Removal of exotics, invasives, or inappropriate species
  - All earthwork on site completed
  - TFT established and accepted
  - Monitoring equipment installed\*
  - Must show that target hydrology has been attained before trees are planted\* (minimum 1 year hydrologic monitoring)
- Post-planting of trees (criterion 3)
  - Target species planted to achieve overall composition of 10-15 species per acre, with no greater than 25% coverage of a single species.
  - Minimum of 400 trees per acre, post-planting (White et al 1990)
- 15% Post-planting of trees (after 1 year positive growth)
  - Visual evidence of target species (and individual seedling) placement in relation to appropriate topographic/hydrologic habitat
  - Plantings show positive growth of root collar, diameter, and/or height
- 15% Post-planting of shrubs and herbaceous layer (criterion 4)
  - A minimum of three years positive growth of target tree species is required before shrubs and herbs are planted
  - Visual evidence of appropriate shrubs and herbs, planted sparingly or naturally recruited, in small groupings across site (Clewell pers. comm., Allen et al. 2001)
- 20% Final credit release (approximately year 10)
  - A minimum of nine years positive growth of target tree species
  - Visual and monitored\* hydrology show positive correlation with the target hydrology for the site
  - Minimum of 10 target tree species and coverage of 200-300 stems per acre, with all plantings showing positive growth of root collar, diameter, and height with a minimum of 10 trees per acre of each target species (White et al 1990)
  - Average height of planted canopy a minimum 7'-10' (excluding fast growing species such as *Platanus* and *Populus*)
  - 50% of herbaceous species from Table 3, appropriate cover as related to TFT
  - 50% of shrub species from Table 2, 20-60% cover
  - <1% cover by exotics
  - \* Hydrologic manipulations and monitoring may not be applicable on all sites. For mitigation banks and ILF projects, The IRT will determine the necessity and feasibility of such endeavors during the MBI review process, according to the specific site information available for individual sites.

(Though not based on years into the project, credit releases subsequent to the initial release should roughly work out to be in years 1, 2, 3, 5, and 10)

#### Bottomland Hardwood Enhancement – credit release schedule

- 20% Initial release for conservation easement and financial assurance
- 10% Upon completion of site preparation and hydrology work (criteria 1 & 2)
  - Removal of exotics, invasives, or inappropriate species
  - All earthwork on entire site completed
  - TFT established and accepted
  - Monitoring equipment installed\*
  - Must show that target hydrology has been attained before trees are planted\* (minimum 1 year hydrologic monitoring)
- 10% Post-planting of trees (criterion 3)
  - Target species planted to achieve overall composition of 10-15 species per acre, with no greater than 25% coverage of a single species.
  - Minimum of 400 trees per acre, post-planting (White et al 1990)
- 15% Post-planting of trees (after 1 year positive growth)
  - Visual evidence of target species (and individual seedling) placement in relation to appropriate topographic/hydrologic habitat
  - Plantings show positive growth of root collar, diameter, and/or height
- 15% Post-planting of shrubs and herbaceous layer (criterion 4)
  - A minimum of three years positive growth of target tree species is required before shrubs and herbs are planted
  - Visual evidence of appropriate shrubs and herbs, planted sparingly or naturally recruited, in small groupings across site (Clewell pers. comm., Allen et al. 2001)
- 10% Post-planting of all vegetation
  - A minimum of 6 years positive growth of target tree species, with all plantings showing positive growth of root collar, diameter, and height
  - Visual evidence of suitable shrubs with not greater than 60% cover, and herbs with appropriate cover as related to TFT
- 20% Final credit release (approximately year 10)
  - A minimum of nine years positive growth of target tree species
  - Minimum of 10 target tree species and coverage of 200-300 stems per acre, with all plantings showing positive growth of root collar, diameter, and height with a minimum of 10 trees per acre of each target species (White et al 1990)
  - Visual and monitored\* hydrology show positive correlation with the target hydrology for the site
  - Average height of target tree canopy a minimum 7'-10' (excluding fast growing species such as *Platanus* and *Populus*)
  - 50% of herbaceous species from Table 3, appropriate cover as related to TFT
  - 50% of shrub species from Table 2, a minimum 3 species, with 20-60% cover
  - <1% cover by exotics

<sup>\*</sup> Hydrologic manipulations and monitoring may not be applicable on all sites. For mitigation banks and ILF projects, the IRT will determine the necessity and feasibility of such endeavors during the MBI review process, according to the specific site information available for individual sites.

