ELUIRIATE ANALYSES OF SEDIMENT AND WATER SAMPLES FOR CHEMICAL AND HEAVY METALS CONSTITUENTS, MOBILE HARBOR, ALABAMA

.

SEDIMENT WATER SAMPLE # MB-12 SAMPLE	# MB-12 DATE 30 July 74		
PARAMETER .	DILUTION WATER	STANDARD ELUTRIATE	
T.O.C. (ppm)	45.7	8.4	
AMMONIA NITROGEN (ppm)	0.07	J.38	
Т.К.Н. (ррт)	0.11	0.67	
PHOSPHORUS	0.162	0.318	
CONDUCTIVITY (umhos)	17900	26100	
SALINITY (ppt)	13.0	17.5	
PH	7.88	8.02	
MERCURY (ppb)	20.2	0.2	
ARSENIC (ppb)	24.0	21.0	
COPPER (ppb)	1.0	0.8	
ZINC (ppb)	23.4	6.0	
CADMIUM (ppb)	0.2	0.2	
LEAD (ppb)	1.2	<0.5	
NICKEL (ppb)	1.1	1.4	
CHROMIUM (ppb)	0.5	<0.5	
IRON (ppb)	<10.0	24.0	

ELUTRIATE ANALYSES OF : EDIMENT AND WATER SAMPLES FOR CHEMICAL AND HEAVY METALS (ONSTITUENTS, MOBILE HALBOR, ALABAMA

_

•

SEDIMENT MB-12 WATER SAMPLE # Mobile Offshore DATE N.R.					
PARAMETER	DILUTION WATER	STANDARJ ELUTRIATE			
Т.О.С. (рря)	21.9	17.4			
AMMONIA NITROGEN (ppm)	0.07	0.21			
T.K.N. (ppm)	0.17	2.41			
PLOSPHORUS (ppm)	0.072	0.370			
CUNDUCTIVITY (umhos)	35500	38600			
S.LINITY (ppt)	25.3	25.2			
рН	8.03	7.80			
ME (CURY (ppb)	0.2	0.2			
ARSENIC (ppb)	31.0	14.0			
COPPER (ppb)	3. <i>t</i>	0.8			
ZINC (ppb)	18.4	14.0			
CADMIUM (ppb)	1.0	0.2			
LEAD (ppb)	۰.9	1.4			
NICKEL (ppb)	4.3	1.4			
CHROMIUM (ppb)	⊲0.5	<0.5			
IRON (ppb)	<10.0	<10.0			

ELUTRIATE ANALYSES OF SEDIMENT AND WATER SAMPLES FOR CHEMICAL AND HEAVY METALS CONSTITUENTS, MOBILE HARBOR, ALABAMA

SEDIMENT WATER SAMPLE # MB-16 SAMPLE	# <u>MB-15</u>	DATE 30 July 74	
PARAMETER .	DILUTION WATER	STANDARD ELUTRIATE	
I.O.C. (ppu)	51.7	14.6	
AMMONIA NITROGEN (ppm)	1.05	4.66	<u> </u>
T.K.N. (ppm)	1.21	9.80	
PROSPHORUS (ppm)	0.560	0.277	19.9 0
CONDUCTIVITY (umhos)	21900	25200	
SALINITY (ppt)	14.7	17.5	
рН	7.79	7.99	
MERCURY (ppb)	40.2	40.2	
ARSENIC (ppb)		<10.0	
COPPER (ppb)	3.1	1.0	
ZINC (ppb)	20.9	13.6	
CADMIUM (ppb)	0.7	<0.2	, <u></u>
LEAD (ppb)	4.5	1.2	
NICKEL. (ppb)	3.9	6.6	
CHROMIUM (pp.)	<0.5	<0.5	
IRON (pp5)	<10.0	37.0	
	free and the second sec	[[1

ELUTRIATE ANALY: ES OF SEDIMENT AND WATER SAMPLES FOR CHEMICAL AND HEAVY METALS CONSTITUENTS, MORILE MARBOR, ALABAMA

. *

SEDIMENT WATER SAMPLE #					
PARAMETER	DILUTION WATER	STANDARD ELUTRIATE			
T.O.C. (ppm)	21.9	40.8			
AMMONIA NITROGEN (ppm)	0.07	3.32			
T.K.N. (ppm)	0.17	8.05			
PHOSPHORUS (ppm)	0.072	0.643			
CONDUCTIVITY (urhos)	35500	34500			
SALINITY (ppt)	25.3	25.0			
PH	8.03	7.79			
MERCURY (ppb)	0.2	60.2			
ARSENIC (ppb)	31.0	21.0			
COPPER (ppb)	3.6	3.6			
ZINC (ppb)	18.4	13.8			
CADMIUM (ppb)	1.0	0.7			
LEAD (ppb)	3.9	6.3			
NICKEL (ppb)	4.3	5.0	<u> </u>		
CHROMIUM (ppb)	<0.5	<0.5			
IRON (ppb)	<10.0	28.0			

ELUTRIATE ANALYSES OF SEDIMENT AND WATER SAMPLES FOR CHEMICAL AND HEAVY METALS CONSTITUENTS, MOBILE HARBOR, ALABAMA

SEDIMENT WATER SAMPLE # MB-18 SAMPLE	# <u>MB-18</u>	DATE 29 July 74
PARAMETER .	DILUTION WATER	STANDARD ELUTRIATE
T.O.C. (ppm)	5.9	51.7
AMMONIA NITROGEN (ppm)	1.04	2.42
T.K.N. (ppm)	2.03	5.66
PHOSPHORUS (ppm)	0.117	0.115
CONDUCTIVITY (umhos)	16100	19700
SALINITY (ppt)	10.5	12.1
рН	7.73	8.48
MERCURY (p.b)	0.2	0,9 40.2
ARSENIC (ppb)	<10.0	<10.0
CJPPER (ppb)	1.0	0.9
ZINC (ppb)	28.9	15.4
CADMIUM (ppb)	0.3	0.3
LEAD (ppb)	3.1	1.6
NICKEL (ppb)	2.8	1.6
CHROMIUM (ppb)	0.8	<0.5
IRON (ppb)	26.0	<10.0

ELUTRIATE ANALYSES OF SEDIMENT AND WATER SAMPLES FOR CHEMICAL AND HEAVY METALS CONSTITUENTS, NOBILE HARBOR, ALABAMA

SEDIMENT WATER SAMPLE # MB-19 DATE 30 July 74					
PARAMETER .	DILUTION WATER	STANDARD ELUTRIATE			
Т.О.С. (рра)	5,9	15.7			
AMMONIA NITLOGEN (ppm)	0.14	0.88			
T.K.N. (ppm)	2.44	2.18			
PHOSPHORUS (ppm)	0.027	0.312			
CONDUCTIVITY (umhos)	8300	14000			
SALINITY (ppt)	5,2	9.0			
рН	8.00	8.01			
MERCURY (ppb)	20.2	40.2			
ARSENIC (ppb)	17.0	14.0			
COPPER (ppb)	1.3	1.3			
ZINC (ppb)	29.9	8.2			
CADMIUM (ppb)	<0.2	0.4			
LEAD (ppb)	2.0	0.9			
NICKEL (ppb)	1.8	1.8			
CHROMIUM (ppb)	<0.5	<0.5			
IRON (ppb)	33.0	63.0			

ELUTRIATE ANALISES OF SEDIMENT AND WATER SAMPLES FOR CHEMICAL AND HEAVY METALS CONSTITUENTS, MOBILE HARBOR, ALABAMA

SAMPLE # MB-20 SAMPLE	# <u>MB-20</u>	DATE 30 July 74
PARAMETER .	DILUTION WATER	STAF DARD ELUTRIATE
T.O.C. (ppp)	6.5	19.1
AMMONIA NITROGEN (ppm)	0.21	1.50
T.K.N. (ppm)	1.43	4.14
PHOSPHORUS (ppm)	0.037	0.642
CONDUCTIVITY (umhos)	8600	18400
SALINITY (ppt)	· 5. 5	14.0
pll	8.00	7.87
MERCURY (ppl)	0.5	20.2
ARSENIC (ppb)	17.0	<10.0
COPPER (ppb)	ì.2	1.2
ZINC (ppb)	29.5	26.1
CADMIUM (ppb)	1.0	<0.2
LEAD (ppb)	5.0	?.3
NICKEL (ppb)	1.8	2.1
CHROMIUM (ppb)	<0.5	<0.5
IRON (ppb)	30.0	30.0

ELUTRIATE ANALYSES OF SEDIMENT AND WATER SAMPLES FOR CHEMICAL AND HEAVY METALS CONSTITUENTS, MOBILE HARBOR, ALABAMA

÷ •

-.

SEDIMENT WATER SAMPLE 4 MB-20 SAMPLE	# Mobile Offsho	re DATE N.R.	<u></u>
PARAMETER	DILUTION WAIER	STANDARD ELUTRIATE	
T.O.C. (ppm)	21.9	11.0	
AMMONIA NITROGEN (ppm)	0.07	0.38	
T.K.N. (ppm)	0.17	5.71	
PdJ SPHORUS	0.072	0.325	
CONDUCTIVITY (umhos)	35500	31500	
SALINITY (ppt)	25.3	20.6	
рН	8.03	7.81	
MERCURY (ppb)	0.2	20.2	
ARSENIC (ppb)	31.0	<10.0	
COPPER (ppb)	3.6	0.8	
ZINC (ppb)	18.4	21.3	
CADHIU.(ppb)	1.0	0.3	
LFAD (ppb)	3.9	2.7	
NICKEL (ppb)	4.3	3.1	
CHROMIUM (pph)	<0.5	<0.5	n= <u>********</u> ***
IRON (ppb)	<10.0	48.0	

ELUTRIATE ANALYSES OF SEDIMENT AND WATER SAMPLES FOR CHEMICAL AND HEAVY METALS CONSTITUENTS, HOBILE HARBOR, ALABAMA

SAMPLE # MB-22 SAMPLE # MB-22 DATE 31 July 74					
PARAMETER .	DILUTION WATER	STANDARD ELUTRIATE			
T.O.C. (ppm)	15.2	33.5			
APENONIA NITROGEN (ppm)	1.30	1.46			
T.K.N. (pps)	5.91	8.49			
PHOSPHORUS (ppm)	0.223	0.560			
CONDUCTIVITY (amhos)	11900	13000			
SALINITY (ppt)	7.5	9.0			
pĦ	7.91	\$.08			
MERLURY (pp5)	0.1	20.02			
ARSENIC (ppb)	<10.0	<10.9			
CU?PER (ppb)	5.5	8.7			
ZINC (ppb)	7.3	11.3			
CADMIUN (ppb)	9.2	3.5			
LEAD (ppb)	4.8	2.9			
MICKEL (ppb)	2.4	3.7			
CHROMIUM (ppb)	<0.5	<0.5			
INCK (ppb)	18.0	<10.0			

ELUTRIATE ANALYSES OF SEDIMENT AND WATER SAMPLES FOR CHEMICAL AND HIAVY METALS CONSTITUENTS, MOBILE H:RBOR, ALABAMA

-.

~

SEDIMENT WATER SAMPLE # MB-22 SAMPLE	Mobile Offsho	re DATE N.R.	
PARAMETER	DILUTION WATER	STANDARD ELUTRIATE	
T.O.C. (ppm)	21.9	16.3	
AMMONIA NITROGEN (PPB)	0.07	4.02	
T.K.N. (ppm)	0.17	9.97	
Phosphorus	0.072	0.642	
CONDUCTIVITY (umhos)	35500	27000	
SALINITY (ppt)	25.3	24.0	
рН	8.03	7.82	
M°RCURY (ppb)	0.2	40.2	
A' ;ENIC (ppb)	31.0	14.0	
COPPER (ppb)	3.6	3.7	
ZINC (ppb)	18.4	12.3	
CADMIUM (ppb)	1.0	1.4	
LEAD (ppb)	3.9	3.9	
NICKEL (ppb)	4.3	6.1	
CHROMIUM (ppb)	<0.5	<0.5	
IRON (ppb)	<10.0	1ن.0	

SEDIMENT CORE SAMPLES, 1974

Mobile Harbor

LUCIONIE MIST

SLIMMENT SAMEL & 13-8

DATE

WATER SAMPLE # - Followd Boy

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 	
PARAMETER	Ψ1		^ψ з	
ANMONIA NITROGEN mg/1	0.98		 11.45	
TOTAL KJELDAHL NITROGEN mg/1	1.18		 11.37	
TOTAL PHOSPHATE mg/1				•
•	0.010		0.095	
SALINITY. CONUUCTIVITY	1		4	
Un4103	1,280		6,000	
рн	6.60		, 7.55	
TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON Ng/1	67.0		23.0	•

 ψ_1 Dilution Water

 Ψ_3 Elutriate Water Centrifuged and filtered through a 0.45 μ filter

SEDIMENT SAMPLE # MB-8

DATE

.

FARAMETER	ψ1		Ψ ₃	
llg (ppb)				
As (ppb)	1.08		1.25	
Cu(ppb)	1.75		1.75	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Zu(ppb)	43.5		50.0	
Cd(ppb)	0.00		3.90	
Ph(ppb)	7.0		0.0	
NI(ppb)	20.0		50.5	
Cr (ppb)	0.10		0.00	
Fett(ppb)	29.2		25.0	

ψ_1 Dilution Water

 ψ_3 Elutriate Water Centrifuged and filtered through a 0.45 μ filter

.

ELUTRIATE 1 ST

SEDIMENT SAMPLE #	12-16	DATE			
WATER SAMPLE #	Toland Bay				
FARAMETER	ψ ₁	ψ3			
AMMONIA NITROGEN mg/1	0.98	1.68			
TOTAL KJELDAHL NITROGEN mg/1	1.18	6.55			
TOTAL PHOSPHATE mg/1					
	0.0]	0.010			
SALINITY CONDUCT IVITY Hadros	1	1			
4 	1,280	1650			
рН	6.60	6.65			
TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON mg/1	67.0	38.0			

V1 Dilution Water

 ψ_3 Elutriate Water Centrifuged and filtered through a 0.45 μ filter

.

DATA SHEET

SEDIMENT SAMPLE # 148-16

DATE

WATER SAMPLE # B-Island Bay

PARAMETER	Ψ1	ψ ₃
łg(ppb)		
As(ppb)	1.08	1.20
Cu(ppb)	1.75	1.25
2n(ppb)	43.5	77.5
Cd(ppb)	0.00	0.00
?b(ppb)	7.0	0.0
11 (ppb)	20.0	90.0
Cr (ppb)	0.10	0.00
Fett(ppb)	29.2	66.7

 ψ_1 Dilution Water

 ψ_{3} Elutriate Water Centrifuged and filtered through a 0.45 μ filter

•

FAUTRIAN TEST

SEDIMENT SAMPLE /	113-20	Ľ	DATE	
WATER SAMPLE #	-B-Johnd Bay			
PARAMETER	ψ ₁		Ψ3	
AMMONIA NITROGEN mg/l	0.98		9.91	
TOTAL KJELDAHU NITROGEN mg/l	1.18		5.60	
TOTAL PHOSPHATE mg/1				
	0.010		0.040	•
SALINITY.	1		4	
CONDUCTIVITY umbos	1,280		5,500	
рН	6.60		7.55	
TOTAL ORCANIC CARBON mg/l	67.0		61.0	

 ψ_1 Dilution Water

 ψ_3 Elutriate Water Centrifuged and filtered through a 0.45 μ filter

•

DATA	SHEET

SEDIMENT SAMPI	E / MB-20		DATE
WATER SAMPLE	Jeland	Bey	
PARAMETER	Ψ ₁		Ψ ₃
Hg(ppb)	:		
As (ppb)	1.08		1.20
Cu(ppb)	1.75		1.60
Zn(ppb)	43.5		45.7
Cd(ppb)	0.00		21.2
rb(ppb)	7.0		0.0
Ni (ppb)	20.0		41.7
՝Cr (բթ Ե)	0.10		0.10
Fet+(o-h)	and a Z		16.7

 ϕ_1 Dilution Water

ŝ

 ψ_3 Elutriate Water Centrižuged and filtered through a 0.45 μ filter

ELVIRIATE TEST

SEDIMENT SAMPLE # MB-24

DATE _____

WATER SALULE # Bistand Boy

PARAMETER	ψ ₁ .	ψ3	
AMMONIA NITROCEN mg/l	0.98	6.23	
TOTAL KJELDAHL NITROGEN mg/l	1.18	6.10	
TOTAL PHOSPHATE			
	0.010	0.018	-
SALINITY ppt CONDUCTIVITY	1	3	··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
usihos	1,280	4,220	
рН	6.60	ý. 50	
TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON mg/1	67.0	33.0	

ψ_1 Dilution Water

 ψ_3 Elutriate Water Centrifuged and filtered through a 0.45 μ filter

SEDIMENT SAMPLE # MR-24 DATE

WATER SAMPLE # Distond Bay

PARAMETER	ψ1	^{\$} 3
llg(ppb)		
As(ppb)	1.080	0.121
Cu(ppb)	1.75	1.25
Zn(ppb)	43.5	57.5
Cd(ppb)	0.00	0.00
ԲԵ(թթե)	7.0	0.00
Nt(ppb)	20.0	54.5
Cr(ppb)	0.10	0.00
Fe++(ppb)	29.2	20.8

 ψ_1 Dilution Water

 ψ_3 Elutriate Water Centrifuged and filtered through a 0.45 μ filter

-

٠.

SEDIMENT	SAMPLE	#	MR-+8
OT D FL 11 11 11 1		u	_1168

DATE

WATER SAMPLE # _____ Hopper Dredge (6.15)

A DESCRIPTION OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER	and the second		The second s	the second s	And the second se
PARAMETER	Ψ ₁			ψ ₃	
AMMONIA NITPOGEN mg/l	1.96			13.09	
TOTAL KJELDAHI. NITROGEN mg/l	4.03		-	14.00	
TOTAL PHOSPHATE mg/l					
	0.018	1	• •	0.061	-
SALINITY ppt CONDUCTIVITY	25			22	
unitoa	32,600		 	7.25	
рН	6.90			7.25	
TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON mg/1	48.0			62.0	

 ψ_1 Dilution Water

i

 ψ_3 Elutriate Water Centrifuged and filtered through a 0.45 μ filter

.

. .

DATA SHEET

SEDIMENT GAMPLE # MB-8

DATE

WATER SAMPLE # ____ Hopper Dredge (Gulf)

PARAMETER	ψ1	₩3	
llg (ppb)			
As(ppb)	1.51	1. 33	
Cu(ppb)	0.50	0.90	
Zn(µpb)	74.5	52.0	
Cd(ppb)	2.20	0.00	
Pb (p pb)	0.00	0.00	
N1 (ppb)	80.2	60.5	
Cr (ppb)	0.00	0.70	
Fett(ppb)	4.2	20.8	

 ψ_1 Dilution Water

 ϕ_3 Elutriate Water Centrifuged and filtered through a 0.45 μ filter

ELUTRIATE TEST

SEDIMENT SAMLE # MB-16

DATE

WATER SAMPLE # ____ Hopper Dredge (Gulf)

والمستجار المحافظ والمراد والمتقار والمحافظ فيتجمع والمتحافظ المتحافظ والمحافظ والمحاور والمحا	and the second rest of the secon	- A second se	And the second sec		and the second division of the second divisio
PARAMETER	ψ ₁			^ψ 3	
AMMONIA NITROGEN mg/1	1.95		2	1.91	
TOTAL KJELDAHL NITROGEN mg/l	4.03			4.47	
TOTAL PHOSPHATE mg/1	-				-
•	0.018			0.108	•
SALINITY. ppt conductivity	25			22	▝▖᠄ᡫ▖
4	32,800			30,100	
рН	6.90			, 7.75	
TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON Dg/1	48.C			30.0	

 ψ_{i} Dilution Water

 ψ_3 Elutriate Water Centrifuged and filtered through a 0.45 μ filter

. .

SUDIMENT SAMPLE # MB-15

DATE			
	4 8 8		

WATER SAMPLE / Happer Bradge (6.14)

PARAMETER	\$1	\$ ₃	
Hg(ppb)		ť	
As(ppb)	1.510	0.48	
Cu(ppb)	0.50	4.10	
2n(ppb)	74.5	95.0	
Cd (ppb)	2.20	21.90	
Pb (pph)	0.00	86.4	
NI (pph)	80.2	51.0	
Cr (ppb)	0.00	0.00	
Fett(ppb)	4.2	33.3	

♥1 Dilution Wata:

 ϕ_3 Elutriate Water Centrifuged and filtered through a 0.45 y filter

÷

ELUTRIATE 1EST

SUDINELIT SAMPLE # MB-20		DATE
WATER SAMPLE #	Hopper Dred	ee (Grift)
PARAMETUR	Ψ1	¥3
APPHONIA NITROGEN mg/1	1.96	14.56
TOTAL KJELDAHL NITROGEN mg/l	4.03	16.30
TOTAL PHOSPHATE mg/1	0.018	0.095
SALINITY.	25	23
CONDUCTIVITY umbos	32,800	31,000
рн	6.90	, 7.30
TOTAL ORCANIC CARBON mg/l	48.0	61.0

 ψ_1 Dilution Water

۰.

 ψ_{3} Elutriate Water Centrifuged and filtered through a 0.45 μ filter

Ŧ

. `

SEDUNCUT SAMPLE # 103-20		DATE	
WATER SAMPLE # Hopper Dredge (Gulf)			
PARAMETER	*1	¥ ₃	
Hg(ppb)	-		
As (ppb)	1.51	1.88	
Cu(ppb)	0.50	0.50	
Za(ppb)	74.5	10.0	
Cd (ppb)	2.20	5.00	
Pb (ppb)	0.00	4.50	
N1(ppb)	80,2	59.2	
Cr(ppb)	0.00	0.90	
Fet+(ppb)	4.2	29.2	

 ψ_1 Dilution Water

4.2

 ψ_3 Elutriate Wathr Centrifuged and filtered through a 0.45 µ filter

D-1-34

ELUTRIALS TEST

SAMPLE # MR-24		DATE
SANCILE # Hopper Dredge (Gulf)		
MANETER	Ψ1	Ψ3
PENNIA NITROGEN mg/1	1.96	6.62
TOTAL KJELDAHL NITROGEN mg/l	4.03	7.90
TOTAL PHOSPHATE mg/1		-
	0.018	0.045
SALINITY ppt CONDUCTIVITY umhos	25	21
·	32,800	30,200
рН	6.90	7.15
TOTAL ORGANIC CARBON mg/l	48.0	. 44.0

ψ_1 Dilution Water

 ψ_3 Elutriate Water Centrifuged and filtered through a 0.45 y filter

#

DATA SHEET

SEDIMENT SAMPLE # M3-24

DATE

WATER SAMPLE # Hopper Dredge (Gulf)

PARAMETER	Ψ	*3
Hg(ppb)	<i></i>	
As(ppb)	1.510	0.57]
Cu(ppb)	0. 50	0.75
Zn(ppb)	74.5	67.5
Cd(ppb)	2.20	0.00
Pb(ppb)	0.00	10.00
Ni (ppb)	80.2	54.5
Cr (ppb)	0.00	0.10
Fe++(ppb)	4.2	20.8

 ψ_1 Dilution Water

1

• 1 Le . : 4

 ψ_3 Elutriate Water Centrifuged and filtered through a 0.45 μ filter

ELUTRIATE AGALYSES OF SEDIMENT AND WATER SAMPLE FOR CHEMICAL AND HEAVY ELITALS CONSITUTENTS, THEODORE SHIP CHANNEL

SEDIMENT WATER SAMPLE # <u>T-1</u> SAMPLE	# <u>#</u> Bay	and the difference of	
PARAMETER	DILUTION WATER	STANDARD ELUTRIATE	
**Т.О.С. (рр m)	68.0	65.5	
AMMONIA NITROGEN (ppm)	1.09	2.91	
Т.К.N. (рр m)	0.84	4.59	
PHOSPHORUS (ppm)	0.128	0.126	
CONDUCTIVITY (umhos)	1,650	4,080	
SALINITY (ppt)	1	3	
рН	ú.65	7.35	
ARSENIC (ppb)	1.08	3.3	
COPPER (ppb)	2.25	2.3	
ZINC (ppb)	66.7	0.0	
CADMIUM (ppb)	0.0	0.0	
LEAD (ppb)	91.5	2.6	
NICKEL (ppb)	64.5	0.0	
CHROMIUM (ppb)	0.0	5.9	
IRON (ppb)	37.5	0.0 3	



FUTRIATE ANALYSES OF SEDIMENT AND WATER SAMPLE FOR CHENICAL AND HEAVY METALS CONSITUTENTS, THEODORE SHIP CHANNEL

SEDIMENT WATER SAMPLE / SAMPLE	Bax	
PARAMETER	DILUTION WATER	STANDARD ELUTRIATE
T.O.C. (ppm/	68.0	64.0
AMMONIA NITROGEN (ppm)	1.09	2.87
т.к.н. (рря)	0.84	1.29
PHOSPHORUS (ppm)	0.128	0.155
CONDUCTIVITY (unhos)	1,650	2,100
SALINITY (ppt)	1	2
pH	6.65	7.55
ARSENIC (ppb)	1.08	0.0
COPPER (ppb)	2.25	2.6
ZINC (ppb)	66.7	30.0
CADNIUN (ppb)	0.0	0.0
LEAD (PPb)	91.5	0.0
NICKEL (ppb)	64.5	8.5
CHRONIUM (ppb)	0.0	0.0
IRON (ppb)	37.5	0.0

Appendix 5

ATTACHMENT D-2

- Q.

P., C., N

Toxicity Test Report

In accordance with the requirements of Section 103 of the Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act of 1972, Public Law 92-532, the proposed disposal of dredged material from the Mobile (AL) ship channel into Gulf of Mexico waters was evaluated to determine the potential environmental impact. Specifically, laboratory toxicity tests (bioassays) were conducted with the liquid phase, suspended particulate phase, and solid phase of samples of the material to be dredged with appropriate, sensitive marine organisms.

All methods for (a) sample collection and preparation, (b) toxicity and bioaccumulation testing, and (c) data analysis followed the methods outlined by the Environmental Protection Agency/Corps of Engineers Technical Committee on Criteria for Dredged and Fill Material (1977), hereafter referred to as the EPA/COE Manual.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Test material

The material to be dredged (hereafter referred to as dredged material) was collected from Mobile Ship Channel, AL, by Bionomics Marine Research Laboratory (\pm MRL) personnel on 10 February 1978. The collection site was in the middle of the ship channel, at buoy #56, west of Point Clear, AL. A Peterson dredge was used to collect the sample. The drcdged material, a mixture of silt and clay, was placed in 8-liter (\pounds) polyethylene containers with lids. (See Appendix A for collecting location.)

Water from the proposed disposal site (hereafter referred to as disposal site water) was also collected on 10 February 1978 by BMRL personnel. The collection site was 13 nautical miles southwest (250°) of buoy #1

1

which marks the entrance to the Mobile Bay ship channel. A 12-i polyvinylchloride (PVC) sampling bottle (General Oceanics Model 1010-12) was used to collect the sample. Disposal site water was poured into 19-i polyethylene bottles. Each bottle received approximately equal amounts of water taken from near bottom, mid-depth in the water column, and near the water surface. The depth at the disposal site was approximately 25 meters (m). Salinity was 34 parts per thousand ($^{O}/_{OO}$) and temperature was 12 degrees Celsius ($^{\circ}C$) for all water collection depths.

Sediment from the proposed disposal site (hereafter referred to as reference sediment) was collected by BMRL personnel on 16 February 1978 (see Appendix A). The site was the same as that described above for disposal site water collection. A Peterson dredge was used to collect the sample. The reference sediment, a fine hard-packed sand, was placed in $8-\ell$ polyethylene containers with lids.

All samples (dredged material, disposal site water, and reference sediment) were transported to the lab in coolers containing ice and upon arrival at BMRL were stored in a water bath maintained at 4 ± 1 °C until used for test sample preparation.

Sample preparation

Liquid phase — Samples were prepared on 13 February 1978, three days after the dredged material and disposal site water samples were collected. Procedures outlined in the EPA/COE Manual, Appendix B.9-17 were followed, except that the dredged material/disposal site water slurry was not centrifuged after settling but was filtered through a 1.2-micrometer (μ m) pore size polypropylene core filter before final filtration through 0.45- μ m pore size filters.

<u>Suspended particulate phase</u> -- Samples were also prepared on 13 February 1978, according to procedures outlined in the EPA/COE Manual, Appendix B.19.

<u>Solid phase</u> — Reference sediment was prepared for testing on 17 and 20 February 1978 and the dredged material was prepared on 23 February 1978. Reference sediment and the dredged material were wet-sieved through a 1.0 millimeter (mm) mesh size sieve following the procedures outlined in the EPA/COE Manual, Appendix F.15.

Test organisms

Animals for the liquid phase and suspended particulate phase toxicity tests were either collected from Big Lagoon, an estuary adjacent to BMRL, or cultured in the laboratory. Copepods, <u>Acartia tonsa</u>, were collected by plankton net and acclimated for 48 hours in natural seawater at 20 ± 1 ^O/oo and 15 ± 1 °C. Mortality was <4% during acclimation. Mysid shrimp, <u>Mysidopsis bahia</u>, and sheepshead minnows, <u>Cyprinodon Variegatus</u>, were cultured in natural seawater in BMRL. Mysid shrimp were 8-12 days old, 4-6 mm total length. The sheepshead minnows were 21-28 days old, 10-12 mm standard length.

Animals for the solid phase test were either purchased and acclimated or cultured in the laboratory. Quahogs, <u>Mercenaria mercenaria</u>, were purchased from a commercial supplier on the Atlantic coast and acclimated in the laboratory in flowing, natural seawater for 42 days. The clams were 32-60 mm total length. Polychaetes, <u>Neanthes arenaceodentata</u>, were purchased from a university in Texas and acclimated in the laboratory in static, aerated seawater for 49 days. The worms were 10-22 mm total length when contracted. Mysid shrimp, 7-12 mm total length, were cultured in the laboratory.

Test conditions

Liquid and suspended particulate phases -- Copepods were tested in 50 x 90-mm glass crystallizing dishes, each of which contained 200 milliliters (mt) of test solution and 10 animals. A culture water \circ ..., \circ 1, a site water control, and three concentrations (10%, 50%, and 100 $_{\odot}$, of the liquid and suspended particulate phases were maintained in a temperature-controlled water bath at 12±1°C. All test containers were covered and all treatments were triplicated. Animals were not fed during the test, nor were test solutions aerated.