(Though not based on years into the project, credit releases subsequent to the initial release should roughly work out to be in years 1, 2, 4, 7, and 10)

Table 1. Appropriate bottomland hardwood tree species (subcanopy and canopy) for restoration work in Mobile District (modified from Clewell 1986).

Acer negundo	Halesia diptera	Populus deltoides
Acer rubrum	Hamamelis virginiana	Populus heterophylla*
Alnus serrulata	Ilex cassine	Quercus falcata
Betula nigra*	Ilex decidua	var. <i>pagodifolia</i>
Carpinus caroliniana*	Ilex opaca	Quercus laurifolia
Carya aquatica	Juniperus silicola	Quercus lyrata
Celtis laevigata	Liquidambar styraciflua	Quercus nigra
Chamaecyparis thyoides	Liriodendron tulipifera	Quercus michauxii
Chionanthus virginicus	Magnolia virginiana	Quercus phellos
Cornus foemina	Morus rubra	Quercus virginiana
Crataegus crus-galli	Nyssa aquatica	Salix nigra
Crataegus marshalli	Nyssa biflora	Symplocos tinctoria
Crataegus spathulata	Ostrya virginiana	Taxodium ascendens
Crataegus viridis	Persea borbonia	Taxodium distichum
Cyrilla racemiflora	Persea palustris	Ulmus alata*
Diospyros virginiana	Pinus ellottii	Ulmus americana
Fraxinus caroliniana	Planera aquatica	Viburnum dentatum
Fraxinus pennsylvanica	Platanus occidentalis	Viburnum nudum

<sup>\*</sup> Species only marginally appropriate, as the Mobile district is at the extreme edge of historical distribution.

Table 2. Appropriate bottomland hardwood shrub species for restoration work in Mobile District (modified from Clewell 1986).

Alnus serrulata	Forestiera acuminata	Lyonia lucida
Arundinaria gigantea	Hypericum galioides	Myrica cerifera
Baccharis glomeruliflora	Ilex amelanchier	Osmanthus americanus
Callicarpa americana	Ilex coriacea	Sabal palmetto
Cephalanthus occidentalis	Ilex glabra	Sabal minor
Cornus amomum	Illicium floridanum	Styrax americana
Cornus foemina	Itea virginica	Styrax grandifolia*
Crataegus aestivalis	Leucothoe racemosa	

<sup>\*</sup> Species only marginally appropriate, as the Mobile district is at the extreme southern edge of historical distribution.

Table 3. Appropriate herb species for bottomland hardwood restoration work in Mobile District (Allen et al. 2001 - A Guide to Bottomland Hardwood Restoration)

## Category 1:

Aquatic milkweed Asclepias perennis
Small-spike falsenettle Boehmeria cylindrica
Millet beakrush Rhynchospora miliacea

Water pimpernel Samolus valerandi spp. parviflorus

Swamplily *Crinum americanum* 

Bugleweed *Lycopus* spp. Lizard's tail *Saururus cernuus* 

Ferns Osmunda, Woodwardia, Thelypteris spp.

# Category 2:

Small-fruit beggartick, Bidens mitis
Mexican water-hemlock Cicuta maculata

Hairlike mock bishop-weed
Pickerel weed
Pontederia cordata
Smartweed spp.
Polygonum spp.
Bur-reed spp.
Sparganium spp.

## **Target Forest Type (TFT)** (modified from White et al. 1990)

The Target Forest Type (TFT) includes the selection of an actual reference site (either offsite or potentially onsite outside of the restoration area), but recognizes that bottomland hardwood (BLH) site hydrology and species composition, even among sites within the same watershed, may differ greatly due to edaphic and micro-topographical variations onsite. Thus, the TFT is an amalgam of reference site conditions and suitable species for the particular BLH being restored, created, or enhanced.

The TFT is to be established by the banker, and is basically a reference site with a supplemental species list. An appropriate reference site needs to be selected in order to establish a target for determining the successful attainment of suitable soils, hydrology, and vegetative cover on the restoration site. However, it should be recognized that species composition and diversity are higher for young forests, and using only the species found on a mature reference site is not appropriate for restoration success. So, as part of the site preparation, a list of the 10-15 tree species that will be planted should be provided to the IRT as a supplement to the selected reference site. The species should be chosen based on the hydrology of the site after all earthwork has been completed, inclusive of reference site species and additional suitable species. Thus, final micro-topography and hydrology can be considered in choosing appropriate species to augment those found on the reference site

It is the applicant's responsibility to characterize the Target Forest Type (reference site and species list) to the satisfaction of the IRT. Possible criteria for determining whether or not a site is appropriate for enhancement or restoration. All factors were modified from a study by White et al. 1990. Restoration requires functional lift to hydrology and vegetation, enhancement can involve lift to vegetation only.

## **References for BLH Success Criteria**

- Allen, J.A., B.D. Keeland, J.A. Stanturf, A.F. Clewell, and H.E. Kennedy, Jr. 2001. A guide to bottomland hardwood restoration. U.S. Geological Survey, Biological Resources Division Information and Technology Report USGS/BRD/ITR-2000-0011. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Research Station, General Technical Report SRS-40. 132 p.
- Allen, J.A., and H.E. Kennedy, Jr. 1989. Bottomland hardwood reforestation in the Lower Mississippi Valley. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southern Forest Experiment Station, Stoneville, MS, and U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, National Wetlands Research Center, Slidell, LA. 28 p.
- Allen, J.A., S.R. Pezeshki, and J.L. Chambers. 1996. Interactions of flooding and salinity stress on Baldcypress (*Taxodium distichum*). *Tree Physiology* 16: 307-313.
- Baker, J.B., and W.M. Broadfoot. 1977. A practical field method of site evaluation for eight important Southern hardwoods. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, General Technical Report SO-14. Southern Forest Experiment Station, New Orleans, La. 31 p.
- Bledsoe, B.P., and T.H. Shear. 2000. Vegetation along hydrologic and edaphic gradients in a North Carolina coastal plain creek bottom and implications for restoration. *Wetlands* 20(1) March: 126-147.
- Brown, M.T., and R.E. Tighe. 1991. Techniques and guidelines for reclamation of phosphate mined lands. Florida Institute of Phosphate Research publication #03-044-095, July, 3 p.
- Clewell, A.F. 1986. Natural setting and vegetation of the Florida panhandle. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Mobile District, Report No. COESAM/PDEI-86/001, reissued by the author, 1997.
- Clewell, A.F., and R. Lea. 1989. Creation and restoration of forested wetland vegetation in the Southeastern United States. In: Kusler, J.A., and M.E. Kentula (eds.) *Wetland Creation and Restoration: the Status of the Science, vol. 1: Regional Reviews.* EPA/600/3-89/038. pp. 199-237.