4

Mysid shrimp and sheepshead minnows were tested under the conditions described above, except that the test containers were 1- ℓ glass jars, each of which contained 900 ml of test solution for mysids, and 4- ℓ glass jars, each of which contained 3 ℓ of test solution for sheepshead minnows.

Solid phase -- Quahogs, polychaetes, and mysid shrimp were tested in 38- ℓ glass aquaria 26-centimeters (cm) wide x 51-cm deep x 31-cm deep. The reference sediment, dredged material, seawater, and animals were added to control or exposure aquaria as outlined in the EPA/COE Manual, Appendix F.14-21, except as noted. Seawater used was natural, filtered (1.2- μ m), seawater pumped from Big Lagoon, an estuary adjacent to EMRL. In order to reflect the physical conditions at the disposal site, artificial sea salts (Rila Marine Mix®, Rila Products, Teaneck, NJ) were added to the seawater prior to filtering to raise the salinity to $30.1 \circ/\infty$. Ambient temperature was maintained by placing the test aquaria in a constant flowing seawater bath. Gentle aeration was supplied to all aquaria

during the test. The only exception to the test procedures outlined in the EPA/COE Manual were that (a) msyid shrimp were not removed from the aquaria prior to the addition of 2.5 % of reference sediment or dredged material, and (b) 75% of the seawater in the aquaria has not replaced one hour after the start of the test. These changes were discussed with Dr. Henry Tatem, COE, WES, Vicksburg, MS, and were considered reasonable by him. At the termination of the test, polychaetes were removed by sieving the sediment through a 1-mm mesh sieve instead of the 0.5-mm mesh recommended because the reference sediment would not pass through the latter. Mysid shrimp were removed by using a small dip net to count and transfer them to clean seawater. Quahogs were removed by hand.

<u>Bioaccumulation potential</u> -- At the end of the solid phase bicassay test, live clams were transferred to clean tanks which received flowing, natural BMRL seawater. The animals were maintained in the tanks for two days to allow them to void their digestive tracts of sediment and were then shucked, frozen, and shipped to Bionomics Analytical Chemistry Laboratory, Wareham, MA, for chemical analyses.

Data analyses

Data from the liquid phase and suspended particulate phase tests were analyzed according to methods outlined in the EPA/COE Manual, Appendix D.17-28; data from the solid phase test were also analyzed according to Appendix D.17-28. Differences were considered statistically significant at the 95% confidence level (P<0.05). The statistical treatment of the data differs from the methods suggested in the EPA/COE Manual; the solid phase test results were compared with a t test. The reason for the change was that only one dredged material sample was used in the

5

study instead of the suggested three samples.

C2

Information for the dilution curve was calculated from equations in Appendix H. Initial mixing zone from H.10-14, liquid phase concentration from H.21-23, and suspended particulate phase concentration from H.24-28. Graphic comparison of mortality data versus dilution followed the discussion in Appendix D.39-41.

RESULTS

Liquid phase

<u>Copepods</u> -- After 96 hours of exposure to the liquid phase, significant mortality occurred in the 50% and 100% test concentrations. There was 23% mortality in 100% liquid phase and 13% mortality in 50% liquid phase. No mortality occurred in the site water control and only 3% mortality occurred in the culture water control and 10% liquid phase (Table 1).

The total number of survivors of <u>Acartia tonsa</u> and the results of t tests where statistically significant mortality occurred are given in Table 2. The calculated t values for the 50% and 100% liquid phase were 4.03 and 3.48, respectively. These values were higher than the tabular t value of 2.13, indicating significant toxicity ($P \le 0.05$) in both treatments. However, mortality was less than 50% at each time and LC50 values could not be calculated.

Dissolved oxygen remained ≥ 80 % of saturation in all test concentrations and controls throughout the test. The pH was from 7.7 in the culture water control to 8.2 in the site water control after 96 hours (Table 3).

D-2-6
<u>Mysid shrimp</u> -- There was no mortality in any of the test concentrations or controls after 96 hours of exposure (Table 4).

Dissolved oxygen remained ≥ 57 % of saturation in all treatments throughout the test; pH was from 7.9-8.1 after 96 hours (Table 5).

<u>Sheepshead minnows</u> -- No fish died in any test concentration or control (Table 6).

Dissolved oxygen remained 272% of saturation in all treatments torn open out the test; pH was from 8.0-8.2 after 96 hours (Table 7).

Suspended particulate phase

<u>Copepods</u> — After 96 hours of exposure to the suspended particulate phase, significant mortality occurred in the 50% and 100% test concentrations. There was 30% mortality in 100% suspended particulate phase and 20% mortality in 50% suspended particulate phase. There was 10% mortality in 10% suspended particulate phase. No mortality occurred in the site water control and 3% mortality occurred in the culture water control (Table 8).

The total number of survivors of <u>Acartia tonsa</u> and the results of t tests where statistically signific int mortality occurred are given in Table 9. The calculated t values for the 50% and 100% suspended particulate phase were 3.5% and 3.00, respectively. These values were higher than the tabular t value of 2.13 indicating significant ($P_{\leq}0.05$) toxicity in both treatments. However, mortality was less than 50% at each time and IC50 values could not be calculated.

Disso'ved oxygen remained 280% of saturation in all test concentrations and controls throughout the test. The pH was from 7.7 in the culture water control to 8.2 in the site water control after 96 hours (Table 10).

D-2-7

<u>Mysid shrimp</u> -- No significant mortality occurred after 96 hours of exposure to the suspended particulate phase. Mortality was 0% in concentrations $\leq 50\%$ and both controls to 7% in 100% suspended particulate phase (Table 11).

Dissolved organ remained $\geq 53\%$ of saturation in all test concentrations and controls throughout the test. The pH was from 7.9-8.1 after 96 hours (Table 12).

Sheepshead minnows -- No fish died in any test concentration or control (Table 13).

Dissolved oxygen remained \geq 71% of saturation throughout the test. The pH was from 8.0-8.2 after 96 hours (Table 14).

Solid phase

After 10 days of exposure to the solid phase there was no significant difference ($P_{\leq}0.05$) between mortality in the reference sediment and in the dredged material. Mortality in the reference sediment was 0% for <u>Mercenaria mercenaria</u>, 23% for <u>Neanthes arenaceodentata</u>, and 24% for <u>Mysidopsis bahia</u>; mortality in the dredged material was 0%, 14%, and 25% for <u>Mercenaria</u>, <u>Neanthes</u>, and <u>Mysidopsis</u>, respectively (Table 15). Total number of survivors and the results of t test statistical analysis are given in Table 16. Analysis of variance was not used to compare mortality in the reference sediment and dredged material because only two treatments was tested. The calculated t value for the dredged material mortality was 0.90, less than the tabular t value of 1.81. Therefore, there was no statistical difference between the mortality in the two treatments.

Ten days comprises a major portion of the life cycle of mysid shrimp as evidenced by the presence of newly hatched nauplii in reference sediment replicate 1 and in dredged material replicate 2 at the termination

of the test. That fact, and the harsh treatment of pouring the reference sediment and dredged material directly on the fragile mysids, undoubtedly contributed to the mortality that occurred among the shrimp.

Salinity was $30\pm1^{-9}/\infty$ and temperature was $16\pm1^{\circ}C$; the range was $15-18^{\circ}C$. Dissolved oxygen concentrations remained ≥ 5.6 milligrams (mg)/t (72% of saturation) during the 10-day test in both treatments. The pH ranged from 7.4-8.1 in the reference sediment and from 7.5-8.2 in the dredged material (Table 17).

Bioaccumulation potential

There was no statistically significant bioaccumulation of any of the chemical constituents by <u>Mercenaria mercenaria</u> (Table 18). Cadmium and mercury concentrations were slightly higher in the dredged material exposed animals compared to the reference sediment, but the differences were not significant based on the results of a t test. The pesticides aldrin, BHC (lindane), heptachlor, p,p' DDT, p,p' DDD, o,p' DDE, chlordane, dieldrin, endrin, mirex, methoxychlor, and the PCB, Aroclor® 1254 were below the detection limit of 70 parts per billion (ppb) (nanograms per gram) in all tissue samples. The pesticide toxaphene was not detected in any of the tissue samples and was assumed to be below the detection limit of 100 ppb. Petroleum hydrocarbons were below 1.0 part per million (ppm) (micro-grams per gram) for all tissue samples.

Methods for chemical analyses of all constituents and quality control procedures are presented in Appendix B.

DISCUSSION

Statistically significant copepod mortality occurred in both the liquid phase and suspended particulate phase. In each case mortality was less than 50%, even in the 100% concentration of the test solutions, and LC50 values could not be calculated. For the purpose of determining if the limiting permissible concentration (LPC) would be exceeded, it was assumed that the LC50 for both phases is greater than 100% of the test concentration.

The initial mixing zone was determined by using equation (H1) of Appendix H in the EPA/COE Manual and the following information:

Disposal site depth = 20 meters (m) Width of the disposal vessel = 14.6 m Length of the disposal vessel = 65 m Speed of the disposal vessel = 2.7 m/second Disposal discharge time = 1,200 seconds

The initial mixing zone volume was 14,312,870 cubic meters (m^3) .

Equation H4 was used to calculate the volume of liquid phase in the initial discharge. The total volume of the discharge vessel was 2,295 m³ and the calculated volume of liquid phase was 1,584 m³. Equation H6 was then used to determine the percent of the original liquid phase concentration after initial mixing (4 hr), and was found to be 0.01% of the original concentration.

Figure 1 is a time-concentration mortality curve and estimates dilution curve for the liquid phase of dredged material from Mobile Ship Channel. The mortality curve is plotted at 100% liquid phase, although the LC50 for all times during the exposure period could not be calculated. It can be seen that the two curves constantly diverge and even using the conservative approach of 50% mortality at 100% liquid phase the LPC requirement

2-10

would not be exceeded at 4 hr or at any time after that period. The concentration of liquid phase after initial mixing is 0.01% of the original (equation H6) and when the application factor of 0.01 is applied to the toxic concentration (here greater than 100% liquid phase), it can be seen that the LPC would not be exceeded.

Figure 2 is a time-concentration mortality curve and estimated dilution curve for the suspended particulate phase of dredged material from Mobile Ship Channel. Using equation H7 and the assumption that the dredged material is 45% clay and 45% silt, the volume of suspended particulates in the initial discharge was 640 m³. The concentration remaining after initial mixing, calculated from equation H8, is 0.005% of the original. Since the two curves in Figure 2 constantly diverge, the LPC for the suspended particulate phase is not exceeded at 4 hr or any time after initial mixing. The 50% mortality curve is plotted at 100% suspended particulate phase because the LC50 values could not be calculated for any of the time intervals during the test. Applying the application factor of 0.01 to the toxic concentration of 100% it can be seen that the LPC would not be exceeded.

The mysid shrimp and sheepshead minnows were unaffected by any concentration of liquid or suspended particulate phase of the dredged material.

Mortality occurred among the polychaetes and mysids in the solid phase toxicity test. Polychaete mortality was slightly higher in the reference sediment (23%) compared to mortality in the dredged material (14%). Mysid mortality was approximately equal in the two sediments (24% and 25%). However, when total survival of the three species was compared in the two treatments, no statistically significant difference was found.

11

D-2-11

The results of chemical analyses on whole tissue samples of the clams showed no bioaccumulation potential under the test conditions employed for cadmium, mercury, petroleum hydrocarbons, aldrin, BHC (lindane), heptachlor, p,p' DDT, p,p' DDD, o,p' DDE, chlordane, dieldrin, endrin, toxaphene, mirex, methoxychlor, and Aroclor® 1254.

The copepod mortality was statistically significant, but the LPC was not exceeded for the liquid phase or the suspended particulate phase. Mysids and sheepshead minnows were unaffected by the liquid and suspended particulate phases. Mortality occurred in the solid phase test, but was not statistically significant and clams showed no potential to bioaccumulate selected chemical constituents during the 10-day test. It is therefore recommended that sediments from Mobile Ship Channel be dredged and that ocean disposal is an acceptable means of dumping. It is further recommended, however, that in future dredging bioassays more than one dredged material sample station be selected and tested. A minimum of three stations are recommended for toxicity testing.

SUMMARY

- Exposure to 50% and 100% of the liquid phase of the dredged material from Mobile Ship Channel, AL, caused significant mortality of copepods. The LPC was not exceeded. Mysid shrimp and sheepshead minnows were not significantly affected.
- 2. Exposure to 50% and 100% of the suspended particulate phase of the dredged material from Mobile Ship Channel, AL, also caused significant mortality of copepods. The LPC was not exceeded. Mysid shrimp and sheepshead minnows were not significantly affected.

- 3. Exposure to the solid phase of the dredged material from Mobile Ship Channel, AL, caused no significantly greater mortality of quahogs, polychaetes, or mysid shrimp than occurred in the reference sediment.
- 4. Quahogs exposed to the solid phase of dredged material from Mobile Ship Channel, AL, did not demonstrate any potential for bioaccumulation of selected chemical constituents.
- 5. Based on the results of the tests, dredging and ocean disposal of sediment from Mobile Ship Channel, AL, should not produce an adverse environmental impact.

13

. جنبت

REFERENCES

্ৰু হৈ । পাঁজ ভাৰত বাবে হাই লাইবলৈ

Environmental Protection Agency/Corps of Engineers Technical Committee on Criteria for Dredged and Fill Material, "Ecological Evaluation of Proposed Discharge of Dredged Material into Ocean Waters; Implementation Manual for Section 103 of Public Law 92-532 (Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act of 1972)," July 1977 (Second Printing April 1978), Environmental Effects Laboratory, U. S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Mississippi.

Replicate Time of Observation - Number^a of Survivors Exposure condition 4 hr 8 hr 24 hr 48 hr 72 hr 96 hr 0 hr 1 10 10 10 10 10 Culture water control 10 10

	2 3	10 <u>10</u> <u>30</u>	10 <u>10</u> 30	10 <u>10</u> <u>30</u>	9 <u>10</u> 29	9 <u>10</u> 29	9 <u>10</u> 29	9 <u>10</u> 29
Site water control	1 2 3	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> <u>30</u>	10 10 <u>10</u> <u>30</u>	10 10 <u>10</u> 30
100% test medium	1 2 3	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> <u>30</u>	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 9 29	10 9 <u>8</u> 27	9 7 7 230
50% test medium	1 2 3	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	9 10 <u>10</u> 29	9 10 <u>10</u> 29	9 8 9 26 ^b
10% test medium	1 2 3	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> <u>30</u>	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 9 <u>10</u> 30

^dInitial number in each replicate was 10. ^bSignificantly different (P<0.05) from the control.

TABLE 1. Survival of copepods, <u>Acartia tonsa</u>, during a 96-hour exposure to the liquid phase of dredged material from Mobile Ship Channel, AL.

· · · · ·

Start gale is a market start of

1 A.

E Cn

.

		Number of surv	ivors
Replicate	Disposal site water	50% liquid phase	100% liquid phase
1	10	9	9
2	10	8	7
3	10	9	7
Total	30	26	23
Mean	10	8.67	7.67
Variance	0	0.34	1.34
Calculated t value		4.03	3.48
Tabular t.05(4)	2.13		

TABLE 2. Total number of survivors of copepods, <u>Acartia tonsa</u>, after 96 hours of exposure to the liquid phase of dredged material from Mobile Ship Channel, AL.

TABLE 3. Measured salinity, pH, and dissolved oxygen during a 96-hour toxicity test with copepods, <u>Acartia tonsa</u>, and the liquid phase of dredged material from Mobile Ship Channel, AL. The dissolved oxygen values are the means of measurements in three replicates from each treatment; salinity and pH measurements were in Replicate A of each treatment.

Nominal concentration (% liquid phase)	Salinity (⁰ /∞)	p 0 hr	H 96 hr	Dissolved oxygen (mg/l and % saturation) 96 hr			
Site water control	28	8.3	8.2	7.3 (82)			
Culture water control	22	8.1	7.7	7.3 (80)			
10	28	8.3	8.1	7.3 (83)			
50	26	8.3	8.1	7.2 (81)			
100	25	8.3	8.1	7.2 (80)			

Exposure condition	Replicate	Time of Observation - Number of Survivors						
		<u>0 hr</u>	<u>4 hr</u>	8 hr	<u>24 hr</u>	48 hr	<u>72 hr</u>	<u>96 hr</u>
Culture water control	1	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
الان ^م ىي د كەرتىكە ئ	2	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
	3	$\frac{10}{30}$	$\frac{10}{30}$	$\frac{10}{30}$	$\frac{10}{30}$	$\frac{10}{30}$	<u>10</u> 30	$\frac{10}{30}$
Site water control	1	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
	2	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
	3	$\frac{10}{30}$	$\frac{10}{30}$	$\frac{10}{30}$	<u>10</u> 30	$\frac{10}{30}$	$\frac{10}{30}$	$\frac{10}{30}$
100% test medium	1	16	10	10	10	10	10	10
	2	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
	ف	$\frac{10}{30}$	$\frac{10}{30}$	$\frac{10}{30}$	10	$\frac{10}{30}$	$\frac{10}{30}$	$\frac{10}{30}$
50% test medium	1	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
	2	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
	3	$\frac{10}{30}$	$\frac{10}{30}$	10 30	$\frac{10}{30}$	$\frac{10}{30}$	$\frac{10}{30}$	10 30
10% test medium	1	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
	2	10	10	10	10	10	10 TU	10
	5	30	30	30	30	30	30	30

TABLE 4. Survival of mysid shrimp, <u>Mysidopsis bahia</u>, during a 96-hour exposure to the liquid phase of dredged material from Mobile Ship Channel, AL.

1997 - 1992 - 1993 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -

10

TABLE 5. Measured salinity, pH, and dissolved oxygen during a 96-hour toxicity test with mysid shrimp, <u>Mysidopsis</u> <u>bahia</u>, and the liquid phase of dredged material from Mobile Ship Channel, AL. The dissolved oxygen values are the means of measurements in three replicates from each treatment; salinity and pH measurements were in Replicate A of each treatment.

Nominal concentration	Salinity	l	H	Dissolved oxygen (mg/t and % saturation)
(* 11quia prase)	(~/00)	<u>U M</u>	<u>50 nr</u>	<u>Уб ПГ</u>
Site water control	28	8.3	8.1	5.5 (62)
Culture water control	22	8.1	7.9	5,3 (58)
10	28	8.3	8.1	5.3 (60)
50	26	8.2	8.0	5.3 (60)
100	25	8.1	8.0	5.2 (58)

D-2-19

TABLE 6. Survival of sheepshead minnows, Cyprinodon variegatus, during a 96-hour exposure to the liquid phase of dredged material from Mobile Ship Channel, AL.

. <u>ж.</u>г.

网络欧洲科学院特别科学师 操口

0 hr 4 hr 8 hr 24 hr 48 hr 72 hr Culture water control 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 2 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 3 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 3 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 3 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 3 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 2 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 3 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 100% test medium 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 2 10 10 10 </th <th>96 hr 10 10 <u>10</u> 30 10</th>	96 hr 10 10 <u>10</u> 30 10
Culture water control $1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 30 \\ 30 \\ 30 \\ 30 \\ 30 $	$10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 30 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ $
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{r} 10 \\ \frac{10}{30} \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{array} $
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\frac{10}{30}$
$\overline{30}$ $\overline{30}$ $\overline{30}$ $\overline{30}$ $\overline{30}$ $\overline{30}$ $\overline{30}$ $\overline{30}$ Site water control 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 2 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 3 $\frac{10}{30}$ <td>30 10</td>	30 10
Site water control1 2 310 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 	10
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	T0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<u>10</u>
100% test medium11010101010102101010101010103 $\frac{10}{30}$ $\frac{10}{30}$ $\frac{10}{30}$ $\frac{10}{30}$ $\frac{10}{30}$ $\frac{10}{30}$	30
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10
30 30 30 30 30 30	<u>10</u>
	30
50% test medium 1 10 10 10 10 10 10	10
2 10 10 10 10 10 10	10
$3 \frac{10}{10} \frac{10}{10} \frac{10}{10} \frac{10}{10} \frac{10}{10} \frac{10}{10}$	10
. 30 30 30 30 30 30	30
10% test medium 1 10 10 10 10 10 10	10
2 10 10 10 10 10 10	10
$3 \underline{10} \underline{10} \underline{10} \underline{10} \underline{10} \underline{10} \underline{10} \underline{10}$	<u>10</u>
30 30 30 30 30 30 30	30

Visione Rock

<u>na na manang t</u>

TABLE 7. Measured salinity, pH, and dissolved oxygen during a 96-hour toxicity test with sheepshead minnows, <u>Cyprinodon variegatus</u>, and the liquid phase of dredged material from Mobile Ship Channel, AL. The dissolved oxygen values are the means of measurements in three replicates from each treatment; salinity and pH measurements were in Replicate A of each treatment.

Nominal concentration	Salinity		oH OC hu	Dissolver (mg/l and %	d oxygen saturation)
(* liquid phase)	(~/00)	$\underline{0 \text{ hr}}$	<u>96 nr</u>	<u> </u>	<u>96 nr</u>
Site water control	28	8.3	8.0	8.3 (94)	6.3 (72)
Culture water control	25	8.3	8.2	9.9 (110)	7.2 (80)
10	28	8.3	8.1	8.2 (93)	7.1 (81)
50	26	8.3	8.0	7.7 (87)	6.9 (78)
100	26	8.3	8.0	6.7 (75)	6.6 (74)

TABLE 8. Survival of copepois, <u>Acartia tonsa</u>, during a 96-hour exposure to the suspended particulate phase of dredged material from Mobile Ship Channel, AL.

1	<u>0 hr</u>	<u>4 hr</u>	8 hr	24 hr	40 L		
1	• •		-		<u>48 nr</u>	<u>72 hr</u>	<u>96 hr</u>
	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
2	10	10	10	9	9	9	9
3	<u>10</u>	10	10	10	10	1	10
	30	30	30	29	29	*	29
1	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
2	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
3	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
	30	30	30	30	30	30	30
1	10	10	10	8	8	8	7
2	10	10	10	9	9	7	7
3	10	10	10	9	9	7	7
	30	30	30	25	25	22	21ª
1	10	10	10	10	10	q	Q
2	10	10	10	10	10		Ŕ
3	10	10	10	10	10	8	7
	30	30	30	30	30	26	24a
1	10	10	10	10	9	9	9
2	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
3	10	10	10	10	9	8	Ŕ
	30	30	30	30	28	<u>27</u>	27
	1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				

^aSignificantly different (P<0.05) from the control.

	1	Number of surviv	ors
Replicate	Disposal site water	50% suspended particulate phase	100% suspended particulate phase
1	10	9	7
2	10	8	7
3	10	7	7
Total	30	24	21
Mean	10	8	7
Variance	0.00	1.00	0.00
Calculated t value		3.51	3.00
Tabular t ₋ 05(4)	2.13		

TABLE 9. Total number of survivors of copepods, <u>Acartia tonsa</u>, after 96 hours of exposure to the suspended particulate phase of dredged material from Mobile Ship Channel, AL. TABLE 10. Measured salinity, pH, and dissolved oxygen during a 96-hour toxicity test with copepods, <u>Acartia tonsa</u>, and the suspended particulate phase of dredged material from Mobile Ship Channel. AL. The dissolved oxygen values are the means of measurements in three replicates from each treatment; salinity and pH measurements were in Replicate A of each treatment.

Salinity	D		Dissolved oxygen (mg/1 and % saturation)
(⁰ /∞)	0 hr	96 hr	96 hr
28	8.3	8.2	7.3 (82)
22	8.1	7.7	7.3 (80)
28	8.3	8-1	7.2 (82)
26	8.3	8.1	7.2 (81)
25	8.3	8.1	7.3 (81)
	Salinity (⁰ /∞) 28 22 28 26 25	$ \begin{array}{r} Salinity \\ (^{O}/\infty) & 0 \\ 1 \\ 28 & 8.3 \\ 22 & 8.1 \\ 28 & 8.3 \\ 28 & 8.3 \\ 26 & 8.3 \\ 25 & 8.3 \end{array} $	Salinity (°/∞) pH 0 pH 28 8.3 8.2 22 8.1 7.7 28 8.3 8.1 26 8.3 8.1 25 8.3 8.1

Exposure condition	Replicate	Time	Time of Observation - Number of Survivors							
	••••••	<u>0 hr</u>	<u>4 hr</u>	8 hr	<u>24 hr</u>	48 hr	72 hr	96 hr		
Culture water control	1 2 3	$10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ \overline{10} \\ \overline{10}$	$10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ \overline{10}$	$10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ \overline{10} \\ \overline{30}$	10 10 10	$10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ \overline{10}$	10 10 10	10 10 <u>10</u>		
Site water control	1 2 3	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	30 10 10 <u>10</u> 30	30 10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> <u>30</u>	30 10 10 <u>10</u> 30	30 10 10 <u>10</u> 30	30 10 10 <u>10</u> 30		
100% test medium	1 2 3	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>9</u> 29	10 10 <u>9</u> 29	10 10 <u>9</u> 29	9 10 <u>9</u> 28		
50% test medium	1 2 3	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> 30		
10% test medium	1 2 3	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> 30		

TABLE 11. Survival of mysid shrimp, <u>Mysidopsis</u> <u>bahia</u>, during a 96-hour exposure to the suspended particulate phase of dredged material from Mobile Ship Channel, AL.

P

TABLE 12. Measured salinity, pH, and dissolved oxygen during a 96-hour toxicity test with mysid shrimp, <u>Mysidopsis bahia</u>, and the suspended particulate phase of dredged material from Mobile Ship Channel, AL. The dissolved oxygen values are the means of measurements in three replicates from each treatment; salinity and pH measurements were in Replicate A of each treatment.

Nominal concentration (% suspended particulate phase)	Salinity (⁰ /00)	pi <u>0 hr</u>	H 96 hr	Dissolved oxygen (mg/1 and % saturation) <u>96 hr</u>			
Site water control	28	8.3	8.1	5.5 (62)			
Culture water control	22	8.1	7.9	5.3 (58)			
10	28	8.3	8.1	5.2 (59)			
50	26	8.2	7.9	5.1 (57)			
100	25	8.1	7.8	4.8 (53)			

_940 s

TABLE 13. Survival of sheepshead minnows, <u>Cyprinodon variegatus</u>, during a 96-hour exposure to the suspended particulate phase of dredged material from Mobile Ship Channel, AL.

Exposure condition	Replicate	Replicate Time of Observation - Number of					f Survi	vors
<u></u>	· <u>* † </u>	<u>0 hr</u>	<u>4 hr</u>	<u>8 hr</u>	<u>24 hr</u>	<u>48 hr</u>	72 hr	96 hr
Culture water control	1	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
	3	$\frac{10}{30}$	$\frac{10}{30}$	$\frac{10}{30}$	$\frac{10}{30}$	$\frac{10}{30}$	$\frac{10}{30}$	$\frac{10}{30}$
Site water control	1 2 3	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	$10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ \overline{30}$	10 10 10 10 30	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> 30
100% test medium	1 2 3	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> 33	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	$ \begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 10 \\ \underline{10} \\ \overline{30} \end{array} $
50% test medium	1 2 3	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	$10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 30$	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> <u>30</u>	10 10 <u>10</u> <u>30</u>
10% test medium	1 2 3	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	$10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 30$	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> 30	10 10 <u>10</u> 30

TABLE 14. Measured salinity, pH, and dissolved oxygen during a 96-hour toxicity test with sheepshead minnows, <u>Cyprinodon variegatus</u>, and the suspended particulate phase of dredged material from Mobile Ship Channel, AL. The dissolved oxygen values are the means of measurements in three replicates from each treatment; salinity and pH measurements were in Replicate A of each treatment.

Nominal concentration (% suspended particulate phase)	Salinity _(^C /∞)	<u>0 hr</u>	241 <u>96 hr</u>	Dissolv (mg/l and % <u>0 hr</u>	ed oxygen saturation) 96 hr
Site water control	28	8.3	8.0	8.3 (94)	6.3 (72)
Culture water control	25	8.3	8.2	9.9 (110)	7.2 (80)
10	26	8.3	8.2	8.4 (94)	6.8 (76)
50	24	8.2	8.2	7.6 (84)	6.4 (71)
100	24	8.1	8.1	7.7 (86)	6.6 (73)

TABLE 15. Survival of quahogs (<u>Mercenaria mercenaria</u>), polychaetes (<u>Neanthes arenaceodentata</u>), and mysid shrimp (<u>Mysidopsis</u> <u>bahia</u>) exposed for 10 days to the solid phase of dredged material from Mobile Ship Channel, AL.

ò

Species and sample replicate		Number of survivors on day 10				
		Reference sediment	Dredged material			
Quahogs	1	20	20			
	2	20	20			
	3	20	20			
	4	20	20			
	5	_20	_20			
		100	100			
Polychaetes	1	14	20			
	2	12	15			
	3	18	16			
	4	17	18			
	5	<u>16</u>	<u>17</u>			
		77	86			
Mysids	1	16	15			
	2	15	13			
	3	17	18			
	4	13	13			
	5	<u>15</u>	16			
		76	75			



Replicate	Total Number Reference sediment	of Survivors Dredged material
1	50	55
2	47	48
3	55	54
4	50	51
5	51	53
Total	253	261
Mean	50.60	52.20
Variance	8.30	7.70
Calculated t value		0.90
Tabular t.05(8)	1.81	

TABLE 16. Total number of survivors after 10 days of exposure to the solid phase of dredged material from Mobile Ship Channel, AL.

ł

TABLE 17. Measured salinity, temperature, pH, and dissolved oxygen (DO) during a 10-day toxicity test with quahogs (Mercenaria mercenaria), polychaetes (Neanthes arenaceodentata), and mysid shrimp (Mysidopsis bahia), and the solid phase of dredged material from Mobile Ship Channel, AL. The DO values are the means of measurements in five replicates from each treatment; salinity, temperature, and pH measurements were from replicate 1 of each treatment.