- Conner, W. H., and M. Ozalp. 2001. Baldcypress restoration in saltwater damaged area of South Carolina. *in* Outcalt, K.W. ed. 2001. *Proceedings of the 11<sup>th</sup> biennial southern silvicultural research conference; 2001 March 20-22;Knoxville, TN*.
- Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of Wetland and Deepwater Habitats of the United States. FWS/OBS-79/31. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington D.C.
- Ezell, A.W., and J.D. Hodges. 2003. Bottomland hardwood management: species/site relationships. Extension Service of Mississippi State University cooperating with U.S. Department of Agriculture. 5p. Obtained on-line from: <a href="http://msucares.com/pubs/publications/pub2004.htm">http://msucares.com/pubs/publications/pub2004.htm</a>
- Gardiner, E.S., D.R. Russell, M. Oliver, and L.C. Dorris, Jr. 2002. Bottomland hardwood afforestation: state of the art. *in* Holland, M.M., M.L. Warren, and J.A. Stanturf, eds. 2002. *Proceedings of a conference on sustainability of wetlands and water resources: how well can riverine wetlands continue to support society into the 21st century?* Gen. Tech. Rep. SRS-50. Asheville, NC: USDA, FS, Southern Research Station. pp 75-86.
- Harris, L.D. 1984. Bottomland hardwoods: valuable, vanishing, vulnerable. Florida Cooperative Extension Service, Institute of Food and Agricultural Services, University of Florida, Gainesville, Fl. 17p.
- Hodges, J.D. 1997. Development and ecology of bottomland hardwood sites. *Forest Ecology and Management* 90(1997): 117-125.
- Jones, R.H., R.R. Sharitz, P.M. Dixon, D.S. Segal, and R.L. Schneider. 1994. Woody plant regeneration in four floodplain forests. *Ecological Monographs* 64(3): 345-367.
- Jones, T.A. 2003. The restoration gene pool concept: beyond the native versus non-native debate. *Restoration Ecology* 11(3): 281-290.
- Kruse, B.S., and J.W. Groninger. 2003. Vegetative characteristics of recently reforested bottomlands in the Lower Cache River Watershed, Illinois, U.S.A. *Restoration Ecology* 11(3): 273-280.
- Lea, R., and D.J. Frederick. 1992. "Bottomland hardwood restoration in the Southeast a perspective." *Wetlands* September/October: 38-41.
- Lewis, P. L. & Teaford, J. W. (1995). Wetlands Evaluation System: An Overview of a Proposed System for Forested Palustrine Wetlands in South Mississippi. Unpublished manuscript.
- McLeod, K.W. 2000. Species selection trials and silvicultural techniques for the restoration of bottomland hardwood forests. *Ecological Engineering* 15: S35-S46.

- Meier, C.E., J.A. Stanturf, E.S. Gardiner, P.B. Hamel, and M.L. Warren. 1999. A quantitative assessment of the structure and functions of a mature bottomland hardwood community: the latt Creek Ecosystem Site. Paper presented at: 10<sup>th</sup> Biennial Southern Silvicultural Research Conference, Shreveport, LA, Feb 16-18.
- Pezeshki, S.R., P.H. Anderson, and R.D. DeLaune. 2000. Effects of nursery preconditioning on *Panicum hemitomon* and *Sagittaria lancifolia* used for wetland restoration. *Restoration Ecology* 8(1):57-64.
- Pezeshki, S.R., R.D. DeLaune, and P.H. Anderson. 1999. Effect of flooding on elemental uptake and biomass allocation in seedlings of three bottomland tree species. *Journal of Plant Nutrition* 22(9): 1481-1494.
- Smith, R.D., and C.V. Klimas. 2002. A regional guidebook for applying the hydrogeomorphic approach to assessing wetland functions of selected regional wetland subclasses, Yazoo Basin, Lower Mississippi River Alluvial Valley. Wetlands Research Program Report ERDC/EL TR-02-4 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Engineer Research and Development Center, Vicksburg, Ms.
- Souther, R.F., and G.P. Shaffer. 1999. The effects of variable levels of submergence and light on two age classes of Baldcypress (*Taxodium distichum* (L.) Richard) seedlings. OSCAR; Southeastern Louisiana University, Dept. of Biological Sciences, SLU-736, 7 p.; obtained online from: <a href="http://www.selu.edu/Academics/ArtsSciences/connections/journal/r-souther/souther.html">http://www.selu.edu/Academics/ArtsSciences/connections/journal/r-souther/souther.html</a>
- Stanturf, J.A., E.S. Gardiner, P.B. Hamel, M.S. Devall, T.D. Leininger, and M.E. Warren Jr. 2000. Restoring bottomland hardwood ecosystems in the Lower Mississippi alluvial valley. *Journal of Forestry* 98(8) August: 10-16.
- Stanturf, J.A., S.H. Schoenholtz, C.J. Schweitzer, and J.P. Shepard. 2001. Achieving restoration success: myths in bottomland hardwood forests. *Restoration Ecology* 9(2): 189-200.
- White, T.A., J.A. Allen, S.F. Mader, D.L. Mengel, D.M. Perison, and D.T. Tew. 1990. MiST: A methodology to classify pre-project mitigation sites and develop performance standards for construction and restoration of forested wetlands: Results of an EPA sponsored workshop. Atlanta, Ga., U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 85 p.
- Williams, B., D. Johns, H. Williams, and W. Ledbetter. 2002. Converting pine plantations to bottomland hardwood wetlands. *Ecological Restoration* 20(2): 88-94.
- Wittwer, R.F., C.J. Barden, and S. Anderson. 1993. Growing oak trees from seed. Oklahoma Cooperative Extension Service, Division of Agriculture Sciences and Natural Resources, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Ok. 6 p.