Exposure condition and					Ті	ne (dav:	s)				
measurement	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	_7	8	9	_10
Reference sediment											
Salinity (⁰ /∞)	30	31	30	31	30	30	30	31	30	30	30
Temperature (°C)	15	15	16	17	18	17	17	17	15	Ľ	15
DO (mg/l; % of sat.)	6.5 (80)	6.4 (79)	6.5 (81)	6.7 (86)	6.5 (84)	5.6 (72)	6.4 (82)	5.8 (74)	7.4 (91)	7.8 (96)	5.9 (73)
рн	7.4	7.6	7.6	7.7	8.1	7.5	7.4	7.5	7.4	7.6	7.7
Dredged material											
Salinity (⁰ /∞)	30	31	30	31	30	30	30	31	30	30	30
Temperature (°C)	15	15	16	17	18	17	17	17	15	15	15
DO (mg/l; % of sat.)	6.6 (81)	6.5 (80)	6.3 (79)	6.7 (86)	6.5 (84)	6.1 (78)	6.7 (86)	6.0 (77)	7.6 (94)	7.8 (96)	6.7 (83)
рн	7.7	7.7	7.7	7.7	8.2	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.6	7.6

ويرجع المحادث والمراجع فأراجع أراجع والمراجع

ente en la calificación de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la construcción de la const Natura de la construcción de la cons TABLE 18. Concentrations in clams, <u>Mercenaria mercenaria</u>, from the test population (background) and in those exposed to the solid phase of reference sediment and dredged material from Mobile Ship Channel, AL. Concentrations are reported as whole-body tissue (less shell) based on wet weight, and are parts per million (micrograms per gram) for cadmium and petroleum hydrocarbons and parts per billion (nanograms per gram) for pesticides and PCB.

		Tissue concentration				
Constituent	Replicate	Background	Reference	Dredged		
		e Maria i mi i " V	sediment	<u>material</u>		
Cadmium	1	0 10	A 33	0.34		
Cauntum	2	0.10	0.22	0.24		
	2		0.24	0.24		
	3 A	-19 -9 -9-9-9-9-9-9-9-9-9-9-9-9-9-9-9-9-9-	0.19	0.24		
	41 F		0.20	0.24		
	C	ست من کرد	0.20	0.19		
	Mean		0.21	0.23		
Mercury	1	31	36	25		
_	2		22	35		
	3		<11	31		
	4		24	33		
	5	###	40	46		
	Mean		25	34		
		<u>F1</u> <u>F2+F3</u>	<u>F1</u> <u>F2+F3</u>	<u>F1</u> <u>F2+F3</u>		
Petroleum	1	<1.0 <1.0	<1.0 <1.0	<1.0 <1.0		
hydrocarbons	2	;	<1.0 <1.0	<4.0* <1.0		
-	3		<1.0 <1.0	<1.0 <1.0		
	4		<1.0 <1.0	<1.0 <1.0		
	5		<1.0 <1.0	<1.0 <1.0		
Aldrin	1	<70	<70	<70		
	2		<70	<70		
	3		<70	<70		
	4	-	<70	<70		
	5		<70	<70		
	-		-14	~/V		

*Lower limit is higher than other replicates because of a low recovery of the internal standard.

(continued)

TABLE 18, continued.

	sue concentrati	on		
Constituent	Replicate	Background	Reference sediment	Dredged material
BHC (lindane)	1	<70	<70	<70
	2		<70	<70
	3		<70	<70
	4		<70	<70
	5	***	<70	<70
Heptachlor	1	<70	<70	<70
_	2		<70	<70
	3		<70	< 70
	4		<70	< 70
	5		· 70	<70
p,p'DDT	1	<70	<70	<70
• • •	2		<70	< 70
	3		<70	~ 70
	4	THE REPORT	< 70	<70
	5		<70	< 70
p,p'DDD	1	<70	<70	< 70
	2		<70	< 70
	3		<70	< 70
	4		<70	÷70
	5		<70	< 70
o,p'DDE	1	<70	<70	< 70
	2		<70	< 70
	3		<70	< 70
	4		< 70	< 70
	5		<70	< 70
Chlordane	1	<70	<70	• 70
	2		<70	< 70
	3		<70	<70
	4		<70	< 70
	5		< 70	< 70
Dieldrin	1	< 70	< 70	< 70
	2		< 70	< 70
	3		< 70	< 70
	4	÷	< 70	< 70
	5		< 70	< 70

(continued)

TABLE 18, continued.

;

i Ali di Ali

Ì.

a sub-state where a sub-state state of

		Tissue concentration					
Constituent	Replicate	Background	Reference	Dredged			
	_		sediment	material			
Endrin	1	<70	<70	<70			
	2		<70	<70			
	3	<u>+</u> +	<70	<70			
	4	~~~	<70	<70			
	5	-	~70	< 70			
Toxaphene	1	<100	<100	<100			
•	2		<100	<100			
	3		<100	<100			
	4	-	<100	<100			
	5		<100	<100			
Mirex	1	<70	<70	<70			
	2		<70	<70			
	3		<70	<70			
	4	<u>م ن ک</u>	<70	<70			
	5		<70	<70			
Methoxyclor	1	<70	<70	<70			
-	2		<70	<70			
	3	-	<70	<70			
	4		<70	<70			
	5		<70	<70			
PCB	1	<70	<70	<70			
(Aroclor® 1254)	2	<u> </u>	<70	<70			
	3	~~~~	<70	<70			
	4		<70	<70			
	5		<70	<70			
	·						

.





A-1

APPENDIX A



Location of Dredged Material Sampling Station, Mobile Harbor, Alabama



APPENDI B

Analytical Methodology for the Determination of Selected Chemicals in Class Tissue (Mercenaria mercenaria)

Cadmium (Cd)

Samples were thawed and homogenized using a Willems PT20 Polytron® homogenizer. A rinse of 1:1 nitric acid (HNO₃) followed by 1:3 hydrochloric acid (HCl) and a final rinse with deionized water was used between samples. A weighed aliquot (4-5 grams [g]) of homogenized tissue was placed into a Technicon digestion tube containing 15 milliliters (mi) of acid-digest mix (2:1 volume:volume [v:v] solution of 30% hydrogen peroxide and concentrated reagent grade HNO₃) and heated at approximately 70 degrees Celsius (°C) until foaming ceased (about 2 hours). To insure that all the tissue was digested, the sample was mixed with a vortex mixer and an additional 5 mi of acid-digest mix was added. The sample was then boiled vigorously at 130°C for one hour, and then at 200°C for one hour.

The concentrated extract was quantitatively transferred to a 25-m/ volumetric flask and diluted with distilled/deionized water. The diluted extract was transferred to an acid-washed scintillation vial (1:1 HNO₃ and 1:3 HCl rinse) equipped with a Teflon[®]-lined screw cap, for storage prior to analysis by atomic absorption spectroscopy.

The Cd concentration was determined by flame atomization using the following instrumental conditions:

Instrument: Perkin-Elmer Model 305A, equipped with a deuterium arc background correction accessory

Source lamp: Cd, electrodeless discharge lamp

B-1

Lamp: 5.5 watts Wavelength: 228.8 nanometers (nm) Signal band width: 0.7 nm Range: 1 mV Scale expansion: 90° Damping: 1 Flame conditions: Fuel - acetylene Rotometer - 8.5 Oxident - air Rotometer - 11.0 Chart speed: 5 millimeters (nm)/minute (min)

Response: Half-scale chart deflection for 0.15 parts per million (ppm) Cd

Calibration curves were obtained by plotting response (mm peak height) versus concentration (micrograms [µg]/ml) of Cd standards in distilled/deionized water containing 1% Ultrex HNO₃. One standard and reagent blank were analyzed after every 5 samples. Quality control samples were prepared by fortifying approximately 1 g clam tissue with 1, 5, and 10 µg of Cd to yield concentrations of 1, 5, and 10 µg/g Cd, respectively. Samples were analyzed by the above method with the results shown in Table B-1.

The analysis of blank tissue (Table B-1) shows varying concentrations of Cd. The effect of biological variability on analytical determinations of environmental organisms, is well known. In order to statistically determine a background tissue concentration, and use it as a correction in analytical results of samples, multiple analyses (greater than 20) of unexposed organisms as well as samples, would be required (Montgomery et al., 1976).

<u></u>		
Cd added, μg	Cd recovered, µg	% recovery
Blank	0.098	
Blank	0.20	
Blank	0.098	
1.0	1.1	110
	1.1	110
	1.1	110
5.0	4.8	96
5.0	4.7	94
5.0	4.6	92
10.0	9.5	95
10.0	9.6	96
10.0	9.7	97
Average	e recovery 100 (±7.6	5) %

TABLE 1. Recovery of Cd from clam tissue

•

-



The minimum detectable concentration of Cd in tissue was 0.18 μ g. The method demonstrates a quantitative recovery of Cd from tissue, therefore no correction factor was used in the calculation of analytical results of samples.

Mercury (Hg)

Samples were thawed and homogenized, using a Willems PT20 Polytron homogenizer. A rinse with 1:1 HNO_3 and 1:3 HCl, and a final rinse of deionized water was used between samples. Weighed aliquots (1-4 g) of the homogenized tissue were placed into Technicon digestion tubes. A low-temperature sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄) digestion procedure (Perkin-Elmer, 1972, #303-3119) was used with the following modifications. A 10-ma volume of concentrated reagent grade H₂SO₄ was added to each sample, mixed using a vortex mixer and an additional 10 ml of acid added. Samples were digested, in the Technicon tubes, for 2 hours at 60°C, using a Technicon block digester. If particulate matter was still present, an additional 2 mi of concentrated H₂SO4, was added. Once digested, approximately 0.2 g of potassium permanaganate (KMnO₄) crystals was added to each sample and mixed, using a vortex mixer, until the solution turned purple. If no purple color was obtained, the sample was mixed for a longer time, or if still unsuccessful, more KMnO, crystals were added and the sample further mixed. Samples were transferred volumetrically, with three 5 me aliquots of deionized water, to 50 me volumetric flasks. The volumetric flasks were cooled in an ice bath and swirled to assure complete mixing, prior to dilution to 50 mg with deionized water.

D-2-42

B-4
The diluted extract was transferred to acid-washed bottles equipped with Teflon-lined screw caps, for storage prior to analysis by atomic absorption spectroscopy.

The mercury concentration was determined by an automated cold vapor technique (Koirtyolann and Khalil, 1976) and atomic absorption spectroscopy. The sample rate was 20 per hour, with distilled/deionized water used between samples to improve the baseline. The samples were mixed internally with 3% sodium chloride-3% hydroxylamine sulfate in water (weight/volume [w/v]), to react readily reducible components. The mixture was further reduced using a 10% stannous sulfate solution, in 2N H_2SO_4 (w/v), thus liberating elemental Hg vapor, which was transferred to the closed cell.

Because of problems with bubbling, modification of the gas phase separation apparatus were made. A hot air dryer was used to heat the gas separator and a bubble was blown in the tubing between the gas separator and absorption cell. Both modifications inhibited bubbles from being carrier into the light beam.

The following instrumental conditions were used to determine the Hg concentrations:

Automated sampler: Technicon Autoanalyzer V and Cam 27-B162 20/hour 1:1 Instrument: Perkin-Elmer Model 305A Recorder: Perkin-Elmer Model 56, 0-5 mV full-scale Purge gas: air 12.5 nu/min Source lamp: Hg, electrodeless discharge lamp B-5

Lamp: 5 watts Wavelength: 253.7 nm Signal band width: 0.7 nm Range: 5 mV Scale expansion: 90° Damping: 1

Chart speed: 5 mm/min

Response: Half-scale chart deflection for 7 nanograms (ng)/ml Hg Calibration curves were obtained by plotting response (mm peak height) versus concentration (ng/ml) of Hg standards in deionized/distilled water containing 40% H₂SO₄ and 1 drop (or to excess) of 5% KMnO₄. Two standards and a blank were analyzed after every 5 samples . Quality control samples were prepared by fortifying approximately 2 g of blank clam tissue with 0.25, 0.50, and 1.0 µg of Hg to yield concentrations of 0.13, 0.25, and 0.50 µg/g, respectively. Samples were analyzed by the above method with the results shown in Table B-2.

The analysis of blank tissue (Table B-2) shows varying concentrations of Hg. The effect of biological variability on analytical determinations of environmental organisms, is well known. In order to statistically determine a background concentration and use it as a correction in analytical results of samples, multiple analysis (greater than 20) of unexposed organisms (blanks) would be required (Montgomery, op. cit.). Therefore no correction for background concentration was used.

The minimum detectable concentration of Hg in tissue was 0.23 ng. Since results of the recovery study indicated a quantitative recovery

B-6

Hg added, ng	Hg recovered, ng	* recovery
Blank	97	
Blank	41	
250	360	140
250	290	120
500	520	100
50 0	540	110
500	590	110
1,000	1,100	110
1,000	1,120	110
1,000	1,110	110
Average	e recovery 110 (±11.	.9) %

TABLE B-2. Recovery of Hg from Clam Tissue

of Hg, using the method, no correction factor was used in the calculation of analytical results of samples.

Pesticides and PCB

Tissue samples (approximately 10 g) were prepared for gas chromatographic analysis by extracting the sample twice with 30-m2 portions of 1:1 diethyl ether:hexane for 1 minute by using a Polytron® PT20 homogenizer. The sample was centrifuged between extractions and the extracts filtered through anhydrous sodium sulfate into a Kuderna-Danish evaporative concentrator equipped with a 10-m2 graduated evaporator tube. The extract was concentrated over a steam bath and the volume adjusted to exactly 5.0 m2.

A 3.0-mm portion of the concentrate was transferred to a 0.9 x 25centimeter (cm) Pyrex® chromatographic column containing 2.3 g of activated (130°C) Florisil 60/100 mesh with a 1 cm layer of anhydrous sodium sulfate above it. The column was prerinsed with 50 mm of hexane before sample application.

The column was eluted with a 50-mm volume of 6% diethyl ether-inhexane to remove PCB and pesticides, except endrin, which was stripped from the column with a 50-mm portion of 1% methanol-in-benzene. The 6% diethyl ether-in-bexane fraction was concentrated to approximately 2 mm for silica gel chromatography. The 1% methanol-in-bexane fraction was concentrated to 5.0 mm for gas chromatographic analysis. Both concentrations were carried out over a steam bath by using a gentle scream of clean dry air.

The concentrated 6% diethyl ether-in-hexane fraction was transferred to a 0.9 x 25-cm Pyrex chromatographic column containing 3.0 g of activated (150°C) grade 922 Silica Gel. The column was prerinsed with a

D-2-46

B-8

50-me volume of pentane before sample ap lication.

The column was eluted with a 5(-m) volume of pentane followed by a 50-ml volume of 1% methancl-in-herane by using 2-3 pounds per square inch (psi) nitrogen gas pressure. The fractions were collected separately, concentrated to 5.0 ml by using a gentle stream of clean dry air, and analyzed by gas-liquid chromatography with the fraction pattern listed in Table B-3 and retention time and response listed in Table B-4.

Gas chromatographic analyses were performed by using the following instrumental conditions:

Instrument: Perkin-Elmer Model 3920 gas chromatograph equipped with 15 microcuries Ni^{~63} electron capture detector Recorder: Perkin-Elmer Model 023; 0-1 mV full scale Column: 6' x 2-mm (ID) Pyrex packed with 3% OV-10, 80/100 mesh Supelcoport Temperatures (°C): Column - 200

Inlet - 250 Interface - 250 Detector - 350

Gas flows: Carrier:50 cc/min 5% methane:95% argon

Chart speed: 40 cm/hour

Attenuation: 32X

Calibration curves were produced by plotting peak height (mm) versus weight (ng) of standard injected. alytical standards were prepared by <u>standards enalytical pesticide and PCB standards with hexane to yield</u> orking standards of the required concentrations. A mixed standard was used for all the pesticides quantitated except chlordane. Separate analytical standards were used for chlordane and Aroclor® 1254. Aroclor 1254 and chlordane were each quantitated based on a single isomer peak. 2.12.2

Compound	Pentane	1% methanol- in-benzene	
Aldrin	x		
Heptachlor	Approximately 5%	Approximately 95%	
Chlordane	Approximately 5%	Approximately 95%	
Aroclor 1254	X ÷		
Mirex	X		
Lindane		x	
o,p'DDE		x	
Dieldrin		x	
p,p'DDD		λ	
p,p'DDT		x	
Nethoxychlor		x	

الديسم المراجع

Compound	Retention time (minutes)	Half-scale chart-response (picograms)
Lindane	1.0	160
Heptachlor	1.6	240
Aldrin	2.2	220
o,p'DDE	3.3	500
Dieldrin	4.2	500
p,p'DDD	5.4	500
Endrin	8.2	1,500
Methoxychlor	10.9	3,500
p,p.DDT	7.2	1,500
Mirex	13.4	1,600
Aroclor® 1254	6.1*	250
Chlordane	1.5*	200

TABLE B-4. Retention Times and Response

*Isomer uses for quantitation.

Blank tissue (approximately 10 g) was fortified with pesticides/PCB standards-in-acetone and analyzed by the above method. The analytical results of all samples were corrected for the average percentage recoveries shown in Table B-5. The minimum detectable concentration of pesticide for PCB in tissue was 50 ng/g.

Petroleum hydrocarbons

A 10-g sample of frozen tissue was homogenized in a 50-mi centrifuge tube equipped with a Teflon-lined screw cap by using a Willems PT10 homogenizer. The probe was rinsed with 5 mi of 4N NaOH and the rinse added to the centrifuge tube. The centrifuge tube was capped and placed in an oven at 90°C for 2 hours. The sample was shaken vigorously at the end of the first hour.

Once the sample had cooled, 15 mt of ethyl ether was added and the tube shaken vigorously for 1 minute. The sample was then centrifuged at 2,000 revolutions per minute for 10 minutes and the ethyl ether layer transferred to a 1-ounce narrow-mouth glass bottle equipped with a Teflonlined screw cap, using a 50-mt syringe equipped with a long, large-gauge needle.

An additional 10-mm volume of ethyl ether was added to the aqueous layer in the centrifuge tube, and the extraction repeated as before. The two ethyl ether extracts were combined and dried by the addition of 1 g of anhydrous magnesium sulfate.

The combined extract was decanted into a 25-mL evaporator tube containing a few small porcelain chips and fitted with a modified Snyder column; the extract was concentrated to approximately l = by using a

Compound		Percent recovery		Mean average		
	ppm added			3	Mean	(standard deviation)
BHC (lindane)	0.48	88.9	104 9	90.0	94.9	
	0.96	78.3	85.7	91.4	85.1	
						90.6 (8.7)
Heptachlor	0.48	71.7	93.9	79.8	81.8	
-	0.96	62.1	62.0	87.9	70.7	
						76.3 (13.3)
Aldrin	0.46	100.0	94.8	96.2	97.0	
	0.92	96.6	94.2	1-9.0	113.3	
						105.2 (21.6)
o,p'DDE	0.48	125.0	91.2	84.5	100 2	
	0.96	97.6	97.6	130.9	108.7	
						104.5 (18.3)
Dieldrin	0.48	97.4	76.4	65.5	79.8	
	0.96	83.3	92.6	111.1	95.6	
						na na an an an an Satir π transformation an
JOD'O.G	0.96	87.2	103.6	96.4	95.7	
7.47	1.92	74.7	85.3	90.7	83.6	
						89. 7 (9.9)
D.D'DDT	0.96	89.7	110.3	100.0	100.0	
1 * 1	1.90	71.7	86.7	98.1	86. 2	
						3889 1월 2월 <u>1</u> 449 1
Endrin	0.96	86.8	85.3	86.]	夏 4月11日	
	1.90	81.4	100.0			
Methoxychlor	2.40	96.8	93.8	93.7	94.7	
-	4.80	72.7	90 Z	92.1	5.0	
Mirex	0.96	90.5	95.2	95.2	93.6	
	1.90	92.3	92.3	84.6	<u>39.</u> T	
						क्रुकि म्या दि है. के∰ 68 से क्या दि है. के∰ 68 से क्या दि है.
Aroclor® 1254	15.4	81.3	89.6	ŝ1.j	64. 1	
	30.8	90.2	96. 1	26-1	94.1	
Chlordane	0.4	84.9	<u>94.9</u>	78.5		
	1.0	104.0	<u>94</u> .0	106.0	101.1	
						编奏 1991年 - 1995年 1995 1995年 - 1995年 - 1995 1995年 - 1995年 - 199

TABLE B-5. Concentrations and Percentage Recoveries of Pesticides and PCB added to Tissue Samples

5

.

-

5

2.1

Kontes® Tube Heater set at 75° . A 2.0-ml volume of hexane was added, and the sample again concentrated to approximately 1 ml at 110°C. The sample was removed from the tube heater and the tip heated at approximately 120°C until the solvent had been allowed to reflux and rinse the walls of the tube.

A silica gel separation column was prepared using a 9 x 250-mm column equipped with a sintered glass disc, Teflon stopcock, and 100-mt reservoir. The column was packed by first filling it with petroleum ether and then adding 10 g of silica gel (MCB No. SX 144-7), activated at 150°C overnight, with gentle vibrating to eliminate air bubbles. A needle valve was attached to the top of the reservoir and the system pressurized at 2-3 psi with nitrogen gas.

The column was prewashed with 25 ml of methylene chloride, followed by two 2-ml petroleum ether rinses, and a final 40-ml petroleum ether rinse. All of the prewash eluates were discarded. An elution rate of 1-2 ml/minute was maintained.

The concentrated tissue extract was transferred onto the column, followed by three 1-m2 petroleum ether rinses, eluted under pressure, and the eluate collected in a 25-m2 concentrator tube. An additional 22-m2 volume of petroleum ether was added to the column, eluted under pressure and collected in the same concentrator tube. This total eluate was Fraction I and contained the saturated hydrocarbons.

A 50-m² volume of 20% methylene chloride-in-petroleum ether (volume:volume) was added to the column and two 25-m² eluates collected, under pressure, in separate 25-m² concentrator tubes. These were Fractions 2 and 3 and contained the ropo- and diaromatic-hydrocarbons, and the

B-14

triaromatic hydrocarbons, respectively.

A 100-microliter (μl) volume of 1 milligram (mg) g m l n-dotriacontanein-heptane standard was added to each fraction and the fractions concentrated to approximately 0.2 ml by using the tube heater. The concentrated eluates were adjusted to a 0.5-ml volume with heptane, and an aliquot of each fraction removed for gas chromatographic analysis. The aliquots for Fractions 2 and 3 were combined and the volume concentrated to exactly half. Fraction 1 and the combined Fractions 2 and 3 were analyzed by using the following instrumental conditions:

그는 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은 모양

Instrument: Hewlett-Packard Model 5840A gas chromatograph equipped with dual flame ionization detectors, and a Model 7671A automatic sampler

Columns: 2 each 10' x 2-mm (ID) stainless steel, packed with 3% OV-17 on 100/120 mesh Chromosorb Q

Temperatures (°C): Column - 60-300 at 8°C/minute Inlet - 250 Detector - 325

Time 5: 20.00 minutes

•••,

Gas flows: Carrier - 25 ml/min nitrogen Reactant - 40 ml/min hydrogen Support - 240 ml/min air

Chart speed: 0.5 cm/min

Area rejection: 0 counts

Attenuation: 128

Slope sensitivity: 0.50

Retention time: 28.1 min for internal standard

FID signal: -A+B

Response: Half-scale chart response with 200 ng n-dotriacontane

しゃ ゲリン (男子) たかな)

In order to verify the recovery of the internal standard, n-dotriacontane, quality control standards were produced by extracting blank tissue (approximately 10 g) by the above procedure and analyzing the resultant sample extracts. A calibration curve was produced by plotting peak height (mm) versus weight (ng) of n-dotriacontane injected. The recovery of the internal standard is shown in Table B-6.

Two chemicals were chosen to verify the recovery of petroleum hydro-Carbons with the method. Analytical standards of nonadecane and 2,3dimethylnaphthalene were prepared by dilution of stock material with heptane to yield 1,000 mg/ ℓ nonadecane and 2,3-dimethylnaphthalene standards, respectively. Control tissue (approximately 10 g) was fortified by the addition of 1 m ℓ of the 1,000 ppm nonadecane and 2,3-dimethylnaphthalene mix and analyzed by the above method with the results as shown in Table B-7. Unfortified tissue was also analyzed to act as blanks. A calibration curve was produced by plotting peak height (mm) versus weight (ng) of injected nonadecane and 2,3-dimethylnaphthalene, respectively.

The analytical results of samples were calculated by comparison of the total peak areas found, from 4.0 minutes retention time through the end of the program, with the area of the n-dotriacontane internal standard. No correction for method recovery was used in the calculation of sample concentrations. All analytical results of samples are reported in μ g/g as n-dotriacontane. The minimum detectable concentration of petroleum hydrocarbon in tissue was 0.5 μ g/g as dotriacontane.

B-16

Sample	Sample weight (g)	n-dotriacontane added (µg)	n-dotriacontane recovered (µg)	% recovery
Fraction 1-A	10.04	160	102	102
Fraction (2 + 3)A		100	83	83
Fraction 1-B	10.03	100	80	80
Fraction (2 + 3)B		100	107	107
Fraction 1-C	10.16	100	113	113
Fraction		100	100	100
(2 + 3)C	berie e	Mean and st	andard deviation	97.5 ± 13.2

TABLE B-6. Recovery of n-dotriacontane

_

Sample	Sample weight (g)	nonadecane, 2,3-dimethylnaphthalene added (µg)	nonadecane recovered (µg)	% recovery	2,3-dimethylnaphthalene recovered (µg)	% recovery
Spike - A	10.18	1,000				
Fraction 1			1,150	115		
Fraction 2&3					1,220	122
Spike - B	10.17	1,000				
Fraction 1			1,130	113		
Fraction 2&3					1,180	118
Blank A	10.04	<u></u>				
Fraction 1			<5			
Fraction 2&3					<5	
Blank B	10.03					
Fraction 1			<5			
Fraction 2&3				-	<5	
			Avera	age 114	Aver	age 120

D-2-56

B-18

2.

REFERENCES

그럴 구락되었는 동네에 있는 방법에 있는 것이 가지 않는 것이다.

- Koirtyohann, S.R. and M. Khalil. 1976. Variables in the determination of mercury by cold vapor atomic absorption. Analytical Chemistry, 48(1):136-139.
- Montgomery, J.R., S.E. Kolehmainen, M.D. Banus, B.J. Bendien, J.L. Donaldson, and J.A. Ramirez. 1976. Individual variation of trace metal content in fish. National Bureau of Standard Special Publication. 422 pp.

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATORS:

Terry A Hollister, Biologist

Dun Klatmallar Tom Heitmiller, Biologist

G. Scott Ward, Biologist

PREPARED BY:

Peter J. Shuba, Ph.D.

Chief Scientist

Rol Parrish, Direc

APPROVED BY:

SECTION E

THE SELECTED PLAN

THE SELECTED PLAN TABLE OF CONTENTS

lten	Page
PLAN DESCRIPTION	E- 1
EVALUATED ACCOMPLISHEMENTS	E- 3
IMPACTS OF PLAN	E- 3
SUBSURFACE INVESTIGATIONS	E- 7
DESIGN	E- 8
CHANNELS	E- 8
TURNING AND ANCHORAGE AREAS	E- 9
BAY DISPOSAL AREA	E-16
CONSTRUCTION	E-18
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	E-19
PRECONSTRUCTION PLANNING	E-22
PLAN IMPLEMENTATION	E-26
CHANNEL WIDENING	E-26
TURNING AND ANCHORAGE AREAS	E-27
PASSING LANE	E-27
DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL	E-27

LIST OF TABLES

Table	e No. <u>T</u> tle	Page
E-1	DENSITY OF MATERIAL TO BE DREDGED	E-19
E-2	ANNUAL DREDGING RATES	E-20
E-3	ADDITIONAL ANNUAL MAINTENANCE DREDGING	E-21
	LIST OF FIGURES	

Figure No.TitlePageE-1RECOMMENDED PLANE-2E-2GULF DISPOSAL SITESE-4Appendix 5

E-i

SECTION E THE SELECTED PLAN

1. This section describes the plan selected as a result of the formulation process presented in Section D, Appendix 5 of this report. The plan elements are defined and information is presented on design, construction, and operation and maintenance for a general understanding of the technical aspects, along with the plan's accomplishments and effects. Section F of Appendix 5 presents an economic analysis of the selected plan. A general map showing the recommended plan is shown in figure E-1.

PLAN DESCRIPTION

2. The plan selected for improvement of Mobile Harbor consists of enlarging the existing ship channel to provide a depth of 57 feet and a width of 700 feet from the 57-foot depth contour in the Gulf of Mexico for a distance of about 7.4 miles to a point in Mobile Bay near the eastern end of Dauphin Island; enlarging the channel through Mobile Bay to a depth of 55 feet and a width of 550 feet for a distance of about 27 miles between the inner end of the gulf entrance channel and a point about 3.6 miles south of the mouth of Mobile River; enlarging the channel into the harbor to provide a depth of 55 feet and a width of 650 feet for a distance of about 4.2 miles to a point 1 mile south of the Interstate Highway 10 tunnels and providing an anchorage area 500 feet wide, in addition to the channel width, 55 feet deep and 4000 feet long on the east side of the main channel and immediately south of a turning basin to be constructed to a 55-foot depth, a 1500-foot width (including the channel) and 1500 feet long just south of Little Sand Island. Total length of the improved harbor channels is 38.6 miles. The channels have side slopes of one vertical on five horizontal. The plan provides two feet of allowable overdepth to compensate for inaccuracies in dredging.

3. New work channel excavation between the gulf and the lower 8000

Appendix 5



feet of the main bay channel would be by hopper dredge with materials deposited in a deep-water disposal area in the gulf tentatively located within a 16 mile radius of the mouth of Mobile Bay. Initial excavation of the lower bay channel to a point near Theodore ship channel would be by a 27 inch or comparable hydraulic dredge utilizing dump scows and tow boats to transport the dredged material to deep-water in the gulf for disposal in the same location as the material from the entrance channel. Costs developed for this plan are based on the dredged new work from the lower bay channels and the total harbor maintenance material disposal sites being located as shown on figure E-2. Final selection of a site is pending Phase 1 studies and preparation of an EIS by the Environmental Protection Agency. The remainder of the new work material in the upper bay would be excavated with a 30 inch or comparable hydraulic pipeline dredge with the material being place in a fill area to be constructed in the vicinity of the Brookley waterfront.

EVALUATED ACCOMPLISHMENTS

4. Evaluated accomplishments that would result from implementation of the selected plan are direct transportation savings to deep-draft commerce and land enhancement benefits. The transportation savings would be realized principally in the movement of iron ore and coal through Mobile. Total savings constitutes an average annual equivalent benefit of \$33,130,000.

IMPACTS OF PLAN

5. Unavoidable adverse impacts associated with the plan would arise from the dredging and disposal operations which would destroy some benthic populations, increase turbidity, cause permanent physical loss of a shallow water bottoms to be filled in the upper bay, commit additional bay and gulf bottom to navigation channels, and result in longterm intermittent disruption of habitat at the gulf disposal areas. Other adverse impacts, that can be avoided only through remedial measures, are associated with modifications to overall circulation patterns in the bay caused by channel construction, and sites of historical significance,

Appendix 5

E-3



이 지수는 것은 사람은 가슴 가슴을 한다.

E-4

(2) March 2012 Compared Sciences and Compared Sciences (2012)

1. 1. 1. 1. 1.

if any, located within the channel lithment and disposal areas. Secondary impacts would result from stimulated economic development of the area that would probably occur too construction of the selected plan.

6. Benthic populations would be descroyed by channel construction and layers of sediment deposited on the bottom by mud flows during disposal. The amount of bay bottom that would be affected by the considered plan would be about 5.8 square miles including; 1.1 square miles due to widening the bay channel, 2.7 square miles for the Brookley expansion area, and 2.0 square miles attributed to mud flows during construction of the disposal area dikes. The 2.7 square miles committed to the disposal area would result in permanent loss of esturaine nursery habitat and recreation/fisheries use of that portion of the upper bay. The 2.0 square miles affected by mud flows adjacent to the dikes would result in temporary loss of benthic habitat. In addition, the offshore area affected by the dredging and disposal operations would include 0.8 square miles for modifications to the bar channel and an unquantified area within the 100 square miles designated for gulf disposal.

Under the present maintenance practices for Mobile Harbor 31.3 square miles of bay bottom adjacent to the channel and 4.0 square miles of near shore gulf bottom are committed to disposal of dredged material. The impacts associated with the considered disposal plan as compared to the existing maintenance practices will be investigated further during Phase 1 studies. This will include an overall study of the usage of the various portions of Mobile Bay, and additional studies of the gulf disposal area. These studies are discussed in more detail in paragraph 31.

7. A minor release, to the water column, of nutrient related constituents and some heavy metals would occur during the open water disposal operations. The release of pollutional constituents would be expected to be transitory and limited to the immediate vicinity of the discharge point. Reduced dissolved oxygen levels would be associated with the initial high levels of turbidity and suspended solids near the discharge point. Increased turbidity would temporarily reduce photosynthesis and, hence phytoplankton, the base of many food chains, would be reduced during the construction period. However, turbidity and mud flows can be minimized by modifying the pipeline configuration at the discharge point. There will also be short-term effects from air pollution and increased noise levels during the dredging operations.

8. According to limited physical model studies, modifications to the bay ship channel would cause a change in the overall salinity distribution within Mobile Bay. This is the apparent result of the deepened channel which increases the salt wedge intrusion up the Mobile River. Additional model tests would be conducted for the considered plan during Phase 1 studies to determine the order of magnitude and effects of the 55-foot deep channel and any mechanisms for offsetting the effects of the enlarged channel if the impacts are deemed to be undesirable. The model studies indicated a general freshening of the water within Bon Secour Bay. Oyster production within this area could increase with the possibility of improved spatfall.

9. A complete cultural resources survey of the areas to be affected would have to be completed prior to any construction. Magnetometer surveys of the under water areas would identify any anomalies. Measures would be taken to protect and preserve any objects or sites of historical significance within the channel alignment and disposal areas.

10. The selected plan would provide a long term solution for dredged material disposal. The life of the bay should be extended as a result of taking all the future maintenance dredged material to the gulf.

11. Secondary impacts of the considered plan could include higher levels of noise, water, and air pollution related to increased economic development of the area. The channel improvement would enhance the Port of Mobile's importance and competitive position in world shipping. There would be an increase in population, employment, housing, industrial and commercial development, water borne commerce, and port expansion. However, similar patterns of growth are expected to occur with or without the considered plan of development.

12. The selected plan would enhance the possibility of economic development in the area as a result of lowered shipping costs and the creation of an additional parcel of prime area for deepwater oriented industrial or harbor terminal uses. The considered plan would make major contributions to both National and regional economic development and toward easing the present United States import-export imbalance. Various effects of the plan on both economic and environmental parameteres have been discussed in Section D, Appendix 5 of this report.

SUBSURFACE INVESTIGATIONS

13. The boring logs, density, grain size, and samples inspected all indicate the material in Mobile Bay to be predominately clay and silt with no hard material and relatively little sand and organic matter. The clay is shown to be "fat" and appears to be plastic in nature.

14. A series of borings were made in 1964 prior to the deepening of the main channel to 40 feet. These samples indicated sand can be found in the upper section of the bay and to a point about 6.5 miles south of the mouth of Mobile River. Progressing down the bay, the material becomes very soft. Below a point near the upper third of the bay, the soft material is not considered satisfactory for constructing fast land. Logs of borings along the main bay channel and the Theodore channel are reproduced in Attachment \mathbb{E} -1. 15. No borings were made along the dike profiles of the proposed Brookley expansion area to establish the depth of soft material of the location of firm sand. For the purpose of this study it is assumed that a satisfactory foundation exists and that consolidation and displacement of existing material will not occur below -12.0 feet m.1.w. This assumption is supported by islands presently existing in the vicinity that were constructed with dredged material.

DESIGN

CHANNELS

16. Design of the various channel features in the selected plan for improvement of Mobile Harbor was determined through an evaluation of existing conditions and the application of available criteria and professional judgement. Applicable criteria exist only in the form of guides established through case observations. The guides are in fact variables selected on the basis of bottom and sea conditions known to occur at the existing area, present operating conditions, projected traffic densities, and the varied characteristics of the anticipated fleet. The application of these guides and analysis to determine the optimum channel widths, depths and alinements is essential to plan formulation and as such was discussed in Section D, of this appendix.

17. Figures E-3 through E-9 illustrates designed features of the selected plan including the alinement, channel depths, channel widths, anchorage area and turning basin. The channel widths, developed in Section D, are based on one-way traffic for the largest vessel expected to navigate the 55-foot channel. Unconstrained two-way traffic will exist for a majority of vessels utilizing the channels.

Appendix 5 E-8

TURNING AND ANCHORAGE AREAS

18. Turning and mooring areas considered herein were designed to accommodate the larger bulk carriers which will constitute a continually increasing percentage of the fleet of vessels expected to utilize the proposed improvements over the life of the selected plan. The lengths of the larger bulk carriers range between 900 and 1,000 feet. Therefore, in accordance with established criteria, the proposed turning basin has been designed to provide a minimum circular turning area with a diameter of 1,500 feet (1.5 X 1,000). In view of the limited area of the turning basin, and the density of anticipated deep-draft and barge traffic, the selected plan provides for an anchorage area 500 feet wide and 4,000 feet long adjacent to the east side of the channel and just south of the turning basin. The width of the anchorage area is considered necessary to minimize effects of passing vessels on these moored. Anchorage facilities to accomodate four bulk carriers would include mooring dolphins in shallow water along side the basin to prevent drifting of the vessels into the traffic channel. Due to the soft nature of the bottom material of Mobile Bay, local navigation interests consider provision of structures to prevent drift of the vessels agains the east bank of the anchorage area unnecessary. Figure E-10 shows a typical layout of the considered mooring facilities and details of the mooring dolphins.



Appendix 5 E-10

FACTORS AFFECTING CHANNEL DEPTH



NAVIGATION FACTOR	ALLOWABLE DEPTH IN FEET		
	Entrance Channel	Bey Channel	
FRESH WATER SINKAGE	0.5	0.5	
SQUAT	0.5	0.5	
TRIM	1.0	1.0	
PITCHING & ROLLING	2.0	0.0	
SAFE CLEARANCE	2.0	2.0	
TOTAL	6.0	4.0	

Figure E-4



A sub-sector state in the sector state of the sector

FIGURE E-5 GULF ENTRANCE CHANNEL



FIGURE E-6 MAIN BAY CHANNEL

Appendix 5 E-12



12

사이 김 영화가 전망하는 것이 가지?

FIGURE E-7 UPPER MAIN BAY CHANNEL (RE: FIGURE E-3, SECTION AA')





CIGURE E-9 TURNING BASIN ('E: FIGUPE E-3, SECTION CC')





BAY DISPOSAL AREA

19. The dikes to contain the "new work" dredged material from the upper bay channel will be constructed of digh content sand material pumped to an approximate fill elevation of +5 feet, m.l.w., with slopes of 1 vertical to 20 horizontal. The next stage would be to construct from the hydraulic fill a dike section from +5 to +17.5 feet, m.1.w., with a crown width of 10 feet and side slopes of 1 vertical to 5 horizontal. The southern portion of the disposal area will have similar dikes constructed to an elevation of +15 feet, m.l.w. This lower portion of the disposal area will contain the soft new work material that is not suitable for development. Above mean high water and the wave wash area the dike slopes will be stabilized with grass. Those areas exposed to high energy waves will be armored with riprap. The new work material from the upper 7.4 miles of channel (39.6 million cubic yeards) would be used to construct the dikes for the disposal area and fill approximately the northern 61 percent of the Brookley expansion area. This would provide 1,047 acres of fast land to an elevation approximately + 17.5 feet, m.l.w. The remainder of the fill area will accommodate approximately 24 million cubic yards of soft new work material from the next 6 miles of channel down to the intersection of the Theodore channel. Figure E-3 illustrates the considered disposal area and other upper harbor features. Figure E-11 shows a typical dike cross-section.

20. The design assumptions for sizing the disposal area are based on minimal drying techniques for management of surface water. It is assumed that two unit volumes of space in the disposal area will contain three unit volumes of institu dredged soft new work material. The new work sand will occupy one unit of storage for one unit of dredged material and the consolidated clays from the upper channel are assumed to swell approximately 25 percent. The consolidation of underlying sediment was assumed to equal the swell of the firm new





ä

. 1

ε.

Appendix 5 E-17

work material; therefore, one unit volume of consolidated clay dredged material is assumed to occupy one unit volume of storage.

CONSTRUCTION

21. Construction would be by hydraulic cutterhead dredge in Mobile Bay and by hopper dredge in the gulf entrance channel. In the upper bay, north of the authorized Theodore channel, all the dredged new work material will be excavated by a cutterhead dredge and transported by pipeline to the diked Brookley disposal area. The dredged new work material from the lower bay will be excavated by a modified cutterhead dredge and transported by dump scows to the gulf. The dredged new work material from the lower 8,000 feet of the main bay channel and the entrance channel will be dredged by hopper dredge and placed in the gulf. Application of the various techniques to the different channel sections was determined on the basis of equivalent costs and natural channel divides.

22. The total dredging should take about seven years, utilizing one 30 inch hydraulic pipeline type dredge in the upper bay, one modified 27 inch hydraulic dredge with dump scows and towbcats for the area between the Theodore channel and the lower bay, and one hopper dredge for the entrance channel and the lower 8,000 feet of bay channel. The dredging should be staged so benefits of the incrementally deepened project would be realized during the construction period. These benefits, however, have not been addressed in the survey study analysis. No dredging would be performed within 100 feet of any established or proposed harbor line, pier, wharf, or other structure. Design, location and construction of the disposal site have considered guidelines established for implementation of Section 404b of PL 92-500 and Section 103 of PL-532. However, complete evaluations in terms of these requirements cannot be accomplished prior to preconstruction planning.

> Appendix 5 E-18
23 The 27 inch cutterhead dredge will be modified by lowering the pump on the dredge ladder near the cutterhead to obtain greater densities in the dredged effluent and better economics from the barging operation. Also, the dredge will be modified to discharge into dump scows at a production rate of 2500 cubic yards per hour insitu. It is estimated a fleet of 8 tow boats (750 hp) and 16 (3,000 cubic yard) dump scows would be required to transport the new work dredged material from the lower main bay channel to the gulf disposal site without delaying dredging operations. Through utilization of the above techniques, the effluent was assumed to have a 35 percent insitu solids consistency thereby creating an effective barge capacity of 1,050 cubic yards each.

24. Data on insitu densities that provided the basis for the foregoing assumptions and resulting cost estimates are summarized in table E-1.

New Work	<u>Grams/Liter</u>
Upper Bay	1,770
Lower Bay	1,440
Entrance Channel (Sand)	2,000
Maintenance	
Upper Bay Lower Bay	1,280
Entrance Channel (Sand)	2,000

TABLE E-1DENSITY OF MATERIAL TO BE DREDGED

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

25. Maintenance of the existing project consists of redredging the channel to authorized depths as often as needed, which is approximately once every two years.

26. Estimates for increased maintenance with the selected plan were based upon records of maintenance required for the existing and prior channels. Data was extracted from annual reports on the Mobile Bay channel and Mobile entrance channel for maintenance dredging from 1939 to 1975. Maintenance was lower during the period of 1955 to 1965 due to new work construction, therefore, this period of record was deleted from the analysis. The periods 1939 to 1955 and 1965 to 1975 were chosen as representative years of typical maintenance operations. Table E-2 shows the recorded historical annual dredging rates.

Year	Entrance Channel	Bay Channel
1939-1955	211,332	3,654,888
1956-1965	53,387	2,503,280
1966-1975	264,216	3,824,071

TABLE E-2 ANNUAL DREDGING RATES (cubic yards)

27. A comparison of shoaling rates with the increases in channel crosssectional perimeters was made from the historical data. It was found that the increases in maintenance did not directly correlate with the increased cross-sectional perimeters. For an increase in the bay channel perimeter of 35 percent (enlargement of $32 - \because 300$ -foot to $40 - x \ 400$ -foot channel) the annual maintenance increased 5 percent, and for an increase in the entrance channel perimeter of 35 percent the annual maintenance increased 25 percent. However, the increase in the entrance channel was considered to be attributed more to the increase in channel length than the increase in channel perimeter. On the basis of these historical observations, a curve was constructed to proportionally predict future maintenance of the channels as provided by the selected plan. These additional annual maintenance quantities that would be expected after construction of the selected plan are shown in table E-3.

Appendix 5

E-20

TABLE E-3 ADDITIONAL ANNUAL MAINTENANCE DREDGING (cubic yards)

Channel Reach	Present Quantities	Additional Quantities	Total
Main Bay	3,824,071	229,444	4,053,515
Entrance	264,216	<u>474,516</u>	738,732
Totals	4,088,287	703,960	4,792,247

28. The disposal method presently used in maintenance of the existing Mobile Harbor channel consists of discharging the material douged by pipeline dredge in open water along both sides of the main channel in the bay and placing the material from the Mobile River channel in diked upland areas and transporting the material dredged by hopper dredge to an EPA interim approved disposal area in the Gulf of Mexico just south of Dauphin Island. With the selected plan this practice will be modified in that all of the upper bay channel and the lower bay channel dredged maintenance material will be placed in a gulf disposal site. The increased costs for maintenance of the existing project has not been charged against the benefits of the selected plan since with or without implementing the selected plan, the disposal

method may change and the existing project can easily provide the economic justification of modifying the present maintenance disposal method. Based on available data discussed in detail in Section D, the gulf disposal alternative would create less adverse environmental impacts than continued open water disposal in the bay.

29. During the seven year construction period shoaling would continue in the channel. Routine maintenance operations would be scheduled to insure authorized depths by the end of new construction. In the *v*_per bay the additional maintenance cost during construction due to the larger channel (average 40,000 cubic yards/year) is amortized over

> Appendix 5 E-21

the 50-year period of analysis for the selected plan and charged as a Federal annual charge. In the lower bay the additional maintenance cost during construction for the main clandel (average 75,000 cubic yards/year) and entrance channel (average 237,00) cubic yards/year) were likewise charged as a Federal annual charge of the considered plan.

PRECONSTRUCTION PLANNING

30. Due to existing hydraulic model data veing based on a plan with a 50-foot channel, additional model tests would be conducted for the selected plan to determine the effects of the 55-foot deep channel and required mechanisms for offsetting any significant adverse affects of the enlarged channel. The model study could also include tests for other structural modifications, such as removing the existing dredged material ridges from along the upper main channel, to determine if they would improve water quality conditions in the bay and/or offset changes caused by the enlarged channel.

31. A usage study will be conducted for Mobile Bay to define the biological productivity of the bay bottom, gather water quality data, and predict recreational potential for the variouw sections of the bay. The results of the study will be used to further assess the impact of constructing the Brookley fill area. Other environmental studies will be conducted in the considered gulf disposal sites to include additional biological sampling, analysis of the bottom sediments, and water-quality data collection.

> Appendix 5 E-22

32. A cultural resources survey will be conducted on land areas adjacent to Brookley that would be altered by the selected plan. The survey, performed prior to any construction, would result in recommendations for the preservation or mitigation of cultural resources found to be threatened. A magnetometer survey of underwater areas would be included as part of the survey or cultural resources.

33. Justified mitigation measures would be considered for any permanent losses which might be identified in the selected plan and adopted disposal method. Also, the feasibility of establishing wetland areas as provided under Section 150 of PL 94-587, will be evaluated.

34. In response to long standing concern over the potential impact of suspended solids and turbidity associated with dredged material disposal one task within the Corps of Engineers Dredged Material Research Program, conducted at the Waterways Experiment Station, was to evaluate methods for controlling the dispersion of dredged material. Results of the studies indicate that the most promising method for controlling water column turbidity and mud flows involves modifying the pipeline configuration at the discharge point. It was found that the amount of water column turbidity generated by a submerged discharge decrease as the angle of the pipeline discharge increase from 0 to 90 degrees. By adding a 15 degree conical section at the end of the 90 degree elbow, the effective velocity of the discharged slurry can be reduced by a factor of ? or 3 (without affecting the dredge's production rate). This decreases the levels of water-column turbidity and increases the mounding tendency of the fluid mud. Laboratory test involving the control of dredged material dispersion have resulted in the development of a submerged diffuser system (figure E-12). Although the diffuser has not been field tested, it has a great deal of potential for most effectively eliminating turbidity in the water column and maximizing the mounding tendency of the discharged dredged material, thereby minimizing the aerial coverage of the fluid mud flow. The slurry remains in the pipeline/diffuser until it is discharged at a low velocity near the bottom, thus, preventing any interaction of the

Appendix 5

E-23



slurry with the water column above the diffuser. This eliminates water column turbidity as well as any depression of the dissolved oxygen levels in the water column. A system for control of dredged material dispersions would be environmentally beneficially for the open water dike construction in the upper bay, and will be considered further during Place I studies.





PLAN IMPLEMENTATION

35. Review of the selected overall plan indicates several separable features that can be incrementally justified economically, and are not dependent upon further model studies for adequate impact assessment. These features can be implemented at an early stage without suboptimizing or binding future action to the framework plan. These features are identified and discussed in the following paragraphs.

36. The selected plan presents a comprehensive gaude for development of Mobile Harbor over the next 15 years. In order to maintain efficiency and safety, separable early implementation features that should be considered include channel widening in the upper bay, a turning and anchorage area at the head of the bay, a passing lane in the central area of the bay and several mitigating features to improve water circulation in the bay.

CHANNEL WIDENING

37. The upper portion of the main bay channel as identified in figure E-3 is subjected to adverse conditions that create steerage difficulties for vessels navigating this reach of channel. The projected commodity movements will also add to the problems encountered in this area by generating more barge and deep-draft traffic, resulting in more navigation delays.

38. Widening the existing 40-by 400-foot channel from beacon 74 to buoy 84 to 650 feet would releave these problems. This action would require dredging of approximately 6.7 million cubic yards of new work material. The relatively good structural material to be dredged from the channel widening would be used to dike and fill a part of the area adjacent to the Brookley mainland.

TURNING AND ANCHORAGE AREAS

39. The efficient operation of the Port of Mobile, as pointed out in the Section C, Appendix 5, on problems and needs, also depends on providing adequate turning and anchorage basins near the mouth of Mobile River. The turning basin would require dredging of approximately 2.4 million cubic yards of new work material. The enchorage basin would require dredging of approximately 2.9 million cubic yards of new work material. This material would be deposited to the Brookley fill area to create a portion of the new development of the

PASSING LANE

40. Constructing a passing lane about mid-way along the main bay channel will significantly reduce the delays of larger vessels entering and leaving Mobile Harbor and the Theodore Industrial area. The passing lane can be constructed adjacent to the east side of the existing channel to a bottom width compatible to the selected plan for a distance of about two miles without sacrificing any economics of future development. The increment of development would require dredging of about 2 million cubic yards of new work material. The material would be pumped by hydraulic dredge into the island presently constructed to contain material excavated from the Theodore Ship Channel.

DREDGED MATERIAL DISPOSAL

41. Approximately 12 million cubic yards of new work dredged material will be excavated from the upper harbor early implementation features. This material will be suitable to construct the dikes of the Brookley Expansion Area (5 million cubic yards) and provide 7 million cubic yards of suitable fill in the northern end for port development. This stage of development will provide about 341 acres of fast land to elevation +17.5 m.1.w.



ATTACHMENT E-1

. . .

2

LAYOUT & LOGS OF BORINGS



.



2		1	U. S. ARM	Y
	учи, ХОН 	REMISIONS Nacimon		E
				D
				c
	is si contactor and def	, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,		В
2 2		U S ARMY ENGINEE DIS CORPS OF ENGINE MOBILE MARBOR, BAY CHANNEL-(WE LAYOUT BLOGS C VEC TO ON FLETC D.13 CVENS- ONTR-54 SS DRAWTY, NO NOT AS STOWN - INT MAY 1964	THICT, MORILE ISERS AL ABAMA IST SIDE DF BORINGS -2-:54	



이 가장 같은 것 같아요. 나는 가지 않는 것 같아?

		1	U. 1	. ARMY
	Stat. 20rd	REVISIONS		
		·····	······	
		·		E
		·		1
				D
			-1	c
	-			
,			•	
	-		e 1	
			Ξ.	
	- 		-	
2 - 2 - 2	4 1 (Pr. 4)		s- 2	8
	هې: ک		2	
2			• •	-1711 of .
1	1		-	
7	le la companya de la		•	
1 summer	nos Zenterat	c		
14	<u> </u>		ਵ 0	
2	Z			
Stor -			<i>.</i>	
	LE LA			
			۲.	
	್ರ್ ಕನ _{ೆ ¹} ್ ನಗ	U. S. ARMY BIGINES CORPS OF I	R DISTRICT, MORNI DHSIMBERS ; MLR.	
nar tex litere S		MCSLE HARBO	M. ILABAMA	
		BAT CHANNEL	. INEST SIDE	
	· · · · · ·		NALENCO]
			2. J. 2	
				. The second



調査を考える認知ができる認識である。 (1999年で1997年) (1999年) 1999年 第2回20日 - 1999年) (1999年) (1999年) (1999年) (1999年) (1997年) (1997年) (1999年) (1999年) (1999年) (1999年) (1999年)









1 X (A)









VERTICAL SCALE e 4+ Q 5

4 з

5



SECTION F

ECONOMICS OF SELECTED PLAN

SECTION F

••

ECONOMICS OF SELECTED PLAN

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Item	Раде
INTRODUCTION	F- 1
METHODOLOGY	F- 2
FIRST COST	F- 4
ANNUAL CHARGES	F- 7
BENEFIT ANALYSIS	F- 7
TRIBUTARY AREA	F- 9
EXISTING AND PLANNED PORT FACILITIES	F- 9
EXISTING FACILITIES	F- 9
PLANNED FACILITIES	F-13
DESIRED PORT IMPROVEMENTS	F-13
PORT COMMERCE	F-15
TRAFFIC STUDIES	F-15
HISTORICAL TRENDS IN PORT COMMERCE	ř-16
PRESENT COMMERCE	F-22
COMMODITIES SCREENED FROM BENEFIT ANALYSIS	F-25
EXCLUDED COMMODITIES	F-26
BAUXITE	F-27
ALUMINA	F-27
MANGANESE ORE	F-28
GRAIN	F-28
MISCELLANEOUS CARGO	F-28
CRUDE OIL	F-28
REFINED PETROLEUM PRODUCTS	F-29
GENERA BREAK-BULK CARGO	F-29
COMMERCE ACCEPTED FOR BENEFIT ANALYSIS	F-30
IKON ORE	F-30

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT'D)

Item	Page
COAL IMPORTS	F- 31
COAL EXPORTS	F- 32
DETERMINATION OF BASE YEAR TONNAGE	F- 38
1975 TONNAGE	F- 38
ALTERNATIVE ROUTING VIA THE PANAMA CANAL	F- 38
IRON ORE	F- 39
COAL (IMPORT)	F-41
COAL (EXPORT)	F-42
1986 TONNAGE	F-46
SUMMARY OF 1986 TONNAGES	F-49
PROJECTIONS OF COMMERCE	F- 50
COMMODITY FORECASTS	F- 50
IRON ORE IMPORTS	Γ- 50
SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF IRON ORE PROJECTION	F- 55
COAL IMPORTS	F- 57
COAL EXFORTS	ত্র– 59
PROJECTION OF COAL EXPORTS TO JAPAN	F - 71
PROJECTION OF COAL CEPORTS TO ITALY	F-73
PROJECTION OF COAL EXPORTS TO ENGLAND/EUROPE	F -75
PROJECTION OF COAL EXPORTS TO EAST COAST OF SOUTH AMERICA	F- 76
SUMMARY OF PROSPECTIVE AND ACCEPTED COMMERCE	F-77
PROSPECTIVE COMMERCE	F -77
ACCEPTED COMMERCE	F-77
VESSEL TRAFFIC	F-79
VESSEL TRIPS	F-79
TREND IN VESSEL TRAFFIC	F-79
VESSEL SIZES	F-83
ROUTING	F-83
CHANNEL DEPTHS AT FOREIGN PORTS	F-88
GENERAL	F-88
Appendix 5	

--- .

F-11

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT'D)

Item		Page
	COAL IMPORTS	F- 89
	COAL EXPORTS	F- 89
ALT	ERNATIVE MODES, "ESSEL UTILIZATION RATES, AND UNIT COSTS	F- 9()
	VESSEL OPERATING COSTS	F- 92
	VESSEL UTILIZATION	F- 94
	SENSITIVITY OF VESSEL'S UTILIZATION RATE	F- 96
	UNIT TRANSPORTATION COSTS	F- 97
UN I	T SAVINGS	F- 102
	GENERAL	F-102
	IRON ORE	F- 104
	COAL IMPORTS	F-104
	COAL EXPORTS	F-107
	SUMMARY OF 1975 BENEFITS	F-111
	UNIT SAVINGS AND BENEFITS FOR 1986	F-111
	SUMMARY OF UNIT SAVINGS FOR 1986 TRAFFIC	F- 115
	SUMMARY OF TOTAL NAVIGATION BENFFITS FOR 1986	F= 115
FUT	URE AND AVERAGE ANNUAL EQUIVALENT BENEFITS	F-115
	TRANSPORTATION BENEFITS	F- 115
	IRON ORE IMPORTS	F-115
	COAL IMPORTS	F-115
	COAL EXPORTS	F~120
	SUMMARY OF TRANSPO (TATION BENEFITS	F-120
	LAND ENHANCEMENT BENEFITS	F-12-)
	SUPPLEMENTAL NAVIGATION BENEFITS	F-124
	SUMMARY OF TOTAL BENEFITS	F-125
SEN	SITIVITY OF BENEFIT ANALYSIS	Г-125
	GENERAL	F-125
	ALTERNATIVE SOURCE OF JAPANESE COAL	F-125
	COAL IMPORTS	F-126
	COAL EXPORT PROJECTIONS	F-126
	VESSEL COSTS	F-127
	TRAFFIC DELAYS	F-127
		Appendix 5 F-iii

IABLE OF CONTENTS (CONT'D)

Item	Page
SUMMARY OF ECONOMIC ANALYSIS	F-127
AVERAGE ANNUAL BENEFITS AND CHARGES AT 7-1/8 PERCENT	
INTEREST RATE	F-128

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title	Page
F-1	DREDGING QUANTITIES FOR CONSTRUCTION	F- 5
F-2	ESTIMATES OF FIRST COST	F- 6
F-3	ESTIMATE OF ANNUAL CHARGES	F- 8
F-4	TABULATION OF TONNAGES BY COMMODITY AND TYPE OF MOVEMENT FOR PERIOD 1966-1975	ē-17
F-5	MOBILE HARBOR, ALABAMA ANNUAL COMMERCE, 1966-1975	F- 21
F-6	FREIGHT TRAFFIC THAT MOVED THROUGH MOBILE IN 1975	F-23
F - 7	COMMODITIES THAT WERE ELIMINATED FROM BENEFIT ANALYSIS	F- 26
F-8	CARRYING CAPABILITY OF DRY BULK CARRIERS IN THE WORLD FLEET	F- 40
F-9	DISTRIBUTION OF COAL EXPORTS FROM MOBILE BY FOREIGN MARKET AREAS	F-4;
F-10	BASE-YEAP TONNAGES ON COAL EXPORTS EXTENDED TO 1986 FORMING A COMPOSITE BASE FOR PROJECTIONS	F- 45
F-11	SUMMARY OF INITIAL-YEAR (1975) TONNAGE ACCEPTED FOR BENEFIT ANALYSIS	F- 46
F-12	PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF COAL EXPORTS IN 1975	F- 49
F-13	SUMMARY OF 1986 TONNAGE ACCEPTED FOR BENEFIT ANALYSIS	F-49
F-14	IRON ORE OPERATIONS IN THE U.S.	F- 52
F-15	EARNINGS IN PRIMARY METALS INDUSTRY FOR THE U.S.,	
	ALABAMA, AND BEA 45 (BIRMINGHAM)	?- 53
F-16	SUMMARY OF REGRESSION AMALYSIS-PRIMARY METALS	F- 54
F-17	A COMPOSITE OF EARNINGS IN PRIMARY METALS FOR U.S. AND BEA 045 AND AN INDEX OF U.S. PRODUCTION OF IRON AND STEEL TO BE USED IN THE PROJECTION OF IRON ORE IMPORTS	
F-18	PROJECTION EACTORS FOR COAL (AMDORT)	r - 56
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	CASEDOTION TACTORS FOR COAL (LEPORT)	r- 58

Appendix 5 F-Iv

LIST OF TABLES (CONT'D)