The following articles concerning specific tree species characteristics may be found through the "About North America's Top 100 Trees" at <a href="http://forestry.about.com/library/tree/blhardex.htm">http://forestry.about.com/library/tree/blhardex.htm</a>:

Beck, D.E. "Liriodendron tulipifera L. – Yellow-Poplar"

Bey, C.F. "Ulmus americana L. – American Elm"

Filer, Jr. T.H. "Quercus nuttallii Palmer – Nuttall Oak"

Grelen, H.E. "Betula nigra L. – River Birch"

Johnson, R.L. "Nyssa aquatica L. – Water Tupelo"

Kennedy, Jr., H.E. "Fraxinus pennsylvanica Marsh. - Green Ash"

Kormanik, P.P. "Liquidambar styraciflua L. – Sweetgum"

McGee, C.E. "Nyssa sylvatica Marsh. – Black Tupelo"

McReynolds, R.D., and E.A. Hebb. "Quercus laurifolia Michx. - Laurel Oak"

Pitcher, J.A., and J.S. McKnight. "Salix nigra Marsh. – Black Willow"

Rogers, R. "Quercus alba L. - White Oak"

Sclaegel, B.E. "Quercus phellos L. - Willow Oak"

Smith, H.C. "Carya cordiformis (Wangenh.) K. Koch – Bitternut Hickory"

Solomon, J.D. "Quercus lyrata Walt. - Overcup Oak"

Vozzo, J.A. "Quercus nigra L. - Water Oak"

Walters, R.S., and H.W. Yawney. "Acer rubrum L. – Red Maple"

Wells, O.O., and R.C. Schmidtling. "Platanus occidentalis L. – Sycamore"

"Populus deltoides Bartr. Ex Marsh. – Eastern Cottonwood"

"Quercus falcata var. pagodifolia Ell. - Cherrybark Oak"

The following articles concerning specific tree species characteristics may be found through the "USDA Forest Service Silvics Manual" at <a href="http://www.na.fs.fed.us/spfo/pubs/silvics">http://www.na.fs.fed.us/spfo/pubs/silvics</a> manual/Volume 1:

Little, S., and P.W. Grant. "Chamaecyparis thyoides (L.) B.S. P. – Atlantic White-Cedar"

Wilhite, L.P., and J.R. Toliver. "Taxodium distichum (L.) Rich. – Baldcypress"

On-line resources include articles from the ERDC Waterways Experiment Station (WES) at <a href="http://www.wes.army.mil/el/workshop/">http://www.wes.army.mil/el/workshop/</a>:

Reed, M.R., K.T. Barnett, and K.W. McLeod. "Competition control necessary for bottomland hardwood restoration?"

McLeod, K.W., M.R. Reed, V.H. Parrish, and T.G. Ciravolo. "Bottomland restoration in the Southeastern coastal plain."

And articles from USDA NRCS Wetland Restoration Series at <a href="http://www.pwrc.usgs.gov/wli/">http://www.pwrc.usgs.gov/wli/</a>:

- Melvin, N.C. "Vegetation restoration recommendations bottomland hardwood forests."
- Melvin, N.C. "Evaluation of reforestation in the Lower Mississippi River alluvial valley."