Table No.	Title	Page
F-19	CONSUMPTION OF UNITED STATES COAL RESOURCES BY MAJOR CONCUMING SECTIONS, 1974 PRELIMINARY AND PROJECTED TO THE YEAR 2000	F- 61
F –20	BITUMINOUS COAL AND LIGNITE SUPPLY-DEMAND RELATIONSHIPS, 1964-74	F-63
F -21	UNITED STATES EXPORTS OF BITUMINOUS COAL, BY CONTINENTAL GROUPS AND COUNTRIES OF DESTINATION, 1967-76	F= 65
F -22	COAL RESERVES IN THE UNITED STATES	F- 70
F-23	PROJECT INDICES APPLICABLE TO COAL EXPORTS THROUGH MOBILE BASED ON AN AVERAGE ANNUAL GROWTH RATE OF	1 70
F D/	I, Z PERCENI DRANGETON DAGTODA DODA COMUNICADOR DECEMBRIDA TO MADAN	F-72
1 - 24 E or	PROJECTION FACTORS FOR COAL EXPORTS DESTINED TO JAPAN	F- /4
r -25	PROJECTION FACTORS FOR COAL EXPORTS DESTINED TO IFALY	F- 75
F -26	PROJECTION FACTORS FOR COAL EXPORTS DESTINED TO ENGLAND/EUROPE	F- 76
F-27	PROJECTION FACTORS FOR COAL EXPORTS DESTINED TO THE EAST COAST OF SOUTH AMERICA	5 7 7
F -28	PROSPECTIVE COMMERCE FOR SELECTED YEARS THROUGHOUT THE PROJECT LIFE (1995-2044)	F- 78
F-29	PROJECTED COMMERCE ACCEPTED FOR BENEFIT ANALYSIS FOR SELECTED YEARS THROUGHOUT THE PROJECT LIFE (1995-2044)	F- 80
F – 30	TOTAL INBOUND AND OUTBOUND TRIPS AND DRAFTS OF VESSELS CALLING AT MOBILE DURING YEAR 1975	F- 81
F -31	TOTAL INBOUND AND OUTBOUND TRIPS AND DRAFTS OF VESSELS WITH DRAFTS 19 FEET AND OVER THAT CALLED AT MOBILE FOR SELECTED YEARS - 1966-1975	F- 82
F - 32	CHARACTERISTICS OF VESSELS PRESENTLY CALLING AT MOBILE (1975)	F- 84
F - 33	VESSEL SIZES, BY CHAPPEL DEPTHS, USED IN DETERMINING BENEFITS ON COAL AND IRON ORE WITH CERTAIN EXCEPTIONS - DRY BULK CARRIERS (FOREIGN FLAG)	F- 86
F -34	DISTANCE OF OCEAN MILES (NAUTICAL) BETWEEN PORTS OF ORIGIN AND DESTINATION ON ACCEPTED COMMERCE	F- 87
F -35	CHANNEL DEPTH AVAILABLE AT FOREIGN PORTS	F- 9]

Appendix 5 F-v

Table No.	T tle	Pare
F -36	GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS AND HOURLY OPERATING COSTS FOR OCEAN-GOING DRY BULK CARRIERS EXPECTED TO TRANSPORT IRON ORE AND COAL THROUGH MOBILE HARBOR FOR ALL DEPTHS CONSIDERED	F- 93
F = 37	VESSEL UTILIZATION RATES	F- 95
}. – }8	A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF BENEFITS FOR IRON ORF (TCI) BY USE OF VESSELS' UTILIZATION RATES WITH A RANGE BETWEEN 50 TO 100 PERCENT	7 1 F- 98
F = 39	CARRYING CAPABILITY OF EACH SIZE CLASS OF WORLD FITET DE BULK CARRIERS EXPECTED TO USE MOBILE HARBOR FOR MOVEMENTS OF IRON ORE AND COAL	RY F- 99
F - 40	COMPARISON OF PER-TON TRANSPORTATION COSTS ON IRON ORE AND COAL ROUTED THROUGH THE PANAMA CANAL VS COSTS FOR VESSELS ROUTED AROUND THE CAPE OF GOOD HOPE	F-103
F -41	UNIT SAVINGS ON IRON ORE DESTINED TO TOI TERMINAL AT MOBILE	F-105
F =42	UNIT SAVINGS ON IRON ORE DESTINED TO ALABAMA STATE DOCKS "TRIPPLE" AT MOBILE, EXCEPT FROM DAMPIER. AUSTRALIA	F-106
F =43	UNIT SAVINGS ON IRON ORE IMPORTED FROM DAMPIER, AUSTRALIA	F-107
F - 44	UNIT SAVEUGS ON COAL IMPORTS FROM RICHARDS BAY, SOUTH AFRICA	F-108
F -45	UNIT SAVINGS ON COAL EXPORTS TO JAPAN	F-109
F – 46	UNIT SAVINGS ON COAL EXPORTS DESTINED TO COUNTRIES OTHER THAN JAPAN	F-1 10
F-47	INITIAL-YEAR (1975) BENEFITS	F-1]]
F-48	ANNUAL SAVINGS ON IRON ORE IMPORTS AT MOBILE FOR YEAR 1986	F-112
F-49	ANNUAL SAVINGS ON COAL IMPORTS AT MOBILE FOR YEAR 1986	F-113
F = 50	ANNUAL SAVINGS ON COAL EXPORTS AT MOBILE FOR YEAR 1986	F-114
F-51	SUMMARY OF 1986 COMMERCE AND AVERAGE UNIT SAVINGS FOR ALTERNATIVE CHANNEL DEPTHS INVESTIGATED	F-116
F-52	SUMMARY OF NAVIGATION BENEFITS FOR ALTERNATIVE CHANNEL DETTHS INVESTIGATED FOR YEAR 1986	F-1 17
F-53	ANNUAL TONNAGE AND BENEFITS ON IRON ORE IMPORTS	F-118
F - 54	ANNUAL TONNAGE AND BENEFITS ON COAL IMPORTS	F-119
F-55	ANNUAL TONNAGE AND BENEFITS ON COAL EXPORTS	F-121

Appendix 5 F-vi

LIST OF TABLES (CONT'D)

Table No.	Title	Page
F-56	SUMMARY OF ANNUAL VOLUME OF TRAFFIC AND SAVINGS	F-122
F-57	SUMMARY OF AVERAGE ANNUAL NAVIGATION BENEFITS FOR ALTERNATIVE CHANNEL DEPTHS INVESTIGATED	F-123
F-58	LEAST COSTLY ESTIMATE OF LANDFILL AREA	F-124
F-59	SUMMARY OF AVERAGE ANNUAL EQUIVALENT BENEFITS	F-125
F-60	SUMMARY OF ECONOMIC ANALYSIS	F-128
F-61	SUMMARY OF AVERAGE ANNUAL NAVIGATION BENEFITS FOR ALTERNATIVE CHANNEL DEPTHS INVESTIGATED	F-129
F-62	SUMMARY OF AVERAGE ANNUAL EQUIVALENT BENEFITS	F-13 0
F-63	SUMMARY OF ECONOMIC ANALYSIS	7-130

LIST OF FIGURES

Number	Title	Page
F-1	TRIBUTARY AREA OF THE PORT OF MOBILE	F-10
F-2	COAL RESERVES IN ALABAMA	F= 34
F - 3	ACTIVE MINING AREAS IN ALABAMA	F- 35
F – 4	COAL FIELDS IN THE UNITED STATES	F~ 36
F-5	U.S. COAL USES	F-64

LIST OF PLATES

Plate No.TitleF-1CPM FOR AE & D AND CONSTRUCTION

LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

Attachment No.

Title

F-1 COMPUTER PROGRAMS - DEEP DRAFT BENEFITS

Appendix 5 F-vii

SECTION F

ECONOMICS OF SELECTED PLAN

INTRODUCTIC

1. This section of the report contains estimates of first costs, annual charges, benefits and other supporting data pertaining to the economics of the selected plan for enlargement of the Mobile Harbor ship channel. First cost and annual charges presented herein are based upon the selected plan as evaluated and defined previously in Sections D and E of this Appendix, respectively. The selected plan consists essentially of deepening the project from the presently authorized 40-foot depth in the main bay channel to 55 feet, widening it from the authorized 400-foot width to 550 feet, deepening the gulf entrance channel from the presently authorized 4²-foot depth to 57 feet, and widening it from the authorized 600-foot width to 700 feet. A range of channel widths and depths was investigated for the selected plan as well as for all alternatives that were given detailed consideration in order that the optimum level of development could be identified.

2. A 40-foot ship channel into the Theodore Industrial Park has been authorized and is under construction. The economic feasibility for the expansion of the authorized channel, in conjunction with the overall Mobile Harbor improvement study, was investigated to determine the navigation benefits that could be realized by modifying the authorized project to a depth greater than 40 feet.

3. An investigation to determine the prospective beneficiaries of any modification of the authorized Theodore project revealed that two companies could be potential users. One of the companies indicated a probable use for a deeper channel; however, they could not give any firm commitments as to their need of a deeper channel into Theodore at this time. Based on this uncertainty as to their use of any deeper channel, they were not considered as a prospective user that would realize benefits from the expansion of the authorized Theodore segment of the existing project.

Appendix 5 F-1 4. Another potential beneficiary of any modification of the authorized Theodore project plans to import crude oil through Theodore with further delivery to their proposed refinery by pipeline. This company has given assurances they would use a deeper channel than that presently authorized for Theodore; however, they have not completed construction of their refinery or pipeline. In view of the contingency of future benefits to this company on both the completion of their facilities and the authorized Federal improvements for Theodore, such benefits were regarded only as a potentiality at this time rather than a firm estimate.

5. Without firm prospective beneficiaries for depths in the Theodore Channel greater than those presently authorized and under construction, consideration of greater depths at this time is not warranted. Accordingly, all modifications to the existing Federal navigation project for Mobile Harbor considered herein are directed toward the main Mobile Bay ship channel and other ancillary components.

METHODOLOGY

6. The primary purpose of this section is to identify and measure the direct economic and monetary impacts the considered channel improvements would have on the transportation of products shipped through the port of Mobile by deepdraft vessels and to review the need for expanding the port facilities to handle the anticipated future tonnage. The study principally involves examining present and future commerce and vessel traffic that would move on the Mobile ship channel, review the industrial developement that will support the traffice over the projected 50-year period of economic analysis (1995-2044) and determine the monetary benefits and costs associated with channel improvements.

7. Navigation benefits and costs herein were developed for each of the channel depths investigated ranging from 45 to 60 feet at 5-foot increments. The navigation benefits, while valid for the selected plan, are applicable to all the main bay channel deepening plans of improvement

```
Appendix 5
F-2
```

considered and are not sensitive to construction alternatives being considered, such as, dredged material disposal methods and channel widening. Land enhancement benefits presented herein are applicable to the selected plan and were computed based on the 5-foot levels of considered development.

8. A field canvass was made to interview industries presently shipping through the port, prospective shippers, steamship lines or their agents, and other shipping interests. The survey was conducted to determine what impact the enlargements of the ship channel would have on present and future commodity shipments through the port of Mobile. Information collected includes: (1) present and future volume of commerce that will be shipped through the port, (2) type of transportation service required for shipping their products, (3) origin/destination matrix or shipping patterns required for the delivery of each commodity, (4) the terminals and/or docks generally used at Mobile, (5) adequacy of terminals at the port, (6) volume of shipments per consignment normally required, and (7) other pertinent data concerning their transportation needs.

9. An economic analysis was also made to determine the historical growth in port tonnage, present and prospective commerce, and associated transportation costs and be...fits. Eenefits were calculated to determine the savitgs in transportation costs creditable to the various channel depths considered.

10. This Section documents the current commerce moving through the port and current vessel activity; identifies and evaluates the commerce that would benefit by the considered improvements; provides estimates of volume of commerce that can be expected throughout the project life (1995-2044); documents procedures in determining vessel operating costs and the resulting benefits and costs that can be expected from the plans of improvement.

> Appendix 5 F-3

11. Benefits and costs for the selected plan were derived in terms of equivalent average annual benefits and equivalent average annual charges (interest, amortization and maintenance costs). These were computed for a 50-year period of analysis and converted to an average annual basis using the current interest rate of 6 7/8 percent, applicable to all water resource projects under investigation at the time of this report. Benefits and costs reflect October 1978 prices.

12. Benefits are based on transportation savings which would result principally from the future use of larger, more economical vessels. Supplemental benefits from improvements of the project reflect savings in delay time to ships navigating the main bay channel. Land enhancement benefits also result from the creation of lands adequate for industrial or port terminal development. The total benefits derived from various considered channel depths were compared with costs for the various depths to identify the optimum depth.

13. Costs consist principally of dredging. These costs are based on current prices for maintenance dredging, updated prices for new work on prior construction for Mobile Harbor and similar projects and detailed analysis of new dredging techniques.

FIRST COST

14. First costs given herein are estimated for the selected plan as described in Section E of this Appendix and illustrated on figure E-1. Dredging costs are based on the quantities of new work for the selected plan shown in table F-1. Estimated first costs, shown in table F-2, are based upon October 1978 dollar values. This table in-Judes advance engineering and design costs, which are scheduled on plate F-1. The contribution required by local interest is based on all of the cost allocated for land enhancement of the Brookley expansion area. A detailed development of this cost is presented in "Implementation Responsibilities" in the main body of this report.

Appendix 5 F-4

TABLE F-1

DREDGING QUANTITIES FOR CONSTRUCTION (cubic yards)

Reach	Quantity
Mobile Ship Channel	
Turning Basin	3,611,852
Anchorage Area	4,410,677
Upper Channel	55,371,500
Lower Channel	58,653,704
Berthing Areas	1,890,000
Total Pipeline Dredging	123.943.723
Gulf Entrance Channel *	
Total Hopper Dredging	19,018,594
Total Dredging Quantity for Cons ru	action 142,962,317

* The lower 8,000 feet of the main channel is included in L quantities for hopper dredging.

TABLE F-2

ESTIMATE OF FIRST COST

FEDERAL FIRST COST	
Dredging	
Upper bay reach (above Theodore) 63,400 cu.yds. @ \$1.04/cu.yd.	\$ 65,936,000
Lower bay reach 58,654,000 cu.yds. @ \$1.28 cu.yd.	75,077,000
Entrance channel 19,019,000 cu.yds. @ \$1.75 cu.yd.	33,283,000
Mooring Dolphins (16 @ \$54,142 ea.)	866,000
SUB-TOTAL	\$175,162,000
Contingencies @ 20%	35,032,000
Engineering & Design 🤤 🕸	6,306,000
Supervision & Administration @ 3%	6,495,000
Interest during Construction (7 yrs. @ 6-7/8%)	53,658,000
SUB-TOTAL	\$276,653,000
Less Required Contribution by Local Interest	-36,641,000
Navigation Aids (U.S. Coast Guard)	93,000
TOTAL FEDERAL FIRST COST	\$240,105,000
NON-FEDERAL FIRST COST	
Eredging	
Berthing Areas (1,890,000 cu.yds. @ \$1.04/cu.yd.)	1,966,000
Dike Construction (over & above C.E. Cost) 13,800,000 cu.yds. 현 \$9.05/cu.yd.	690,000
Initial Dike Construction	
Dressing & Shaping	35,000
Waste Weirs	34,000
Revetment	4,289,000
SUB-TOTAL	\$ 6,574,000
Contingencies @ 20%	1,315,000
Cash Contribution (8.1% of 276,653,000)	22,409,000
Cash Contribution (5% of 284,635,000)	14,232,000
TOTAL NON-FEDERAL FIRST COST	\$ 44,530,000
TOTAL ESTIMATED FIRST COST	\$284,635,000
ANNUAL CHARGES

15. Total annual charges are summarized in table F-3. These include interest, amortization and future maintenance for the considered plan of improvement. Charges are given for both Federal and Non-Federal interests. Estimates are based upon October, 1978 dollars, an interest rate of 6 7/8% and an economic period of an analysis of 50 years (1995-2044).

BENFFIT ANALYSIS

i6. Benefits derived herein accrue principally through use of larger, more economical vessels, and land enhancement from the fast land created adjacent to the Brookley Industrial Complex. Other supplemental benefits creditable to improving the harbor channel would result from elimination of lost vessel time due to constrained traffic in the channel. Documentation of such supplemental savings apart from benefits of a deeper channel are not clearly distinguishable and as such have not been evaluated in monetary terms as justification of the selected plan.

17. The benefit analysis presents an evaluation of trends that would affect the type and quantity of future commerce moving through the port and navigation benefits associated with this trade. In this analysis, consideration is given to the trend toward use of larger, more efficient vessels that has been prevelant over the past few years, and the fact that some vessels presently calling at the port are being light-loaded due to channel depth restrictions.

18. Supporting data used in the economic analysis and computations were obtained from a survey of users of the port and from related statistics. These include information furnished by local interests, records and statistics furnished by maritime and industry representatives, and specialized information such as ship operating cost data and commercial waterborne statistics compiled annually by the Corps of Engineers.

TABLE F-3

ESTIMATE OF ANNUAL CHARGES

FEDERAL ANNUAL CHARGES

Interest 3740,105,000 @ 6,875%	\$16,508,000
Amortization <u>8240,105,000</u> @ 0.2567%	616,000
Maintenance Dredging Increase due to larger channel Upper Bay (79.322 cu. yd. @ \$1.34/cu. yd.) Lower Bay (150,122 cu. yd. @ \$0.88/cu. yd.) Entrance (474,516 cu. yd. @ \$1.75/cu. yd.)	106,000 132,000 830,000
Maintenance During Construction \$4,514,000 X 0.071317	322.000
Maintenance of Mooring Dolphins	30,000
Maintenance of Navigation Aids (U.S.C.G.)	4,000
TOTAL FEDERAL ANNUAL CHARGES	\$18,548,000
NON-FEDERAL ANNUAL CHARGES	
Interest 5 \$44,530,000 @ 6.875%	\$ 3,062,000
Amortization \$44,530,000 @ 0.2567%	114,000
Maintenance of Dikes 20,900 lin. feet @ \$2.42/ft.	51,000
Maintenance of Berthing Areas	
189,000 cu. yds. \$1.34/cu. ft.	253,000
TOTAL NON-FEDERAL ANNUAL CHARGES	\$ 3,480,000
TOTAL ESTIMATED ANNUAL CHARGES	\$22,028,000

.

19. The selected plan for improving the existing Mobile Harbor channel considered depths of 45, 50, 55 and 60 + et in the bay with 2 feet additional depth in the gulf entrance channel to compensate for wave action. Estimates of navigation benefits that could be expected to accrue to the depths investigated are presented in subsequent paragraphs.

TRIBUTARY AREA

20. The geographical area considered commercially tributary to the port of Mobile is very broad in scope. The area considered directly tributary to this port would be an area contiguous to the origin/destination of the domestic patterns of present and future commerce that would move through the port. The preferential area where the port has a freight rate advantage over other Gulf Coast ports encompasses an area of Alabama and parts of Mississippi and Georgia. Another preferential area that is served by the port, where the rail miles to Mobile are less or equal to competing ports, is delineated by hatched lines on figure F-1. A secondary area, designated as the parity area within which freight rates to Mobile would be generally equalized with other Gulf Coast ports, includes all or part of the states in the Southeast and Mid-America. A fourth, more generalized, tributary area would include traffic patterns on a worldwide basis. For more exact delineation, refer to figure F-1.

EXISTING AND PLANNED PORT FACILITIES

21. <u>Existing Facilities</u>. The port of Mobile is located at the mouth of the Mobile, Tensaw, Tombigbee, Black Warrior, and Alabama-Coosa River System. With the completion of the Tennessee-Tomby bee Waterway, the basin will directly connect the Tennessee River with navigation access to all rivers to the north. In addition to the river system other



waterways serving the navigation needs of Mobile consists of Mobile Bay, the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway and inland waterways tributary to Mobile Bay. The existing ship channel in Mobile Bay is 40' x 400' and extends from the Cochrane Bridge for about 33 miles to the Gulf of Mexico. The extensive system of inland waterways presently permits barge navigation as far north as Port Birmingham and Montgomery, AL. The Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, which extends 1100 miles between Brownsville, TX to the Apalachee Bay in Florida, makes connection with the port via the Mobile ship channel.

22. Interstate Highways 1-10 and 1-65, which are essentially complete. provide an efficient highwa system connecting Mobile to other southeastern cities and serves important waterfront areas in Mobile County. An adequate network of local highways afford convenient access to waterfront facilities. The Mobile area is also served by four national trunk-line railroads. The Alabama State Docks Terminal Railway connects these railroads to dock-sides and marine terminals and serves industries near these facilities. Commercial air transportation is available at the municipally owned Bates Field, located about 15 miles west of the port. More then 40 truck freight lines have terminals located in Mobile and the harbor is being served by nearly all the major barge lines. To serve the foreign and coastwise trade at Mobile, there are over 15 steamship agencies that represent over 130 steamship lines that operate at the port. Other port supporting services include stevedoring companies, freight forwarders, bunkering service, ship chandlers, shipbuilding and repair service, tug service and marine surveyors. All of these facilitate the movement of goods and perform the needed services associated with the loading, unloading and handling of waterborne cargo.

23. Principal public terminals located at the Port of Mobile include 26 general cargo berths and a grain elevator above the I-10 and Bankhead tunnels on the west side of the Mobile River, a dry bulk ore handling terminal on Three Mile Creek, also above the tunnels, and a coal export terminal on McDuffie Island near the mouth of the river. The general cargo berths vary from relatively modern to 50 years old facilities but are considered adequate for foreseeable general cargo handling needs of the port. A two stage expansion and modernization program is nearing completion on the grain elevator that will increase its annual throughput capacity to about 3.5 million tons. The dry-bulk terminal on Three Mile Creek was originally constructed in 1927 and has gone through several renovations to maintain modern efficiency and to increase its storage and handling capacities. The facility presently operates near its maximum capacity of about 5 to 6 million tons annually. The McDuffie Coal Terminal is a modern facility that began operation in 1975. The facility is presently being expanded to provide a capacity for handling about 10.2 million tons annually. Space and plans have been provided to expand this facility as needed. All existing public facilities in the Port of Mobile are owned and operated by the Alabama Department of State Docks.

24. Principal private terminals in the main port area of Mobile include: The liquid petroleum storage and loading facilities of Amerada-Hess, Citmoco, Chevron Asphalt Refinery, Texaco and Argon; the molasses importing docks of Pro Rico Industries; Pinto Island Metal's scrap metal dock; "Port of Chickasaw" general cargo docks; and the Tennessee Coal and Iron bulk ore handling terminal. Another major facility in the immediate harbor area is the numerous berths of the Alabama Dry Dock and Shipbuilding Corporation. There are numerous other lesser facilities in the main harbor area primarily used for barge unloading and vessel repairs. Other private terminals either existing or under construction on the Theodore Ship Channel located about 10 miles south of Mobile include the docks of Ideal Basic Industries, Airco Alloys, Kerr-McGee, Degussa Alabama, Inc. and Marion Corporation.

25. All existing public and private terminals are discussed in detail in Section C of this Appendix and many are illustrated by photographs therein.

26. Planned Facilities. The Alabama State Docks Department assumes the role of both operating and planning for public port facilities in the State of Alabama. As a required measure of local participation in connection with the Federal improvements under construction for the Theodore Industrial area, the Docks Department has planned the construction of a public liquid bulk terminal. In addition to this and other public terminals on the Theodore Channel, the State has developed a comprehensive long range plan for modernizing and expanding its facilities in the main Mobile Harbor vicinity. While this plan provided for improving access and operations of its facilities above the Mobile River tunnels, essentially all new facilities are planned to be located below the tunnels near the mouth of the river. Major new terminals planned, in addition to expansion of the McDuffie Island Coal terminal, are a dry bulk ore terminal to be located on the north end of McDuffie Island and grain elevators in the vicinity of the 'Mobile Aerospace Industrial Complex". The department has and is continuing to purchase necessary properties to implement this plan. Details of the State's plans are discussed and illustrated in Section D of this Appendix under "Local Plans". State plans are considered compatible with the selected plan considered herein for Federal implementation. No long term plans of private interests are generally known until immediately prior to their intent to initiate construction.

27. <u>Desired Port Improvements</u>. Overall water resources problems and needs of the Port of Mobile are discussed in detail in Section C of this Appendix. However, the basic navigation problems facing the port are the inadequate existing terminals and the ability of the harbor to accommodate the larger and more economical bulk carrier vessels now engaged in World deep-draft shipping. The Alabama State Docks Department has identified and is actively pursuing a plan to construct new and expand existing bulk terminals in unconstrained locations within the harbor. However, fulfillment of harbor needs cannot be realized without commensurate channel improvements that will facilitate the optimum utilization of new ships and terminals. It is these improvements in the existing Federal Project that are desired by local interests and for which, along with other water related needs, the "Selected Plan" herein has been formulated. Navigation benefits for the considered improvements can only be determined through detailed analysis of commerce movements, origins and destinations, vessel characteristics and operating costs and available alternative modes. These analysis are presented in the following paragraphs.

28. Coal and a portion of the iron one imports plus bauxite and other miscellaneous ores are presently being handled through the Alabama State Dock's bulk handling facility (Tipple) at Three Mile Creek. It is expected by 1995 the coal and a portion of the iron ore will move through a newly constructed facility at McDuffie Island. The present facility is currently being operated at near capacity of 6.0 million tons. According to Alabama State Dock's records over 5.5 million tons were handled at this facility in 1978. By 1995 it is estimated that 7.2 million tons will be available to unload from ocean-going vessels plus another 1.0 millions tons that could be reloaded into barges for further transport on inland waterways.

29. With a new facility available at McDuffie by 1995, it is expected that 1.6 million tons would be shifted to this facility. This would include 896,000 tons of coal imports, 249,000 tons or 43 percent of iron ore from Australia, and 482,000 tons of iron ore from Canada and Brazil. This would leave 5.6 million tons (7.2 - 1.6) that would continue to be unloaded from ocean-going vessels at the Tipple, about the same tonnage that was handled at the facility in 1978.

PORT COMMERCE

30 _ Traffic Studies. All known industries and shipping interests presently using the Port of Mobile and companies that have expressed a desire to use the port in the future, were contacted to determine the potential use of the port relative to savings that could be realized from harbor improvements to commerce and ship traffic in the coastwise and import-export trade. Interviews with companies associated with the shipments of cosl, grain, iron ore, bauxite, petroleum and other bulk commodities, steamship lines, bar pilots, railroads, Alabama State Docks and other Government agencies were conducted at various intervals during the course of this study to determine the need for greater dimensions in Mobile ship channel and to assess the volume of traffic that can be expected in the future. Special emphasis uss placed on interviews with firms associated with large bulk commodity movements that bear the largest potential for savings from harbor improvements. A list of major industries that were interviewed is presented below.

- a. The Drummond Company (Coal)
- b. Jim Walters Corp. (Coal)
- c. Sumitomo Shoji America, Inc. (Coal)
- d. Smith Coal Sales (Coal)
- e. Mannesman Pipe and Steel (Coal)
- t. Ataka America, Inc. (Coal)
- g. Hawley Fuel Corp. (Coal)
- h. Alabama by-Products Corp. (Coal)
- i. Wallace and Wallace Chemi al & Oil Corp. (Crude 0il)
- j. Peabody Coal Co. (Coal)
- k. Mitsui & Co. (USA) Inc. (Coal)
- 1. United States Steel corp. (Iron Gre)
- m. Consolidated Aluminum Corp. (Alumina.

- n. Revere Copper & Brass, Inc. (Alumina)
- o. Marion Corp. Refinery Div. (Crude Oil)
- p. Republic Steel Corp. (Iron Ore)
- q. Alcoa (Bauxite-Alumina)
- r. Amerada-Hess Corp. (Crude Oil)
- s. Kerr-McGee Corp. (Manganese Ore)
- t. Phillip Bros. (Various Commodities)
- u. Lapeyrouse Export, Inc. (Grain)
- v. Pillsbury, Inc. (Grain)

31. Other firms or agencies that were contacted include major steamship agents at Mobile, Mobile Bar Pilots Association, Alabama State Docks. U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mines, Louisiana Otfshore Oil Port (LOOP), Standard Oil Company of California, and Geological Survey of Alabama.

32. <u>Historical Trends in Port Commerce</u>. Annual commerce shipped through the port of Mobile, by deep-draft vessels. increased from 14.4 million tons in 1966 to 16.7 million tons in 1975. Barge traffic increased from 7.9 million tons to 15.9 million t as during the same period. Total traffic increased from 22.3 million tons to 32.5 million tons during the 10-year period. A sharp increase in port traffic has occurred subsequent to 1975, according to the Alabama State Docks' records and preliminary data as published in the Waterborne Commerce of the United States, art 2, for Calendar Year 1975. The overall increase in tonnage moving through the port can be attributed to the growth in all areas except bauxite, marine shells, fertilizers, lumber, paper, food products and commerce termed as miscellaneous traffic. For more detailed statistics on the past trends in port commerce, refer to table F-4.

33. The most significant changes in volume of deep-droft vessel traffic is the increase in coal, both inbound and outbound, and grain ecopres. The impressive increase in coal tonnage is due to the heavy demand for

TABLE P-4

Tabulation of tonnages by commodity and type of movement for Period 1955 - 1975

Source: Waterborne Commerce of The United States - Part 2 for years 1966 - 1975, inclusive

		VIARS									1075	
COMMODITY		1066	1967	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	
<u>Grain & Grain 1</u> Deep-draft ves Barge t r affic	Producte sel traífic	1,715,000 651 800	1,613,000 550,300	1,907,800 722,800	1,463,700 793,500	1,234,500 365,200	873,700 343,300	1,548,100 436,900	2,161,600 518,300	1,716,200 533, 30 0	2.327,500 1,102,100	
<u>Ores & Concent</u> Deep-draft ves Barge traffic	<u>rates</u> sel t raffi c	5,178,200 1,689,500	5,106,1 30 2,165,822	4,853,300 1,989,400	4,879,100 1,974,200	5,571,300 2,029,700	5,511,000 2,569,500	4,039,200 3.031,000	4,812,800 3,269,300	6,561,700 4,368,900	4.908,900 2,472,100	
<u>Bauxite (Alumi</u> Deep-draft ves Barge traffic	num Ore) sel traffic	2,557,800	2,875,775	2,748,000 1,900	2,313,800	2,436,900	2.197,200	1,776,700	1,9 1,500	2,023,100	1,871,600	
<u>Coal</u> Deep-draft ves Barge traffic	sel traffic	500 460,800	402 448,844	1,700 427,000	700 285,200	343,600 911,700	749,000 1,859,100	1,141,400 3,039,000	1,122,830 1,530,800	1,889,900 2.080,800	3,116,000 2,824,500	
<u>Crude Petroleu</u> Deep-draft ves Barge traffic	m_ sel traffic	2,131,700 864,000	1,457,979 803,770	1,076,700 1,295,800	1,653,700 1,147,100	1,343,900 741,900	1,316,300 1,054,300	2,460,200 1,380,000	4,296,100 977,700	3,446,000 1,041,800	2,597,800 2,361,000	
<u>Marine Shells,</u> Deep-draft ves Barge traffic	<u>Enmenuf.</u> sel traffic	13,100 1,469,000	85 1,409,895	100 1,354,000	1,427,300	1,526,000	1,797,000	1,510,600	1,597,000	200 1,579,700	1,491,200	
Sand, Gravel, Deep-draft ves Barge traffic	<u>Crushed Roc</u> sel traffic	<u>k</u> 99,900 729,800	53,457 650,549	153,800 854,100	213,200 973,100	252,500 1,350,000	149,900 1,432,400	226,600 1,401,800	250,000 1,612,400	149,400 1,635,000	81,800 2,014,700	
 Fertílizer & F <u>Materials</u> Deep-draft ves Barge traffic 	ertilizer sel traffic	137,100 118,000	93,581 65,0 6 9	47,500 27,900	106,100 58,900	59,500 21,200	19,000	17,200 6,500	3,000 5,000	4,200 13,500	105,100 3,100	

TABLE F-4 (Continued)

Tabulation of tonnages by commodity and type of movement for Period 1966 - 1975

.

COMMODITY				YE	RS					
GROUP	1966	1967	<u>1968</u>	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975
Lumber & Other										
Forest Products										
Deep-draft vessel traffic	447.800	157.758	165.200	132.400	169,800	151,600	215,900	239,500	252,200	206.300
Barge Traffic	312,900	296,797	321,300	383, 500	396,000	262,000	204,500	300,000	321,400	137,300
Paper & Paper Products										
Deep-draft vessel traffic	97,900	118,024	207,200	176,500	196,000	191,700	175,400	266,30 0	275,600	181,700
Barge traffic					2,000		1,000	96,500	108,600	48,400
Chemical & Chemical										
Products			_							
Deep-draft vessel traffic	93,200	81,322	179,100	140,200	137,100	83,000	107,800	87,700	63,600	69,700
Barge traffic	156,900	142,878	143,600	236,000	500,400	454,800	441,900	373,200	611,300	475,800
Refined Petroleum										
Products										
Deep-draft vessel traffic	893,000	577,200	684,400	760,500	767,200	522,200	361,200	828,000	508,800	612,900
Barge traffic	1,203,500	1,684,700	2,156,800	2,448,900	2,038,000	2,284,300	2,641,900	2,850,300	2,882,200	2,652,600
Iron & Steel Products										
Deep-draft vessel traffic	415,200	514,611	532,300	798,500	780,300	460,100	506,800	674,300	388,800	379.700
Bare traffic	45,900	65,516	113,800	383,400	317,500	200,600	217,500	244,600	323,900	116,200
Food & Kindred Products			_							
Deep-draft vessel traffic	141,000	159,645	109,600	173,400	176,800	276,500	194,600	196,500	115,000	38,700
Barge traffic	36,300	31,344	22,400	11,700	25,600	17,600	20,400	19,500	12,100	8,500
Ferm Products										
Deep-draft vessel traffic	10,000	15,431	11,200	7,900	4,900	3,900	5,100	8 500	37 700	97 900
Barge traffic								0,000	<i></i>	04,000
										200

Appendix 5 F-18

3

TABLE F-4 (Continued)

Tabulation of tonnages by commodity and type of movement for Period 1966 - 1975

COMODITY				Y	EARS					
GROUP	1966	1967	1968	1969	1970	<u> </u>	1972	1973	1974	1975
Non-Metallic Min. Nec.										
Deep-draft vessel treffic	2,400	5,832	7,700	8,100	14,400	4,500	4,400	20,400	4,200	9,700
Barge traffic	23,000	32,000	12,000	44,000	8,000			6,600	700	51,600
Transportation Equipment										
Deep-draft vessel traffic	4,500	2,617	3,600	3,600	1,200	1,300	1,100		4,100	8,000
Barge traffic				****	300		690		2,100	10,600
Department of Defense										
Deep-draft vessel traffic	15,200	12,539	7,200	7,200	5,600	5,800	10,800	15,300	15,300	39,200
Barge traffic										
Sub-Total										
Deep-draft vessel traffic	14,353,500	12,839,218	12,776,400	12,838,600	13,495,500	12,516,700	12,792,500	16,926,400	17,456,100	16,639,400
Barge traffic	7,761,400	8,347,484	9,442,800	<u>10,209,600</u>	10,233,500	12,274,900	14,333,000	13,502,700	<u>15,520,400</u>	15,769,900
Total	22,114,900	21,186,702	22,219,200	23,048,200	23,729,000	24,791,600	27,125,500	30,429,100	32,976,500	32,409,300
Miscellaneous										
Deep-draft vessel tr'ffic	66,265	21,599	104,011	112,976	92,754	124,751	132,185	64.413	140 544	18 199
Barge traffic	126,748	75,485	3,107	1,265	7,831	2 877	33,378	24,909	36,910	5 274
Total	193,013	97,084	107,118	114,141	100, 585	127,628	165,563	89,322	177,454	43,612
Frend Totel										
Deep-draft vessel traffic										
Total	14,419,765	12,860,817	12,880,411	12,951,476	13, 588, 254	12,641,451	12,924,685	16,990,813	17,596,644	16,677,788
Barge traffic							-			
Total	7,888,148	8,422,969	9,445,907	10,210,865	<u>10,241,331</u>	12,277,777	14,366,378	13,527,609	<u>15,557,310</u>	15,775,124
Grand Total	22,307,913	21,283,786	22,326,318	23,162,341	23,829,585	24,519,228	27,291,063	30,518,422	33,153,954	32,452,912

.

S

coking coal to Japan and their interests in coal mining operation in Alabama. The Japanese interests have deemed the construction of the McDuffie Island Coal Handling Terminal, a public facility, a breakthrough in facilitating their assured supply of coal. Public coal terminals are not available at the ports of Newport News and Norfolk, VA, and Baltimore, MD as they are operated and controlled by the railroads who own the docks and terminal facilities.

34. Grain exports have also shown a marked increase in the past several years, particularly in 1975 and 1976. This is primarily accredited to the significant increase in production of corn and soybeans in the southeast and the demand for grain in foreign countries. The Alabama State Docks is completing a series of major expansions of their fully public grain elevator at Mobile. While potential for further expansion remains, grain shipments have in recent years been essentially increasing to approximate the facility's expanding capacity.

Published statistics on total commerce for years 1966-1976, allocated 35. by foreign imports and exports, coastwise receipts and shipments, and internal receipts, shipments, and local traffic, are presented in table Internal traffic designates waterborne commerce moving in vessels F-5. other than deep-draft ships. Imports since 1966 remained fairly stable at about 8.0 million tons with no significant increase. Exports increased from 2.0 million tons in 1966 to 5.7 million tons in 1976. For years 1975 and 1976, the significant increase in exports is due to the increase In coal and grain shipments. Coastwise receipts reflect a smill percentage of the overall traffic for the port. Coastwise shipments had a high fluctuation during this 10-year period, ranging from a low 1.6 million tons in 1968 to a high of 4.7 million tons in 1973, giving an average figure of 2.6 million tons for the 10-year period. Internal traffic, which represents mostly barge traffic, has increased considerably since 1966. Receipts increased from 3.3 million tons in 1966 to 6.8 million tons in

TABLE F-5

MOBILE HARBOR, ALABAMA ANNUAL COMMERCE, 1966 - 1975

				Domestic				
		Foreign		Coa	stwise	·····		
Year	Total	Imports	Exports	Receipts	Shipments	Receipts	Shipment.	s Local
1966	22,307.9	9,009.3	2,020.1	423.3	2,617.1	3,250.8	3,430.3	1,207.0
1967	21,283.8	8,873,4	1,873.6	236.5	1,877.3	3,510.2	3,584.8	1,327.9
1968	22,326.3	8,884.7	2,236.1	158.6	1,600.9	4,109.1	3,950.8	1,386.0
1969	23,162.3	8,206.2	2,503.9	69.2	2,172.2	4,774.7	4,113.6	1,322.6
1970	23,829.6	8,777.0	2,940.3	33.2	1,837.7	5,009.7	3,983.7	1,247.9
1971	24,919.2	8,527.3	2,325.1	15.5	1,773.6	6,086.3	4 ,964. 0	1,227.5
1972	27,291.1	6,674.4	3,053.7	170.8	3,025.7	7,975.7	5,220.9	1,169.8
1 9 73	30,518.4	7,909.6	3,856.4	554.4	4,670.4	6,351.8	6,001.3	1,174.6
1974	33,154.0	9,415.5	3 ,96 2.6	447.6	3,770.9	7,148.7	7,016.6	1,391.9
1975	32,452.9	7,895.8	5,404.7	363.7	3,013.6	7,559.1	6,832.3	1,383.7
Ten								
Year								
Average	26,124.6	8,452.3	3,017.7	247.3	2,635.9	5,577.6	4,909.8	1,283.9
1976 ¹	35,379 .3	8,215.6	5,744.8	384.1	1,817.4	7,625.0	9,519.1	2,073.1

Ļη

Source: Waterborne Commerce of the United States 1966-75, Part 2

1975 with a drastic increase to 9.5 million tons in 1976. The commodities that contributed to the increase in internal traffic are grain, ores, coal, crude oil, sand and gravel, and refined petroleum products. The average annual volume of traffic during this 10-year period was 14.4 million tons of deep-draft vessel traffic with 11.8 million tons of shallow-draft traffic.

36. <u>Present Commerce</u>. A record of freight traffic for CY 1975, giving the volume of commerce, by commodity, is presented in tab e F-6. The volume of commerce under the heading of "Foreign" and "Coastwise" represents that which moved in deep-draft vessels, including fishing vessels. Commerce moving by barge is shown under the caption of "Internal" and "Local."

37. The major commodities that comprise the port commerce are: iron ore, coal, crude oil, grain, bauxite, refined petroleum products, marine shells, sand and gravel, and munerous commodities that are shipped as break-bulk cargo. An overview of the principal commodity movements in 1975 is presented below.

Iron ore tonnage represents the largest volume of traffic for a 38. single commodity. Iron ore imports amounted to 4.8 million tons. Shipments of iron ore moving from the port by barge amounted to 2.4 million tons. The total volume of iron ore shipped by barge was imported by deep-draft vessels. Total volume of iron ore shipped through the port was 7.2 million tons. Coal tonnage was the second largest volume of traffic shipped through the port with 2.7 million tons exported and 371,000 tons imported. Barge receipts and snipments of coal amounted to 2.8 million tons which was subsequently exported by deep-draft vessels. Crude oil shipments by tanker amounted to 2.4 million tons in 1975. About 2.4 million tons, or 50 percent of the total crude oil shipments, were by Imports of crude oil amounted to 189,000 tons. Total volume of barge. crude oil shipped through the port was 5.0 million tons. Bauxite imported accounted for 1.9 million tons of traffic.

TABLE F-6

FREIGHT TRAFFIC THAT MOVED THROUGH MOBILE IN 1975

.

٦

	FOREIGN			DOVESTIC					
COMMODILY.	TOTAL			C045	WISE	141		LCCAL	
C0000173		IMPORTS	EXPORTS	RECEIPTS	SHIPPENTS	RECEIS	5-19-12475	L.v. L	
*OTAL	32,452,912	7.895.825	5 404,733	363, 652	3.013.503	7,559,129	6.832,326	1.393.66-	
	306								
6163 CCRN	1:030,704		645,576		141-219	187 705		10,204	
8104 GATS	201			*			261		
0105 HILL	5,007		730		9:244	1,393	5,737		
0107 *HEA*	662,876	*********	241.275	6.273	100-117	307.454	4,449	5.171	
0111 SOYUEANS	9,871		632,174	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		311,505	3,167	139.215	
0122 HAY AND FODORRY	12		12	••					
- 春葉君子 FTELD (当つやろ、 ひをしー・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・	2,030	479.1			4,300		•••••		
0132 BANANAS AND PLANTAINS	76.689	76 684							
8135 COFFEGALLAND SOUTHER VERSION SECTION	198				******		198		
BISI ANIMALS AND PRODUCTS, NED	1.469	14	1.455						
0191 HISCELLANE US FARM PRODUCTS	308	308							
BEAL CHULE HUNDER AND AUGUED DUMBERSENTERSEN BEAL FRREST RECOUNTS, NECHANNERSENTERSEN	110	554							
0411 FREE FISH, ExceP* S-6. FISH	480	\$45				335			
8831 HARINE SHELLS, UNMANUFACTURED	1,491,175	4 367 474				196,228	252,980	1 131.967	
1011 1000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 0	1.171.552	1.87:542					2,344,327		
1061 WANDANESE GRES, CONTENTENTES	103,671	17.209	25,037			52,574	7 797		
- \$\$\$\$ COFF \$20 FERRONS CHERN TO ATTACK ()	5:940,544	375,581	6,244			7:8	315.255		
111 ERUDE POTRE SUN/	4,955.815	188.131			2.*09.794	22.164	2,201,441	37,391	
1411 LIFES" NEN	1.310	·····			- •	1,310			
1442 SAND, SPAYEL, CFUSHED ROOK	1.009.016	5	59,721			1,304,556	359 . 52:	\$5,810	
1451 CLAY-L	124.045	992	14,975			124.622	1,650		
1415 MTTTHY LLEAD 1114 H115, 250	225	226		2,200			1,428		
1499 NONNETAL IC HINEPALS, NEC-VALLANDAR	14.235	1,930	481		********	10.239	1,585	-···· ···	
1911 ORDARAUE AN ALCESSINGSSINGSSINGSSING 2012 HEAT AND RECOUCTS, NºIGANANANANANANANANA	4,314	F 493	0. 107		******	3,201	3.54		
2015 ANTHAL BY-PROTO 'S. NEC	491	9	482						
2022 D9161 Mile LND MEAM-PLEISER PREAKES	5,719	104	5+615		#*************************************			·	
2034 REDETIRUSS 157 FREP, 1274	+10	150	159			191			
2039 FREP FRUIT AND LED LUTCE, NETHALANANANANANANANANANANANANANANANANANANA	935	909	24	•	********				
2042 PREPARED AN MAU FEEDS	50,269	27-525	15,192	1		13/071	7,552		
2049 SRAIN HELL PROJUCTS, NECLINITATION	265-032	43	134,399	2,864	67,499	33. Z 4	8.256		
2081 ALCOHOLIC BEVERADES	5.325	5 205	209	35		1-292	2.9::-		
1091 VEGETABLE Q.LS. MARG, SHORT	847				755		· · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
2099 HISC_LAANEOUS FUCE PRODUCTE httm://dehacen.wanufact_9F5	10.042	6,537	11,364			147			
##11 94510 TEXTILE PRODUCTS	2,850	2.52	175						
2311 APPARE:	225	73	152			···			
2413 FUEL 2003. CHARCOAL, HASTES	205	205	£35		13/	14:/35	2761		
B414 TIMBER, POSTS, POLES, PILING	32,326		24,573		4 - 923	2 . 257	1,523		
2416 KODD Ch1P5, STAVES, HOLE1405	4,771	4,715	55			¥8,778.			
2421 JN858	138,743	54,201	35,319	; 	76.2,4	3,650	5,995	•·····	
2491 MODD MANUFACTURES, NET	40,630	8.856	22,875		7.412	2,337	449		
2511 FURNITURE AND FIXTURES	2.933	220	99			2.214			
2011 FULPER AND PAPERFCARD	170,547	49,276	39,700		T. 447	38,053	7,078		
2691 PULP AND PAPER PRODUCTS, NEC	367	30	350		1	-+-+-			
第715 対応1976日 HATTER・ハート・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・	2 144.794	1	1						
2811 CRUDE TAR. O'L. GAS PROTUCTS	1.401			•		1+401			
2013 ALCONC.S	3,422				901	1-380	1,341	404	
2019 BASIC C. HICALS AND PROD. NEC	334,627	16.601	43.859			17.380	256,723	971 	
PART PLASTIC MATERIALS	1,372	31	037				402		
2823 SYNTHEILC (MAN-TADE) F196RS	4,541		4,333]		4.104	220		
2831 DRUGS	14		14			*******			
2#51 PatyIS	31	1	30						
2861 600 AND KOOD CHEMICALS	2,443	1,136	1,141		1	· • · · · · · · · ·	167		
- 「夏夏大王」は「「日にはたいため」「日日(「大田村、王仁(ことがあって」」」として、 「夏夏大王」や山口と戸村本できた。「山田山、「七村、王仁(ことがあって」」。	1,011		141				1,670;		
2872 145501101085, DISINFECTAN'S	307	*********	307						
BERN FERIALAADE END MARCHIALS, NED BERE BISCELEANEDUS IMENICAL PRODUCTIONAL	102,491 2.164	102-367	104		·····				
2911 G4532 INE	1.050,164			144.237	8-00 - 5	848:915	48,334	2 137	
2912 JET FUS	350-356			13,071			326.895		
2914 015* (LLATE FUEL CIL	429,235	÷ 13		41,454	32.449	19.769	152-568	:2.0E.	
2915 RESIDUEL FUEL CIEFFERENCES	704,249	23,224		155.174		442.974	86.481	4.721	
8410 SUDAILAIING DILO AND DARADDOILAINNA 2813 KAPATHA, FEIRDUCLH SELVENTS	1,350				3:344	2.148	11,351		
2918 658HAUT, TAR, 150 86°C=65	645,578				139-678	444,464	61.5.6		
2951 ASPMALT PUILDING NATERIALS	14/1/2	24			30	13,614	11120		
\$011 RUBBER AND HISE PLASTICS PRODUCTION	945		967			34			
ATT PERINGA AND FFRINFN AASSAC_P	245	285		ļ					

Appendix 5

TABLE F-6 (Continued)

FREIGHT TRAFFIC THAT MOVED THROUGH MOBILE IN . #75 (CONTD)

		FOREIGN			DCHESTIC				
AB H-4447-				2015	₹ n TSE	h: T	ERNAL		
EDHRODIIY	7014	IMPORTS	EXPORTS	RECEIPTS	SWIPHENTS	RECEIPTS	SHIPHENTS	LOCAL	
3711 GLASS AND GLASS PRODUCTS	246	176	+0						
3741 #UTLDING CENENT	123 712				3,747	50.643	69,325		
3251 STRUCTURAL CEAY PRODUCTS	37,544	119	4,1/5			33,300	********	*********	
37 1 11#f	25	[25		- --			*********	
JIAL CUT STONE AND STONE PRODUCTS	599	- 69							
3791 4130 NOSHERALUIC AINERAL PROD	52 436	331	445		21	551841		*********	
- 3311 - FEE 1939	43,970	32,186	134				11,470		
3111 THE BET AROUNTE CALVELTE	1.3**					1,3**			
314 TRAL ALA CILL, DDIMLDW FARMS	23.317	4.61	221211						
3115 JANS, STEEL SHAFES END SUFFYLING	50.543	20.002	A79			**. ARA	4.774		
5355 1856 455 STIS PLAYES, SHEFTS	50.437	24.701	441	******	810	11.500	11.088		
1317 1924 KND \$7552 PIPE AND TUBE	77,141	8.736	50,959		10.944	4.415	1.637		
3318 "ERROALLOVS	20.001	9.271	4			8 468	3,194	- * * *	
3319 1935 AND STEEL PRODUCTS, NEC	26 785	7.2.9	11,519			8,057			
1321 NONFERROUS METALS, NEC	30,872	30,397	39		436				
3722 COPPER ALLEYS, UNHORKED	3,311	3,311							
3323 LEAD AND 21ND, UN#ORKEDALAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA	2,482	2,482					••	********	
3324 ALUMINUM AND ALLEYS, UNHORKED A	12,714	12,685	28	**	1				
- JALL FABRICATED HETAL PRODUCTS	36+079	20,462	3.415	2,000	565	7,59*	5.7.4		
3511 MACHINERY, EXCEPT ELECTRICAL	12,122	4,106	4,485	228	1,531	1,619	195		
BELL ELEGIRICAL MACH ANT EQUIPHYMAAAAAAAAAAAAAA	3,242	2,900	392	*********					
3711 MOTOP VEHICLES, PARTS, BOUIR	18,591	181	7,753	22	55	1 385	•,994		
3721 ALREVALT AND PARTS	894		882	********		; 17		********	
- 3722 (99183) 152 838-3++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	491	¥1	220	*			52		
3 91 713, ITANGTURIA 109 2000778577857788878888888888	2,330	1,278	• • •	*********	,		76		
		1 1 1 6	24	*******		4 44 3			
4711 1914 AND 8744 STREET		11127	4 3 3 . 4 2 7	30		181	. 792		
4117 NTNIERS STA. STRAP			274		1,000	11/303			
4122 TEXTLE +45TE. 5144P. SHEEP	34		34	********					
4124 PAPER 44576 450 5084P	5.376		5.376	*					
4:5: ##789	244						205		
4112 00490017185. 460	3, 948		50			3,022	276	*********	
GOAD DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AND SCI	39,194		39,194	*********				**	
7074L TO4-HILES. 908,978.401.									

SOURCE:

.

Waterborne Commerce of the United States, 1975 - published by the Waterborne Statistics Center in New Orlenas, LA

39. Refined petroleum products shipped through the port amounted to 3.2 million tons. About 84 percent of the traffic moved by barge, with 2.9 million tons inbound and .7 million - is outbound and a small amount of local traffic. Nearly all of this traffic originated or terminated at docks above the I-10 tunnels. Notal grain tonnage for the port that was handled through the public elevator amounted to 2.9 million tons. Of this total, about 2.0 million tons were shipped by deep-draft vessels. The other .9 million tons was shipped by barge. About .8 million tons of the grain receipts by barge were the same tonnage shipp. out by deep-draft vessels. Other major products ship-ed through the port include 1.5 million tons or 90 percent of the total to 32.5 million tons shipped through the port in 1975.

40. Deep-draft traffic amounted to 16.7 million tons or 51 percent of the total tonnage shipped. Of this amount, 15.1 million tons were shipped in dry-bulk carriers and tankers with 1.4 million shipped in general cargo vessels.

COMMODITIES SCREENED FROM BENEFIT ANALYSIS

41. All commerce moving through the port of Mobile and the potential commerce that would move via the Tennessee-Tombigbee Waterway for export was analyzed to determine what traffic would realize benefits from a deeper ship channel into Mobile with dimensions greater than the 40 x 400 foot channel now available. Those commodities that for various reasons would not benefit from considered harbor improvements are discussed below.

42. Excluded Commodities. Commodities that were eliminated from the benefit analysis are shown in table F-7. The reasons for eliminating these commodities are given below.

a. Traffic moving through terminals north of the highway tun-els where the shippers did not indicate they would relocate to terminals below the tunnels. Channel depths above the tunnels are restricted to -40 feet because of top-of-tunnel elevations.

TABLE F-7

COMMODITIES THAT WERE ELIMINATED FROM BENEFIT ANALYSIS

B. S. S. Constanting and S.	ANNUAL VOLUME (000 tons)				
COMMODITY	MOBILE ¹	TENN-TOM ²			
Bauxite	1,872				
Mänganese Ore	45	-			
Coke	55	-			
Alumina.	· _	684			
Ferro-Phosphorus	44	÷			
Ferro-Silicon	-	22			
Grain	1,989	77			
Copper Ore		13			
Scrap Iron	133	. 216			
Crude 011	2,409	-			
Dist. Fuel Oil	38	-			
Residual Fuel Oil	122	-			
Gasoline	132	-			
General Break-Bulk Cargo	1,407	-			
TOTAL	8,246	1,012			

¹Current (1975) traffic

New traffic to begin in 1986

b. Traffic to or from foreign ports where the channel depths would restrict vessel sizes to those that would not need greater channel depths at Mobile.

c. Cargo consignment per vessel is too small to warrant the use of large vessels.

d. Break-bulk general cargo normally hauled in general cargo vessels which require a channel depth of 40 feet or less.

43. The commodities currently moving through the port, plus certain new commerce generated by the Tennessee-Tombigbee Waterway, which were excluded from the benefit analysis, are described in subsequent paragraphs.

44. <u>Bauxite</u>. "auxite is being shipped into Mobile for processing into alumina at Alcoa's reduction plant located adjacent to the Alabama State Docks Bulk Handling Terminal. It is presently being hauled in general cargo and dry-bulk ships. Vessels currently used in this service range in size from 14,000 to 52,000 d.w.t. with loaded drafts ranging from 23 to 39 feet. Company officials state that a 40-foot channel is adequate since bauxite is shipped from countries in South America and those located in the Caribbean Sea area which have ports with relatively shallow channel depchs. Also, Alcoa's plant is located above the highway tunnels and the company does not have any plans for relocating the plant; therefore, bauxite must be received at the ASD bulk handling plant near Three Mile Creek. Consequently, bauxite has been eliminated as a commodity that would benefic by a deeper ship channel into Mobile.

45. <u>Alumina</u>. Alumina was eliminated from the benefit analysis in this study because the Alabama State Docks stated they would provide facilities for handling alumina at their bulk handling plant at Three Mile Creek, which would restrict the use of large ships. Also, ports where alumina will be shipped have restrictive channel depths which would prohibit the use of large ships. Therefore, a 40-foot ship channel at Mobile will be adequate for future ships hauling alumina. 46, <u>Manganese Ore</u>. This product is being imported into Mobile in relatively small-lot consignments. It is shipped in vessels ranging in size from 15,000 to 48,000 d.w.t. Some of the larger vessels are not fully loaded when arriving at Mobile due to methods of making split-

delivery service, i.e., small deliveries at several ports. Ferromanganese plants dictate small consignments of manganese ore because of the nature of manufacturing and their ability to store large quantities. Therefore, movements of imported manganese ore would not benefit from channel improvements at Mobile.

47. <u>Grain</u>. Although sites for new grain elevators have been identified below the Mobile River tunnels, the present elevator capability and possible expansion will assure continued movement of grain through the existing elevator without any undue vessel delays or grain backlogs for the foreseeable future. The continued use of this elevator precludes the use of deeper draft vessels. Consequently, grain was eliminated as prospective traffic that would benefit by the project modification.

48. <u>Miscellaneous Cargo</u>. The annual volume of miscellaneous dry-bulk commodities, such as, coke, ferrosilicon, copper ore, and scrap iron, are presently moving through the port in small quantities and in relatively small ships. These products are received or shipped from or to numerous origins or destinations in small-lot shipments. No benefits would be realized on these movements of commerce by providing a deeper ship channel into Mobile.

49. <u>Crude 011</u>. The outbound crude oil through the port of Mobile is being shipped by Amerada-Hess Oil and Citmoco. Their storage and dock facilities are located on the west bank of Mobile River just below Cochrane Bridge. This crude oil is being delivered into the Mobile terminal by a series of pipelines. It originates at oil fields in northwest Florida, northern Mobile County from the newly discovered Creola fields, the Citronelle fields in west Mobile County and oil fields in the area of Laurel, Mississippi. Some of the production in these fields is serving Marion Refinery at Theodore and a portion is shipped by Hess

pipeline through connections at Liberty, MS thence, via the Capline, a major trunk line serving refineries in the Midwest. In 1975, these two companies at Mobile shipped 2.4 million tons by tanker on a coastwise move with 1.8 million tons going to the Houston/Port Arthur, TX area, .3 million tons to the New York/Philadelphia area, and .3 million tons to the New Orleans area.

50. Interviews with these shippers revealed they have no intention of moving their storage facilities and docks to a new location below the 'ighway tunnels. Therefore, no benefits could be assessed on this traffic due to the tunnel restritions.

51. Refined Petroleum Products. These products, which consist of distille and residual fuel oil, gasoline, and asphalt, are presently being received in Mobile by small tankers and will continue to move in chese relatively small ships. Due to the methods of marketing these products and limited waterside so rage, the demand for large consignments is prohibitive. These petroleum products are shipped in convenient size tankers ranging in size from 20,000 to 45,000 d.w.t. The present 40-foot ship channel is adequate for this type of shipping. Based on these conditions, no benefits from channel deepening would be expected for refined petroleum products.

52. <u>General Break-Bulk Cargo</u>. Products in this class of traffic are comprised of commodities shipped in packages, bundles, bags or other type packaging that require the loading or unloading to be accomplished by use of the ship's tackle. This type of commerce is usually hauled in general cargo ships equipped with booms and other tackle that give them the capability of loading or unloading packaged cargo with the use of slings or pallets.

53. During CY 1975, the Alabama State Docks reported 1.4 million tons of general cargo that moved over their general cargo piers. This commerce consists of commodities such as, bananas, prepared food products, wood products, chemicals, paper and paper products rubber, iron and steel products, rice, packaged grain mill products, cotton, and numerous other miscellaneous goods.

54. Vessels used in this trade are general cargo ships ranging in size from small mini-ships to vessels in the 24,000 d.w.t. class. The fully loaded draft of these ships is less than 36 feet; consequently, the existing 40-foot ship channel at Mobile is adequate for ships operating in this trade.

55. Very little containerized cargo moves through the port on a regular basis which requires the use of container, SEEBEE or LASH type vessels. Therefore, no consideration is given to this type service in the benefit analysis.

COMMERCE ACCEPTED FOR BENEFIT ANALYSIS

56. Each commodity presently being shipped through the port in deepdraft vessels was examined to determine if it would move in quantities and in traffic patterns that would warrant the use of ships that could not safely navigate the existing channel at Mobile. This entailed interviews with shippers, steamship lines or their agents, terminal operators, and, in some cases, making resource studies to determine if adequate supplies are available. After examining the total commerce for the port and screening out that traffic which obviously could not benefit from the project improvement, the two commodities that remain to be further analyzed were: Iron ore and coal.

57. <u>Iron Ore</u>. There are three (3) companies that import iron ore through Mobile. Republic Steel Corp. and Jim Walter Resource Corp. (formerly

U.S. Pipe and Foundry) import iron ore through the Alabama State Docks dry-bulk terminal (Tipple) located at Three Mile Creek. The other company, U.S. Steel, imports iron ore through a private terminal owned and operated by T.C.I., a subsidiary of U.S. Steel. All the iron ore imports for Republic Steel and Jim Walters are shipped by rail to their steel mills at Gadsden and Birmingham, AL, respectively. Iron ore for U.S. Steel is shipped to their Birmingham steel mill by barge to Port Birmingham, thence, rail beyond. From time to time, they do rail a portion of the ore to Birmingham, but, for the last few years, they have been shipping by barge exclusively.

58. <u>Coal Imports</u>. Steam coal is being imported through Mobile and then barged to Pensacola and Panama City, Florida for use in Gulf Power Company's steam electric generating plants. This coal has been imported from various countries in the past few years but the Southern Services, Inc., a service company for the Southern Company, and a parent company of Gulf Power, has signed a contract with Mannesman Pipe and Steel Company for the delivery of 7.7 million tons of imported coal. All this coal will originate at Richards Bay, South Africa. The contract was signed on 1 April 1977. This is a 10-year contract that will expire in 1986.

59. This coal is being handled through the Alabama State Dock bulkhandling plant at Three Mile Creek, which is located above the I-10 tunnels. This terminal is presently operating at near capacity. Officials of the Alabama State Docks state their long-range plans call for a new dry-bulk handling facility to be located below the I-10 tunnels. With bauxite and miscellaneous ores being dedicated to the old terminal, coal imports would be one of the two commodities that would be shifted to a new terminal below I-10. With the completion of the Tennessee-Tombigbee Waterway, which would generate new commerce for the old terminal, and the anticipated increase in the annual volume of commodities now moving through the facility, the terminal and storage

area will be fully utilized even with the planned expansion programs to modernize the facility. It is expected that coal imports will be handled through a facility below the tunnels by the time the considered channel improvements could be completed.

60. Steam coal is being imported as a supplement to the domestic supply because it is a better grade with a low sulphur content and the delivered price is lower than the coal brought from domestic mines. The Southern Services, Inc. have negotiated a very attractive ocean freight rate. Officials of this company state rail and barge rates for long-haul of domestic coal are rapidly increasing to a point where they are not competitive with imports. Other deterrents that are affecting the nurchase of domestic steam coal are poor delivery and scheduling of rail cars and barges, delays caused by car shortages, miners strikes, and other mining problems, according to information received from the companies involved.

61. Based on the above constraints, which seem to be persistent in supplying coal to steam electric generating plants along the northwest Florida coast, company officials believe coal imports through Mobile will continue as far into the future as they can predict without any major rate of increase from that which is being received under the initial contract.

62. <u>Coal Exports</u>. Coal is one of the principal commodities exported through the port. The major source of supply for this coal is the Coosa, Cahaba Plateau and Warrior fields in north Alabama, western Kentucky, Tracy City fields in Tennessee with small shipments from eastern Kentucky, Illinois and Indiana. At the present time, most of the coal is being mined in the north Alabama fields and shipped by barge to McDuffie Island Coal Terminal for export. In 1975, about 75 percent of the total coal exports through Mobile was being received by barge. A small amount was being railed into Mobile from the Kentucky area.

Appendix 5 F-32

63. The four coal fields in Alabama over all or parts of 22 counties. The Warrior field is the most productive of the four fields in Alabama. It is about 70 miles long and 65 mile ide and covers Tuscaloosa, Jefferson, Lamar, Marion, Winston, Fayette, Cullman, Blount and Walker Counties. These fields embrace about 3,500 square miles. The Cahaba field is approximately 66 miles long and has an average width of 5 to 6 miles. The field covers parts of Bibb, Shelby, St. Clair, and Jefferson Counties for a total area of about 350 square miles. The Coosa field is an elongated coal-bearing structure along the southeast margin of the Appalachian Mountains. It is a narrow, north-easttrending field covering approximately 280 square miles in Shelby, St. Clair and Calhoun Counties. The Coosa field averages 60 miles in length and 5 miles in width. The Plateau coal field is located in Blount, Cherokee, Cullman, DeKalb, Etowah, Franklin, Jackson, Jefferson, Lawrence, Madison, Marion, Marshall, Morgan, St. Clair and Winston Counties. This field has a greater area than all the other fields combined, with a maximum width of 110 miles and a maximum length of 120 miles. It covers an area of more than 4,50 square miles. A may designating the location of the four coal fields in Alabama is shown in figure $F \sim 2$. Also, figure F-3 shows the active coal mining areas in Alabama.

64. Many estimates of Alabama's coal reserves hav, been made in the past. Most of these estimates have varied tramendously because of the different criteria used in their formulation. The latest reserve figures, as estimated by the Geological Survey of Alabama, is 35 billion tons. The National Coal Association has estimated the total U.S. coal reserves to be 671 billion tons. Based on these figures, Alabama has approximately five (5) percent of the total U.S. reserve. Alabama has a recoverable reserve of 18.4 billion tons with 15 percent or 2.76 billion tons which meet the most stringent sulphur requirements and an additional 78 percent or 14.3 billion tons which contain from 1 to 2 percent sulphur. A map showing the coal fields in the United States is presented as figure F-4.



and the second second

COAL FIELDS IN ALABAMA

Appendix 5 F-34

1

2012년 - 1월 2014년 - 1월 2014년 - 1월 2014년 - 1917년 1월 11일 - 1917년 1월 11일 - 1917년 - 1917년





.





FIGURE F-4 Coal fields in the United States (modified from National Coal Association, 1973).

65. The most prevalent demands for Alabama coal are in the electric generating industry, domestic coking for steel mills, and coking coal for export. Of the 21.1 million tons of coal mined in Alabama during FY 1975-76, approximately 3.0 million tons or 14 percent were shipped through Mobile for export. If the export demand for Alabama coal were held constant at 14 percent, it would deplete approximately 2.6 billion tons of the 18.4 billion tons of recoverable reserve. At this rate of depletion of the reserves, the 2.6 billion tons could support an annual export rate of 26.0 million tons for 100 years. The annual growth in coal exports through Mobile, as projected in this report, clearly indicates that reserves of coal in Alabama will be adequate to support the export demand. Also, with the new development and use of nuclear and solar energy for providing electric power and heat, the use of coal as fuel for pover plants will diminish to some degree. Therefore, the tonnage of coal reserves in Alabama allocated for export is a conservative extimate.

66. By 1986, the Tennessee-Tombigbee Waterway will generate additional coal for export through Mobile. The source of this coal will be from mines in Tennessee, north Alabama, and western Kentucky. This will be coal now moving through New Orleans or new coal shipments from mines that will be opened in the future.

67. The Drummond Coal Company, Jim Walters Corp., and Ataka America, Inc. have entered into a joint venture to furnish Alabama coal to the Japanese steel mills. Other major shippers to Japan include Smith Coal Sales and Sumitome Shoji America. The above companies accounted for about 85 percent of the coal exported through Mobile in 1976.

68. Coal exports generated by the Tennessee-Tombigbee Waterway will amount to approximately 39 percent of the total coal exports through Mobile, beginning in 1986, the scheduled completion date of the waterway. 69. Currently, coal exports through Mobile are shipped to about 16 countries. The predominant shipments are going to Japan, with 75 percent of the total exports in 1975 being shipped there. Other areas that receive coal i.e. Mobile are: England, Europe, Scandinavian countries, countries bordering the Mediterranean Sea, and the East Coast of South America. Some of the leading ports are: Tobata, Kashima, Kobe, Chiba, Ohita, Jimitsa and Kukuyama, Japan; Taranto, Genoa, Savonia, and Venice, Italy; Alexandria, Egypt; Tubarao, Brazil: Iskenderun, Turkey; Newport, England; Cardiff and Port Talbot, Wales; and Ric de Janeiro, Brazil.

DETERMINATION OF BASE YEAR TONNAGE

70. <u>1975 Tonnage</u>. After examining all the commerce moving through the port in deep-draft vessels, cormerce which would not benefit from a greater ship channel dimension was screened and eliminated. This includes tonnage that would continue to move through the Panama Canal, move in relatively small vessels, and that tonnage restricted by channel depths in foreign ports. The volume of commerce acc pted as initial-year traffic is the remaining 1975 net tonnage that will be used in the transportation benefit analysis to derive the annual savings from the recommended project improvements.

71. <u>Alternative Routing Via the Panama Canal</u>. Two routes are available for traffic moving between Mobile and Far Eastern Countries, including Australia. One route would be through the Panama Canal. Vessels using the ⁵ nama Canal are limited to a draft of 41 feet. If this route is used under "without" project conditions, vessel drafts would be restricted to the present 40-foot channel at Mobile. Vessel sizes used in the benefit analysis that would be subjected to this route are dry-bulk carriers ranging in size from 20,000 to 56,000 d.w.t. The other route available is the longer distance around the Cape of Good Hope, with size of vessels being unrestricted.

72. Under the existing channel condition at Mobile, traffic moving between Mobile and the Far East is routed through the Panama Canal. With a greater channel dimension available, it is expected a portion of this traffic will continue to move through the Panama Canal.

73. To determine the volume of Far East traffic that will continue to move through the Panama Caual in dry-bulk carriers, it is expected the total volume will be in direct proportion to the carrying capability of versels in the world fleet. The carrying capability of versels in the world fleet between 15-56,000 d.w.t is 57 percent. Consequently, the remainder or 45 percent of the tonnage will be shipped in vessels ranging in size between 61,000 and 182,000 d.w.t. via the Cape of Good Hope, which would benefit by channel improvements. Table F-8 gives the number of dry-bulk car.iers in the world fleet and their carrying capability.

74. Iron Ore. One of the term hals handling iron ore is the Bulk Marine Terminal owned and operated by I.C.I., a subsidiary of U.S. Steel. In 1975, this terminal received 3,060,000 tons of imported iron ore, with 77 percent or 2,350,000 tons of iron ore fines originating at suerto Ordaz, Venezuela. The company prefers to import pelletized iron ore which is not available at Puerto Ordaz. With a greater channel depth available at Mobile, the company has stated it will change its scarce of supply to other ports in South America which have deeper deputs and at which pelletized ore is available. The remainder of the initial-year tons originated at Port Cartier, Quebec; Vitor'a (Tuba ao) Brazil; and San Nicolas, Peru, representing 5, 10 and 8 percent of the total imports, respectively. The 245,000 tons originating at San Nicolas, Peru were eliminated as prospective traffic due to the restrictions at the Panama Canal with no economic alternative routing being available. The total initial-year volume of iron ore for this terminal, accepted as prospective commerce, was 2,815,000 tons.

Vessel Size (d.w.t.)	Average Draft (ft)	Number of Vessels	Payload per Vessel	Payload Capability of Total Vessels
15,000	29	216	16,128	3,483,648
17,000	30	236	18,278	4,313,702
20,000	31	315	21,504	6,773,760
23,000	32	335	24,730	8,284,416
26,000	33	339	27,955	9,476,813
29,000	34	323	31,181	10,071,398
32,000	35	324	34,406	11,147,673
36,000	36	233	38,707	9,018,777
39,000	37	145	41,933	6,080,256
43,000	38	104	46,234	4,808,294
47,000	39	92	50,534	4,649,165
52,000	40	84	55,910	4,696,474
56,000	41	85	60,211	5,117,952*
61,000	42	84	65,587	5,509,325
65,000	43	78	69,888	5.451,264
70,000	44	72	75,264	3,419,008
75,000	45	57	80,640	4,5,6,480
81,000	46	39	87,091	3,396,557
86,000	47	29	92,467	2,681,549
92,000	48	29	98,918	2,868,634
98,000	49	29	105,370	3,055,718
04,000	50	28	111,821	3,130,982
10,000	51	30	118,272	3,548,160
17,000	52	28	125,798	3,522,355
23,000	53	25	132,250	3,306,240
30,000	54	22	139,776	3,075,072
37,000	55	19	147,302	2,798,746
44,000	56	19	154,829	2,941,747
51,000	57	21	162,355	3,409,459
59,000	58	20	170,957	3,419,136
66, NU	59	16	178,483	2,85:,731
74,000	60	10	187,085	1.870.848
82,000	61	1	195,686	195,686
DTAL		3487	2.967,552	154.975.000

CARRYING CAPABILITY OF DRY BULK CARRIERS IN THE WOFLD FLEET (U.S. AND FOREIGN FLAC REGISTRY)

* Total payload capability for vessels ranging from 15,000 through 56,000 d.w.t. is 87.9 million tons or 57 percent.

at di bana dé

75. Iron ore imports that were shipped 'hrough the Alabama State Docks Terminal, commonly known as the "Tipple". anounted to 1,721,000 cons in 1975. Of this total, 472,000 tons orig be ed in Australia. Since traffic from Australia can use the Panama Canal, only 43 percent or 203,000 tons of this commerce were accepted for benefit analysis. Shipments from Chile and Peru moving through this terminal in 1975 amounted to 817,000 tons. All of this traffic was eliminated from the benefit analysis due to ship size restrictions at the Panama Canal and there being no economical alternative routing from these two countries. Also, 39,000 tons originating at Pointe Noive, Congo, South Africa, were eliminated due to the restrictive channel depths at this port. The remaining 393,000 tons from Canada and Brazil were included in the tonnage base giving a total of 596,000 tons accepted as initial-year tonnage of iron ore moving through the "Tipple."

76. In 1975, total iron ore imports through Mobile amounted to 4,781,000 tons. Of this total, 269,000 tons would continue to be shipped through the Panama Canal in vessels sizes 56,000 d.w.t. and under which would not benefit from a deeper channel at Mobile, 1,062,000 tons originating in Chile and Peru would continue to move through the Panama Canal in v ssels that would not benefit from the project, and 39,000 tons originating at Pointe Noive, South Africa was eliminated due to the channel depth at this port, giving a total tonnage of iron ore eliminated of 1,370,000 tons. The total initial-year tonnage for iron ore accepted for benefit analysis is 3,411,000 tons.

77. <u>Coal (Import)</u>. Coal imports for 1975 amounted to 371,000 tons. The consignee that uses this coal states they have recently signed a 10-year contract for the delivery of coal imports with an average annual volume of 896,000 tons per year beginning in 1978. The 371,000 tons that were shipped in 1975 were accepted as initial-year tonnage.

Coal (port). The percentage of U.S. coal exports to foreign markets 78. has varied from year to year as indicated in table F-21. This is also true for exports from Mobile to Japan as shown in table F-9. Table F-9 also shows exports to other countries to have continually increased from 1975 through 1978. For purposes of this draft report, average tonnages for the 4-year period has been used to determine preliminary allocation of percentages of coal exports to all countries (four groups) to which movements of this commodity result in benefits to the Mobile Harbor study. This distribution pattern is very conservative especially since it is assumed to be representative for all present and future shipments of export coal. Based on these 4-year averages, the distribution would be: 60% to Japan, 27% to Italy, 9% to England/Europe, and 4% to the East Coast of South America. However, some individual shippers will ship 100 percent of their coal to Japan in the future because it will be dedicated coal for steel mills in that country. Based on existing information concerning future dedicated tonnage to Japan, the adjusted distribution pattern changes to 67, 22, 8 and 3 percent for the respective areas shown above.

79. Until 1970, coal exports through Mobile were negligible. Beginning in 1970, these exports were 343.6 thousand tons and subsequently had increased to 2,745.0 thousand tons in 1975, as reported in Waterborne Statistics. With new contracts for coal exports and with the Tennessee-Tombigbee Waterway being available, it is expected coal exports will increase rapidly until 1986. However, to be consistent with other commodities, the unadjusted initial-year tonnage is 2,865,000 tons in 1976, as recorded by McDuffie Coal Terminal. This tonnage has been adjusted downward by eliminating that coal destined to Japan which could continue to move through the Panama Canal in ships suitable for passage through that waterway.

80. The initial year volume of coal exports was distributed to foreign market areas based on the 4-rear average as developed from Waterborne Statistics. The distributed tonnages were: 1,595,000 tons to Japan; 521,000 to the Italy area; 174,000 tons to England/Europe; and 77,000 tons to the East Coast of South America. Of the 1,595,000 tons to Japan, 57 percent or
| | VOLUME (Short Tons) | |
|---|--|--------------------------|
| MARKET AREA | (thousand tons) | PERCENT |
| Japan: | | |
| 1975
1976
1977
1978 | 2,026.9
1,554.3
1,785.3
1,633.4 | |
| 4-Year Average | 1,750.0 | 60% |
| <u>Italy (Mediterranean Sea)</u> | : | |
| 1975
1976
1977
1978 | 494.8
750.5
1,090.2
806.3 | |
| 4-Year Average | 785.4 | 27% |
| England/Europe: | | |
| 1975
1976
1977
1978 | 167.0
255.0
435.1
158.2 | |
| 4-Year Average | 253.8 | 9% |
| East Coast of South Americ | ca (Caribbean Sea): | |
| 1975
1976
1977
1978 | 48.7
144.8
214.5
116.3 | |
| 4-Year Average | 131.1 | 4% |
| All Other (Canada and West | Coast of Mexico): | |
| 1975
1976
1977
1978 | 8.1
51.3
86.6
91.4 | |
| 4-Year Average | 59.4 | |
| TOTAL (Excluding "All Othe | er" Tonnage) | |
| 1975
1976
1977
1978 | 2,737.5
2,704.6
3,525.1
2,714.2 | |
| 4-Year Average | 2,920.3 | |
| SOURCE: Point-to-Point Fo
Bureau of Census | preign Waterborne Statistics comp
in 1975, 1976, 1977 and 1978 as | piled by the reported by |

the Alabama State Docks.

TABLE F-9

DISTRIBUTION OF COAL EXPORTS FROM MOBILE BY FOREIGN MARKFT AREAS

909,000 tons would continue to go through the Panama Canal in relatively small ships. The remainder, or 686,000 tons, would move in larger ships around the Cape of Good Hope, South Africa and was accepted as initial tonnage for benefit analysis. This adjusted tonnage to Japan, combined with the remaining tonnage to other market areas as shown above, gives a total tonnage accepted for rate analysis of 1,458,000 tons.

81. Table F-10 presents the tonnage distribution of coal by company and the adjusted tonnage by destination for selected years from 1975 through 1986. The adjusted tonnage for 1975 reflects the above percentages of total tonnage. This percentage distribution does not remain constant over the 11-year period of analysis due to the variance in annual volumes of export, growth rates and trade patterns between the companies expected to utilize the project. Growth rates used in tonnage projections were based on the beginning year of export for each company and the annual volume of coal exports as stipulated by contract. In the absence of a contract or upon expiration of an existing contract, the Bureau of Mines growth estimate of 1.2 percent per annum was used to project future company exports.

82. As a result of projecting each company individually, there is a slight change in percentages of total annual exports claimed by the four categories of destination. In 1986, 67 percent of coal exports is expected to move to Japan, 22 percent to Italy, 8 percent to England/Europe, and **3.0** percent to the East Coast of South America.

83. A summary of commerce and tonnage accepted as initial-year traffic that will be subjected to a rate analysis is shown in table F-ll.

SHIPPER 1/	1975	1976	<u>1978</u> <u>3</u> /	1986
COMPANY A	-	_	-	399.0
COMPANY B	-	-	-	2,122.0
COMPANY C	-	-	-	1,592.0
COMPANY D		-	-	2,705.0
COMPANY E	1,443.0	1,719.0	1,867.0	6,366.0
COMPANY F	373.0	325.0	247.0	366.0
COMPANY G	437.0	404.0	557.0	455.0
COMPANY H	114.0	417.0	128.0	466.0
TOTAL	$\frac{2,367.0}{2}$	$2,865.0 \frac{2}{}$	$2,799.0 \frac{2}{}$	14,471.0 4/
ADJUSTED TONNAGES ACCEPTED FOR BEN	EFIT ANALYSIS			
To Japan <u>5</u> /	686.0	809.0	817.0	4,177.0
To Italy	521.0	664.0	605.0	3,211.0
To England/Europe	174.0	221.0	202.0	1,070.0
To E. Coast South America	77.0	98.0	90.0	476.0
TOTAL.	1,458.0	1,792.0	1,714.0	8,934.0

BASE-YEAR TONNAGES ON COAL EXPORTS EXTENDED TO 1986 FORMING A COMPOSITE BASE FOR PROJECTIONS (thousand tons)

TABLE F-10

1/ Names of companies withheld to avoid possible disclosure of confidential information.

2/ Actual exports obtained from Port records.

3/ Decrease in exports for 1978 is due to U.S. coal miners' strike in early 1978.

4/ Substantial increases brought about by information on file from shippers which show new contracts beginning in 1979 and 1981. Totals include 5.23 million tons that will be diverted from New Orleans because of lower transportation cost via Tennessee-Tombigbee Waterway. All tonnages projected at 1.2 percent average annual growth rate from last historic year of movement or from first year of new contract to 1986.

5/ Tonnage reflects 43 percent of the total to Japan which is expected to move in large dry bulk carriers around the Cape of Good Hope. The remainder (57%) will continue to move through the Panama Canal.

SUMMARY OF INITIAL-YEAR (1975) TONNAGE ACCEPTED FOR BENEFIT ANALYSIS

	Annual Volume (Short Tons)	
Iron Ore (Import)	3,411,000	
Coal (Import)	371,000	
Coal (Export)	1,458,000	
TOTAL	5,240,000	

84. <u>1986 Tonnage</u>. With the initial-year of survey being 1975 and the completion of the Tennessee-Tombigbee Waterway in 1986, it is appropriate to consider tonnage expected to use the Mobile Channel at these periods of time. The following paragraphs will discuss each commodity movement in detail as related to abnormal growth. Those movements that grow under the normal projection process will be mentioned but details concerning these projected values will be explained later in this appendix.

85. Iron Ore is expected to grow from 3,411,000 tons in 1975 to 3,755,000 tons in 1986, based on the normal economic projection processes.

86. Based on information received from the consignee for import coal, a recent 10-year contract has been signed which will increase the tonnage of this commodity to 896,000 tons beginning in 1978. This tonnage is accepted as 1986 commerce and is held constant throughout the 50 year period of economic analysis.

87. The volume of coal exports through Mobile in 1975, according to records at McDuffie Coal Terminal, was 2,367,000 tons, increasing to 2,865,000 tons for 1976 with a decrease to 2,799,000 in 1978 due to U.S. coa' miners strike in early 1978. Based on information received from major coal exporters that ship coal through Mobile, and firm contracts with foreign principals indicate a rapid increase in coal exports for the next 10 to 15 years. First-year tonnage on this traffic will vary depending on the beginning data of new contracts. In developing expected growth rates on coal exports to 1986, the base for projection purposes would be that tonnage shipped during the first year of contract as given by company officials or where the companies did not indicate a firm contract is forthcoming, the 1976 tonnage was used as the base-year. Tonnage movements for all of the smaller shippers that reported coal shipments through Mobile for 1976 was used in the development of a total tonnage base. The base-year tonnage on coal exports for traffic expected to move over the Tennessee-Tombigbee Waterway for export through Mobile was taken from the A.T. Kearney Report. The base tonnage, as reported by Kearney, ranged from 1975 to 1986 depending on individual company's ability to begin operation. Shipments that would move through other ports or via rail to Mobile were used to develop a base, although it is not expected to move over the Tennessee-Tombigbee Waterway until 1986. All tonnage was projected from the varying base tonnages using an annual growth rate of 1.2 percent to 1986. This was considered to be a common year that would include base tonnage on all coal movements.

88. Coal shipments are separated into four categories for benefit analysis purposes. This includes coal being shipped to Japan, England/Europe, Italy and East Coast of South America.

89. Exports of coal through the port are expected to be 14,471,000 tons in 1986. Of this total, 9,714,000 tons will be shipped to Japan. It is expected that about 60 percent of the total coal exports will be shipped to Japan except that being shipped by Sumitomo Shoji America where 100 percent of the tonnage will go to Japan. On this basis, about 67 percent of the tonnage is shipped to Japan. Only 43 percent or 4,177,000 is anticipated to move via the Cape of Good Hope if a greater channel depth is provided at Mobile. It is expected that 3,211,000 tons or 22 percent of the total will be shipped to Italy. The 1,020,000 tons going to the England/Europe area represent about 8 percent of the total. About 3 percent or 476,000 tons is expected to be shipped to the East Coast of South America.

90. The distribution of coal exports in 1975 by destination, moving through Mobile differs from that of total exports from U.S. ports, in that Japanese customers of coal have more financial interest in coal mining and shipping in this area than other areas of the country on a proportionate scale of tonnage shipped. The Japanese have long-term contracts with coal producers in Alabama while shipments to other countries are based on short-term contracts or one-time "spot" sales. Also, coal shipped through Baltimore, Norfolk and Newport News to England and Europe have a rate advantage over Mobile due to their geographic location. Consequently, the largest market for coal shipped from Mobile will be Japan. A comparison of coal distribution for the United States and the port of Mobile in 1975 is shown in table F-12. It should be noted that the distribution, as shown in this table, is for comparison purposes only and that the actual distribution of coal for this study is shown in table F-9 and discussed in Paragraph 78 in this appendix.

91. The base tonnage on coal exports will begin at different time periods until the year 1986. In 1986, all base tonnage will have been accounted for and used as a common base for all coal shipments. Table F-10 shows the historical annual volumes of coal shipped from the Port of Mobile and the expected shipments to occur in 1986.

TAPLE - 2

Percent Distribution Mobile² U.S. Ports¹ Country or Region Japan 54 75 England/Europe 30 6 9 Italy 17 7 2 East Coast of South America 100% 100% TOTAL

PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF COAL EXPORTS IN 1975

SOURCE: Bureau of Mines as published in "International Coal Trade" January 1977 issue.

²SOURCE: Point-to-Point Waterborne Statistics as reported by the Bureau of Census as compiled in their computer file SA 705.

92. <u>Summary of 1986 Tonnages</u>. A summary of the 1986 tonnage accepted for benefit analysis is shown below in table F-13

TABLE F-13

SUMMARY OF 1986 TONNAGE ACCEPTED FOR BENEFIT ANALYSIS

Commodity	Annual Volume (Short Tons)
Iron Ore (Imports)	3,756,000
Coal (Imports)	896,000
Coal (Exports)	8,934,000
TOTAL	13,586,000

PROJECTIONS OF COMMERCE

Commodity Forecasts. After the 1986 volume of commerce was deter-93. mined, further economic investigations and analysis were conducted to establish the future volume of the deep-draft vessel commerce accepted as prospective traffic for the port to the beginning of and during the economic project Life (1995-2044). Appropriate economic indicators were selected to reflect the growth rate for each individual commodity movement accepted as prospective traffic. For iron ore imports, a statistical analysis was conducted to develop a functional relationship between the OBERS earning data and various measures of production. For other commodities in the initial-year traffic pattern, growth indicators were developed by various other procedures due to the nature of commodity and restrictions in their growth patterns. Each of the indices selected was converted to an index of growth or projection factor. The projection factors were then applied to the initial-year commerce to estimate the future volume of commodity movements. Commodity tonnage assessments and supporting rationale used to forecast future growth in port commerce are discussed in subsequent paragraphs.

94. <u>Iron Ore Imports</u>. Iron ore imported through the port of Mobile is reshipped by rail and barge to inland points, such as, Birmingham and Gadsden, Alabama. This product is used in the primary metals industry and its growth is highly dependent on the demands in this industry. Imported iron ore in the United States, used in iron and steel production, has been steadily increasing as a source of supply. As shown in table F-14, the United States steel industry presently acquires about onethird of iron ore supplies from foreign sources as compared with 5 percent in 1947. Domestic iron ore, on the other hand, has remained relatively stable during the 1947-1974 period. The average annual growth in total iron ore shipments during this 27-year period was 1.1 percent.

95. Production of the U.S. steelmaking industry as measured by the Federal Reserve Board (FRB) Index of quantity output (iron and steel) exhibited an average annual growth rate of about 2.6 percent from 1947 through 1974. Earnings in primary metals for the U.S. experienced a similar rate of growth as shown in table F-15. During the same 21-year period, primary metals earnings in Alabama, and BEA 45 increased at a 3.1 percent rate and at a 2.4 percent rate, respectively. Increase in imports of iron ore at the port of Mobile from 1953 to 1974, shown on table F-14, has been about 10 percent annually. This growth rate reflects the relative increase of imported iron ore over domestic supplies as well as an increase in ore imports greater than the national rate of increase.

96. Statistical regression analyses summarized in table F-16 were conducted using various combinations of national values for earnings in primary metals, the FRB Iron and Steel Production Index, ore imports, and total ore shipments as variables. The significance of these regressions was based on the premise that a relationship between earnings in primary metals and iron ore shipments could be verified as shown by regression 2 on table F-16.

97. With regard to prospective iron ore shipments through Mobile, these imports are anticipated to comprise a constant proportion of the total raw material consumed in steel production at Birmingham and Gadsden, Alabama. Accordingly, the anticipated growth of iron ore shipments was estimated using OBERS (Series E) projections of earnings in primary metals for the BEA 45 area. During the 1980-2020 time frame, projected earnings in primary metals exhibit an average annual growth rate of 1.3 percent and 1.4 percent for BEA 45 and the nation, respectively. This modest growth rate is also consistent with the annual increase in total U.S. iron ore shipments during the period 1947-1974. Forecast indicators for raw materials of the primary metals industry were developed using regression equation 4 (table F-16). Projected earnings in primary metals for the U.S. (OBERS, Series E) were were substituted into equation 4 to estimate the future production index of

Appendix F- 52

UT.	

:	from		Total	Ratio of	Federal Reserve Board Index	Iron Ore Imp	erts at Mobile Harbor
Year	Mines	Imports	Shipments	To Total	Production	Total	Three Mile Creek
		(Thousa	nds of Tons)				
1947	93,315	4,896	93,211	.05	9/A	S/A	3/A
1948	100,822	6,109	106,931	. 06	N/A	S/A	N/A
1949	34,687	7,399	92,085	. 03	N/A	X/A	X/A
1950	97,764	8,297	106,061	, 08	N/A	N/A	8/A
1951	116,230	10,148	126,378	.08	N/A	N/A	K/A
1952	97,973	9,772	107,745	.09	N/A	N/A	8/A
1953	117,822	11,086	128,908	.09	N/A	895.6	524. 6
1954	76,954	15,793	92,747	.17	71.4	2,150.3	652.8
1955	106,258	23,476	129,734	. 18	94.9	2,038.2	150.4
1956	97,924	30,424	128,348	. 24	93.2	2,407.7	378.5
1957	104,970	33,654	138,624	. 24	89.8	3,269.6	5.0.8
1558	66,959	27,623	94,582	, 29	67.7	3,198.2	145.0
1959	59,855	35,627	95,482	.37	77.9	3,723.1	224.3
1960	83,784	34,584	118,368	. 29	79.1	2,673.5	268.0
1961	72,949	25,808	98,757	.26	75.6	1,674.2	136.0
1962	70,410	33,435	103,845	. 32	78.7	1,641.8	185.7
1963	74,387	33,488	107,376	.31	85.8	2,994.5	230.7
1964	85,184	42,417	127,601	.33	98.7	3,419,7	381.8
1965	84,930	45,105	130,035	.35	106.2	4,378.5	1,136.4
1966	90,824	46,259	137,083	_ 34	107.5	4,797.7	1,194.7
1967	83,016	44,627	127,643	.35	100.0	4,545.7	650.3
1968	82,530	43,941	126,471	.35	103.6	4,413.1	1,515.0
1969	90,583	40,758	131,541	. 31	113.0	4,576.0	707.4
1970	87,891	44,876	132,767	. 34	105.3	5,360.3	2,210.5
1971	77,692	40,124	117,816	.34	96.6	5,333.8	1.276.8
1972	78,825	35,761	114,585	.31	107.1	3,846.1	1,100.5
1973	90,863	43,331	134,194	. 32	121.7	4,611.0	1,296.9
1974	85,256	48,029	123,285	.36	119.9	6,393.1	1,492.6
Average And	nual						
Growth Rate	2 224	9 9 9 9			2		
(174/-/4)	53%	8.82%	1.147		2.632*		

N/A - Not available.

2 Growth rate based on 1954-1974.

Imported iron ore into Three Mile Creek is discharged at the Alabama State Docks Bulk Handling Plant and is subsequently shipped to Firmingham and Gededen. The remainder of the tonnage is imported at a private dock and is reshipped to Birmingham.

14

SOURCE: Survey of Current Business, various issues. Waterborne Commerce of the United States, 1953-1974.

TABLE P-14

INCH ORT OPERATIONS IN THE U.S.

1947-1974

TABLE	F-16
-------	------

Variables and	Coefficient of	F Valu	125	
Regression	Multiple/Partial Correlation		Critical	Standard Erro
Equation	(R/r _{12,3})	Computed	at .01 level	of the Estimate
1. Y = U.S. Iron Ore Imports X ₁ = FRB Production Index	830/ 377	10.00		5 631 3
Iron and Steel	.030/.3/3	19.95	6.01	2,031.7
$x^2 = 1ime$ $y^2 = 27,447.1 + 199.7x_1 + 704.9x_2$		(DF = 2	,18)	
2.				
Y = U.S. Total Iron ore shipments $X_1 = Earnings$ in Primary Metals				
X ₂ = Time	.978/.889	32.42	30.82	4.4
$Y = 17.9 + .0011X_144X_2$		(DF = 2, 3)		
3.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			·····
Y = U. S. Total Iron Ore Shipments X ₁ = FRE Production Index - Iron & Steel				
X ₂ = Time	.888/.361	33,50	6.01	7,370 .7
Y = 93,245.5 + 1,229.6X - 1,405.0X	2	$(\mathbf{D}\mathbf{F} = 18)$		
4.			─────── ─────────────────────────────	
Y = FRB Production Index - Iron & Steel		-		
X = Earnings in Primary Metals X = Time	.996/.983	171.9	30.82	1.7
$x = 34.4 + .0124x_1 - 1.20x_2$		(DF = 2	,3)	
5.				
f = U. S. Iron Ore Imports				
X ₁ = Earnings in Primary Metels X ₂ = Time	.870/.561	4.7	30.82	2.9
$x = 12.5 + .00256X_10256X_2$		(DF = 2)	3)	

en en la companya de la companya de

in i Line i di the primary metals industry of the U.S. Adjustment of the production index from a national indicator to a regional indicator was based on the following proportion:

Earnings Growth Actor (Regional) = Production Growth Factor (Regional) Farnings Growth Factor (National) = Production Growth Factor (National)

98. The various factors were based on regional and national earnings for 1974, interpolated from OBERS projections and the 1974 production index developed from the regression equation, and the regional production ratio was an unknown. Solving this production for each projected decade results in estimates of the growth factor of regional production which was applied to 1974 volumes of commodity movements associated with the primary metals industry. Resulting projection indicators are shown in table F-17, designated as Index A. These growth indicators are applicable on all the imported iron ore destined to Birmingham and Gadsden, Alabama areas which are encompassed in BEA 45.

99. <u>Sensitivity Analysis of Iron Ore Projection</u>. Two statistical regression analyses were performed in order to test the significance of the projection factors developed and utilized in this study to forecast iron ore movements. The analyses, one at the national level and the other for the project's tributary area, BEA 45, both employed the y = mx + b equation for simple linear regression. Sources for the historic data used in the regressions were OBERS Series E projections of economic activity and Waterborne Commerce Statistics. OBERS Series E also provided the basis for projected earnings data.

100. At the national level x represented the annual earnings for primary metals and y represented the annual volume of iron ore imports for the United States from 1950 through 1971. The regression resulted in a factor of growth from 1986 to 2044 of 2 76 with an R value of .87. Tests for significance and standard error of est also produced acceptable results. In the regression analysis of the study x represented the annual

Index A							
Earnings			Earnings FRB Prod. Earnings Rat		<u>latio</u>	Regional Production	Growth
Year	U. S.	BEA 45	Index	<u>U. S.</u>	BEA 045	Index	Indicator
1970	12,284.3	332.2	103.5	-	-	-	-
1975	13,293.0	352.0	110.1	1.00000	1.00000	110.1	1.000
1978	13,898.0 ²	364.0 ²	114.0	1.04551	1.03409	112.8	1.025
1980	14,302.0	372.0	116.7	1.07590	1.05681	114.6	1.041
1986	15,563.0 ²	399.0 ²	125.2	1.17077	1.13352	121.2	1.101
1990	16.404.0	417.0	130.9	1,23403-	1.18465	125.7	1.142
1995	17,746.0 ²	447.8 ²	141.6	1.33498	1-27201	135.0	1.225
2000	19.088.0	478.5	152.3	1.43594	1.35937	144.2	1.310
2010	22,074.02	552.8 ²	177.5	1.66057	1.57045	167.9	1-525
2020	25,528.0	627.0	208.6	1.92040	1.78125	193.5	1.757
2030	29,522.0 ³	701.3 ³	246.3	2,22086	1.99232	221.0	2.007
2035	33,516.0 [°]	738.07	290.1	2.52133	2.09659	241.2	2.191
2044	33,516.0	738.0	290.1	2.52133	2.09659	241.2	2.191

A composite of Earnings in Primary Metals for U. S. and BEA 045 and an index of U. S. Production of Iron & Steel to be used in the projection of Iron Ore Imports

TABLE F-17

Basci on regression equation: Y=34.4 + [.01245 (X,)] - [1.1972 (X₂)], where Y= FRB Production Index, X,= U. S. Earnings in Primary Netals and X₂ = Time (i.e. 70=1970, 90= 1990 and 135 = 2035, etc.)

² Interpolated based on compound growth between previous and subsequent decades.

³ Extrapolated based on compound growth rate for 2000 - 2020 timeframe.

⁴ Based on the earnings ratio for BEA 045 \pm ratio for U. S. X FRB Production index.

5 First year of project life.

. . .

Appendix P-56

Ċ,

earnings in primary metals for LEA 45 nd 6 represented the annual tonnage of iron ore imports for Mobile Rector for the 1950-1971 period. The resulting 1986-2044 factor of grow h was 3.35 with an R value of .88. The tests for significance and standard error were also acceptable for this regression. As can be seen, the 1986-2044 growth rate of 1.99 derived through the analysis described in this report is a very conservative projection of iron ore imports expected to utilize Mobile Harbor during the project life.

101. <u>Coal Imports</u>. Imports of coal at Mobile began in 1974 with 143,000 tons being imported that year. By 1975, these imports increased to 371,000 tons. In April of 1977, the Southern Company, a parent company to four electric power generating companies located along the Gulf Coast in Alabama, Florida and Mississippi, signed a 10-year contract for importing coal through Mobile, The contract calls for 500,000 tons to be imported in 1977 and 896,000 tons for each of the next 9 years.

102. Due to the uncertain conditions in domestic coal supply, no assurance could be given that this imported coal will continue to substitute domestic supply of coal to the aforementioned steam generating plants after the contract expires. It is expected the annual volume of coal imports will remain at about the same level as that between 1978 and 1987 or 896,000 tons during the remaining years of the project life. The growth rate for coal imports is projected to be 142 percent over the 1975 volume, beginning in 1978 and remaining constant thereafter. Table F-18 gives the factors that were used in projecting coal imports. Growth factors shown in this table are designated as Index B.

PROJECTION FACTORS FOR COAL (IMPORT)

	INDEX B	
Year	Tonnage estimated by shipper (Thousands short tons)	Ratio to 1975
1975	3/1	1.000
1977	500	1.348
1978	896	2.415
1986	896	2.415
1995 ¹	896	2.415
2000	896	2.415
2010	896	2.415
2020	896	2.415
2030	896	2.415
2035	896	2.415
2044	896	2.415

First year of project life.

103. Coal Exports. The movements of coal for export through Mobile is relatively new to the port. Prior to 1973, very little coal moved through the port for export. With the increase in demand of metallurgical coal in Japan, their interests in the coal supply from the southeast U.S. region, particularly in north Alabama, and the construction of a new coal handling facility at Mobile, the volume of coal exports through the port has shown a marked increase since 1973. The major coal suppliers that were interviewed during the course of this study have stated that long-term contracts have been signed or firm commitments have been negotiated which would increase the volume of coal over the next several years. Also, additional coal for export, generated by the Tennessee-Tombigbee Waterway, would begin in 1986. Based on new coal movements beginning at staggering time intervals, the annual volume that moved through the port for the latest year where records are available (1978) cannot be used as a traffic base for projecting future tonnages. However, the year 1976 was used to establish an initial-year tonnage for coal that was exported by smaller companies that were not shipping under long-term contracts.

٤.

104. It is difficult to predict future U.S. coal exports, and particularly that which would move through a given port, due to (1) uncertainties in demand from foreign countries, (2) new discoveries of sources of supply in the world that would compete with U.S. exports, (3) new energy policies being developed in the United States which might increase the domestic demand for coal, thereby decreasing the coal available for export, and (4) the demand for iron and steel on a worldwide market. 105. A report entitled "United States Energy Through the Year 2000 (Revised)", written by Messrs. walter G. Dupree, Jr. and John S. Corsentine and published by the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mines in December 1975, reveals some estimates concerning the domestic consumption and net export demand projected to the year 2000. It is shown in this report that domestic consumption of coal is expected to increase from 556.5 million tons in 1974 to 736 million tons in 1980 and to 1.560 million tons in 2000. Also, it shows that coal exports would increase from 59.1 million tons in 1974 to 100 million tons in 2000. This indicates an annual growth rate for coal exports of 2.04 percent. These data are further documented in more detail as exhibited in table F-19.

106. Another report, written by Mr. Leonard W. Westerstrom, Industry Economist, Division of Coal for the Bureau of Mines, and published in the Bureau of Mines' annual publication of Mineral Facts and Problems -1975 issue, gives some forecasts on domestic production and consumption, expected exports by year 2000, and world production. This report states that: "The energy policy being developed by the United States is committed to increasing the Nation's energy supply from coal. Early in 1975, President Ford established a goal of doubling production to 1.2 billion tons by 1985. In 1974, the Interagency Coal Task Force of Project Independence determined that production of that magnitude could be achieved by relaxing or removing constraints on limiting the expansion and use of coal production.

107. Although bituminous coal and lignite production reached an all time high of approximately 640 million tons in 1975, U.S. consumption increased only marginally over the amount consumed in 1974. Essentially, all of the increase in production went into replenishing stockpiles that had been heavily drawn upon during the coal miners strike in the fourth quarter of 1974 and into meeting increased demands for export coal.

Consumption of United States Coal Resources by Major Consuming Sectors, 1974 Preliminary and Projected to the Year 2000 1/

	1974	1980	1985	2000
Nomestic Consumption				
Household & Commercial				
Million short tons	10.9	4	3	
Trillion Btu	292	100	100	·
Percent of total 2/	2.0	0.5	0.4	0
Industrial				
Million short tons	155	185	. 190	223
Trillion Btu	4,210	4,800	4,930	5,910
Percent of total <u>2</u> /	28.5	25.2	21.1	15.7
Electrical Generation	1			
Million short tons	390.6	547	704	941
Trillion Btu	8,668	12,250	15,700	20,700
Percent of total $2/$, 58.7	64.3	67.3	55.1
Synthetic Gas			10	
Million short tons			26	300
Trillion Btu		** **	520	6,000
Percent of total 2/	0	0	2,2	16.0
Synthetic Liquids			i	
Million short tons				91
Trillion Btu	-	1		2,140
Percent of total <u>2</u> /	0	0	0	5.7
Total Domestic Demand				
Million short tons	556.5	736 ∙	923	1,560
Trillion Btu	13,170	17,150	21,250	34,750
Percent of total <u>2</u> /	89.2	90.0	91.0	92.5
Export Demand $\frac{3}{2}$				
Million short tons	59.1	70	75	100
Trillion Btu	1,584	1,900	2,100	2,800
Percent of total <u>2</u> /	10.8	10.0	9.0	7.5
Total Demand	.			
Million short tons	615.6	806	9 98	1,660
Trillion Btu	14,774	19,050	23,350	37,550

Includes anthracite, bituminous, and lignite. 1/

Based on Btu content.

2/]/ Net exports.

Source: U. S. Department of Interior - Bureau of Mines

Appendix 5 F-61

4 -

108. New mine construction lagged in 1975, as it had in 1974, because of several constraints that continued to limit the expansion of coal production and use. These constraints include stringent air pollution regulations, the lack of a viable Federal coal-leasing program, productivity declines (particularly in underground mining), and delays in decisions to convert oil- and gas-burning facilities to coal. Although steps were taken in 1975 toward reducing some of these constraints, there was insufficient assurance to coal producers, consumers, or investors to encourage the longterm investments needed to meet the national goal for coal.

109. The Bureau of Mines forecast range of coal demand in the United States for 2000 is 1.2 billion to 3.5 billion tons. The probable domestic demand level is 1.56 billion tons. To attain this demand level, the average annual growth rate between 1973 and 2000 must average 3.9 percent. Reaching the goal established earlier of doubling the 1973-74 production level of approximately 600 million tons by the end of 1985 no longer appears likely. The supply and demand limitations affecting coal (including anthracite) are reflected in the revised Bureau of Mines projection of 923 million tons of domestic demand, 75 million tons of exports, and a production level of 998 million tons by 1985.

110. As shown in table F-20, the United States produced 487.0 million tons in 1964, representing about 17 percent of world production of 2,821.4 million tons. United States production as a percentage of world production remained fairly constant over a ime period between 1964 and 1974 with United States producing 603.4 million tons in 1974 representing about 19 percent of the world production of 3,243.6 million tons. United States coal exports between this same time frame increased from 48.0 million tons in 1964 to 59.9 million tons in 1974, representing 10 percent of United States production.

이 공항 전에서 있었는 것이 있는 것이 많이 많이 가지?

Bituminous coal and lignite supply-domand relationships, 1964-74

		, 1	luan stor	tions.							
	1904	1965	1956	1967	1568	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974 *
World mitte production											
United States	487 0	512 1	533 9	552.9	5452	560 5	CC2 9	552 2	595 4	5917	603 4
Pirst of world	2 334 4	23550	2 365 B	2 2 4 3	2 344 4	24104	2 404 4	2 558 2	2 :64 6	3 258 5	2 664 2
¥ol41	2 621 4	2 Ep7 1	2.693.7	27009	28336	2 970 9	3 635 2	31104	. : 65 0	31015	3.243.6
Components of U.S. supply	100 - 113	Helmere .	- e ≭a %o ku m	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	69 2° - 9 1 1 62		ay ma wy sa 14	1	E L., C* F., R	λέ Να⊰- <u>sp</u> i	
Domesic runes	497.0	512 1	£33 9	5526	5.52	560 5	603 D	552 2	595 4	5917	£03 4
inpolis	3	2	2	2	2	1		1		1	21
Industry Mocks, Jan 1	73 0	77 9	79 7	76 B	95.4	87.5	P2 ()	9 3 7	91.3	117 5	103.0
Total U.S. supply	550 3	590 2	613.8	629.6	640.8	548 1	695 0	645.0	686 7	709 3	700 5
Distribution of U.S. succey											
Industry stocks, Dec. 31	78.0	79 7	75 8	95 4	87.5	62 0	<u>93</u> 7	912	1174	103.0	96 G
Expons	45 Q	57.2	493	49.5	50.5	56 2	71 O	52.5	55 O	52.9	59 9
Demand	431.1	459.2	486 B	4804	498 8	507.3	517.0	491 9	516 8	555 0	5527
Losses and unaccounted for	33	11	14	4 3	39	26	33	33	-35	-75	- 7
U.S. demizna pattern	1990: JACY Diger (144	17 · 17 - 19 1	₩ ₩ 5 ₽ 3 12 67		74L.F T		9 14 17 I Y CL		1998 H. 479 AJ	2 1 1. 1. 1.	
Household and commercial	196	190	20.0	171	15 2	17.7	12.1	11.4	87	82	8.8
Electric utilities	223.0	2:27	264.2	271 B	294 7	366 5	520.5	3 6 3	345 6	587.9	3501
Food products	90	93	9.7	<u>9</u> 0	85	78	7 (5 2	75	54	51
Paper products	155	16 C	16.7	156	14 9	136	132	108	102	95	S 4
Primary metal inductives	101.6	108.0	108.0	1042	933	104 1	106.9	978	98 7	105 4	es g
Nonmetallic products	26	129	13.2	12 B	130	119	11.5	15	55	ε 3	€i
Transportation	2	7	6	5	4	з	č	5	2	2	\$
Chemicals	23.2	23 9	24.8	23 2	21.5	197	19.1	15.6	14 8	\$3.7	13.1
Other	25 9	26.7	26.3	26 1	31 7	287	25 8	21.1	18.5	16.5	22 1
Total U.S. demand	431.1	459 2	486.3	4204		507 3	5170	494.9	5168	556 0	5527

* Prekminary

Source: Bureau of Mines - U. S. Department of Interior

111. The major countries that import coal from the United States, excluding Canada, are: Brazil, Belgium-Luxembourg, France, Italy, Netherlands, United Kingdom, Spain, Sweden and Japan. Japan was the largest importer of U.S. coal in 1976 with 18.8 million tons or 44 percent of the total U.S. exports excluding that which was shipped to Canada. Table F-21 shows a complete distribution of U.S. coal exports for a 10-year period between 1967 and 1976.

· 이야하는 것은 것은 이야기는 것을 같은 말한 것 가지 않는 것이 있다.

112. The diagram below, Figure F-5, gives a distribution of the uses of U.S. coal production for year 1950 and 1975 projected to

1985. Exports accounted for 25 million tons or 5 percent of the total U.S. production in 1950. By 1975, exports accounted for 66 million tons or 10 percent. It is expected that exports will be 75 million tons or 7 percent of production by 1985. The 1985 percentage of annual production is expected to remain approximately the same through 2000.



Figure F-5



UNITED STATES EXPORTS OF BITUMINOUS COAL BY CONTINENTAL GROUPS

AND COUNTRIES OF DESTLEAT ON, 1967-76*

(Short rons)										
Country of destinution	1967	1948	1969	1970	197	1972	1973	1974	1575	1976 1/
North and Central America:										
	15 307 986	16 748 701	16 782 803	18.673 375			16 731 110	13 106 701	14 *15 715	TA 493 271
Costa Rica.	-	299	-	-	141	10,101,114	139	21	8	57
Dominiran Republic	-	- 114	-	189	67	99	37	165	14	10
French Want Indiag	643	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Custemate	25	6] 366	89 117	100	42 204	-	- 175	-	-	51
Jamica		-	-	-	-	137	76	35	45	45
Hexico	51,644 5,354	2.728	115,790 3.2a7	172,658	784,608	465,340	305,399	A10,564	527,147	250,534
Pan 108	442	157	123	-	69	5Z	-	-	-	410
tribidad aud Tobago Ocher	252 412	- 196	450	- 161	3f 44	630	-		-	-
-	13.378.166	16,875,140	16,907,751	18.849.074	17,851,524	18.628.916	16,538,832	14,1/6,616	17.:07.429	16,148,327
South America:										
	530 148	213 336	476 150	545 590	430 403	193 275	221.104	4 50 654	210 210	576 187
Brazil.	1,734,361	1,785,873	1,842,844	2.010.461	1 968 696	1.916,624	1,644,096	1,292,295	1,010,536	2,741,376
Gile	193,141	304,242	318.725	275,419	206,996	239,729	194,410	312,334	253,226	\$45,274
Eccasor,	-	319	167	3,123	25,718	67,627	22,401	; 64.280	47,721	22
Ngugunya	43,306	.3.883	9,823	25,579	31,266	32,098	21,081	31,293	+	- 16
Senerum:#.,	298	101		-	-	306	35	34		-
	2,562,077	7,569,095	2,849,020	7,970,429	2,372,394	2,650,547	Z,654,634	2,350,875	3,271,566	7,912,893
Europe:		<u>↓</u>		• ••• •••• •••					₩27 - 2013 - 2012 }	
European Reponsels Community:			C41 (1)	1 831 176	145 727	1 141 000	1 204 5-7	1	517 / 20) . 3.361.435
Venberk.	1.*22,240	1,012,010		1 + 1-001"-10		-	1,100,359	1,1-0,814		14,403
France	2,130,919	1,459,544	2,253,055	1,345,368	3, 25, 81	1.53,373	1,865,899	2,510,001	3,533,153 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	3,426,631
irriană (Rep. of)	267 236	1.5 201	83 493	69,146	10,168	21,665	1.0.11.014	1,464,017	1.409,203	
Tralp.	5,814,516	4,253,674	3,619,742	4,265,213	2,44,34	3,57,57	3,294,040	3 - 63 - 66 -	4,-42,962	4,210,931
Valueriands <u>I</u> I		(19729033 -	1.022.030	1,701	1,649,181	2, 381, 631	940,782	1.424.9-0	1,8182	\$42,96à
Total EEC	16,556,237	12,209,187	12,932,473	16.427.439	11,773,589	1 13.447.163	19,218,179	12,955,877	- 	15,200,246
氏129末まま来。	- 1	-		65,253		1	1 -	-	-	-
Certan Depocratic Sep	77,345	101,425	86,76L	395,630	25,680 45,530	14,779	12 - 00	40.767		461 706
507459	245,874	304,514	248,312	192,380	ē3.21	: :	174,289	145,713	60,500	173,531
Pattari	85,892	57 VA	15,569	70 210	11,719	1 14, 3	325,194	333,619	245,564	252,943
Sain	1,011,978	1,474,810	1,824,769	3,153,064	2,555,499	2.119,013	2,233, 85	2,016,541	2.641.015	2,513,320
far internet and	911,261 78 669	35 744	657,641	753,534	1 #17,532 ; 31 #03	-24,828	142,254	199,427	763,634	1 815,817 14 335
1020572255	552,094	435,894	140,705	224,915	45.555	141,538	110,024	-	21,052	183,931
0f#ef	-	-				-	-		476	-
	19,361,305	15,402,441	15,088,151	21.502.424	16,4-2,243	16.078,340	14,251,848	15,855,076	18,91,492	19,757,826
ista:	p			·					{	
Foto Foto	-	-	-	10,165	_	-	_	-	-	-
latati	-	16,819	291		10 105 17		-	11 471	20	-
Jig va	4,879	13,822,400	1.100.793	1 11 010 433	19.103.354	1 18,01/,897	19-9,570	245,504	1.9,313	-67,909
Pilippines	-	139	-	109	16)	1,22)	261	-	123	20
507#E	-	- 56	1.070	26	824	- 19	-	211	101,010	190,200
	12,720,765	15,839,484	21,368,154	27,048,590	19,700,541	18,018,931	19,381,174	27,603.485	52 44.2 AG1	17,510,173
 < ←#35萬里: 		f						1		······································
							41 709		-	
	-	1 -	502	192		-	1 1,127		-	- 1
Čišeř	-	-	-	-	- -	17	-	-	-	-
	-		802	22,944	44	37	41,709	19	-	-
Mr		<u>†</u>	<u> </u>	 				 	ř	
11 t - L	l	[l	1	1			i i	
Egypl	6_064	1	1 -		-	-	-	-	217,840	121,796
Zait#			-	-	-	-	-	l · .	-	•
Other	·	100		97	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	763	<u> </u>		126,172
	6,064	100	<u> </u>	97			24)	;	217,840	447,968
Tutel exporte	49.527.578	57,637,263	56,273,850	75,943,1.8	\$6,432.966	55,996,721	52,876,402	59,976,085	65,669,629	\$9,405,509

é.

*Goes not include shipments to U.S. military (orces. 1/ Preliminary figures. 2/ Ghipments as indicates in vessel manifests upon departure from U.S. ports; includes tourage for transhipment to undesignated foropens destinations.

Compiled by the International Coal Staff, Boreau of Minuta.

One of the difficulties Drs. Rimberger and Wettig point out in their 113. study of the world coking coal market until 1985 is the lack of a definition of coking coal. Good quality coke is produced in different countries from coals with a wide range of coking characteristics and mineral impurities. This means that, for the most part, there are coals which are used for coking that would, by themselves, yield a coke with low ash and mineral impurities but it would only be a lower quality coke. The other extreme is that certain coals could yield an outstandin, coke which would be useless because of the high content of impurities. In the Federal Republic of Germany (FRG), coking coal is usually considered to be low in ash and sulphur with 21-27 percent volatile matter. In some countries, lowsulphur coking coal is being burned in power generating stations to minimize the cost of cleaning emissions. The coals which today are termed coking coals in a narrow sense, that is, coals from which a usable coke may be produced, account for less than 50 percent of total coking coal demand. The blending of low-volatile coal with good coking properties and high-volatile coal with poor coking properties to produce a usable coke is not uncommon, but the proper ratios must be used not only to produce a usable coke but also to prevent damage to the coke oven walls.

114. Other difficulties in the analysis of the world coking coal market are the limited economically minable worldwide reserves of coking coal, the possibilities of short-term production disruptions, and transportation tie-ups and disruptions between the producing and consuming areas. The dependence of the steel industry on coking coal, or rather good quality coke, has caused the industry to take steps to prevent the possible shortfall in supply. These measures include regulated, long-term supply contracts and participation in domestic and foreign coal mining.

115. Coking coal production in 1975 was about 27 percent of the total world output of 2,350 milli n metric tons or between 620-630 million metric tons. Three countries, the U.S.S.R., the United States, and the FRG, accounted for almost two-thirds of total coking coal production.



Together with Poland, Australia, and the People's Republic of China (PRC), 80 percent of world coking coal production is accounted for with the remaining 20 percent coming from a number of nations. Between 1960 and 1975, world coal (anthracite and bituminous) production increased by 29 percent while coking coal production increased only by 22-23 percent.

116. Future production of coking coal will not be determined by demand but rather by the investments of the mining enterprises in existing and new production capacity. The authors estimate that, in 1985, the additional world coking coal demand over that of today will be 260 million tons while known, planned additional productive capacity will be 160 million tons. This indicates a shortfall of 100 million tons. The pattern of the world coking coal trade is not expected to change in the future. Australia, the United States, and Poland should be the principal exporters and Western Europe, including Scandanavia, Japan, and South America should remain the principal importers. Excluding US-Canada trade and the European Economic Community (EEC) and Council for Economic Assistance (CEMA) internal trades, world coking coal trade is expected to increase from the current 85 million tons to 160 million tons in 1985, with 100 million tons being high quality coal.

117. The international trade in coke is rather insignificant, compared with coking coal trade. In general, the rule is that coke is produced where it is used. The reasons for this are economic and technical and are to assure a given plant a supply of coke of the quality and quantity required. In addition, the handling of coke during loading, transport, and unloading causes degradation, reducing the size and increasing the amount of coke breeze. In 1974, world coke trade amounted to about 30 million tons. Of this total, internal trade in the EEC accounted for about one-third and total EEC trade about one-half. An additional 25 percent was internal CEMA trade. Actual international (external) coke trade in 1974 was 11 million tons or about 40 percent of the total. Total coke trade in 1985 is expected to be about 32 million tons with 12.5-13 million tons being involved in international trade.

118. Between 1963 and 1974, the use of coking coal rose on the average 2.5 percent per year from 473 million tons to about 620 million tons. Of the totals, a constant 80 percent has been used for the production of blast furnace coke and the remaining 20 percent is used by gas works, electricity generating stations, and other consumers. The amount of coal charged into coke ovens increased between 1963 and 1974 by 90 million tons from 380 million tons to 470 million tons, an average yearly increase of 2.0 percent. The use of coking coal by other consumers increased by a yearly average of 4.3 percent or from 94 million tons in 1963 to 150 million tons in 1974. In the nine member countries of the EEC, the use of coking coal for the production of coke dropped from 150 million tons in 1963 to 91 million tons in 1974, a decrease of about 40 percent. In comparison, the production of coking coal in the EEC dropped from 218 million tons in 1963 to 96 million tons in 1974, a decrease of 57 percent. Total world coke production in 1975 was 362 million tons, an increase of 28 percent or a yearly average increase of 2.1 percent over the 282 million tons produced in 1963.

119. In the period to 1985, the iron and steel industry, energy generation, households, and other small consumers will still be the principal consumers of coals which could be used for coking. It is unlikely that gas works, the chemical industry, or the non-ferrous metal industry will be using appreciable amount of coking coal for coke. Households and other traditional small consumers of coke in Europe are expected to account for a demand for 25 million tons of coke (or 35 million tons of coking coal) by 1985. The demand by electric power plants for coking coal (coal which could be used in coking) will be of importance only in the FRG, the U.S., and the United Kingdom. The authors estimate these needs in 1985 to be 30 million tons in the FRG, 260 million tons in the U.S., and 290 million tons in the United Kingdom.

120. World crude steel production is expected to reach 1,023 million metric tons by 1985, an increase over 1974 of 315 million tons or a yearly average of 2.4 percent (average yearly increase between 1963-1974

was 5.6 percent). The production of one metric ton of pig iron in 1985 will require, on a worldwide average, 530-535 kg of coke, which includes the coke needed for sintering. Considering a 70 percent coke yield, this total will require about 570 million tons of coking coal in 1985, or 150 million tons more than in 1974.

121. Taking all factors into consideration, the authors predict a worldwide demand for coking coal in 1985 of 880 million metric tons, twothirds of which will be used for coke production with the rest used to fuel electric power plants. Imports to cover domestic shortfalls will be provided by three or four countries, principally the United States, provided increases in productive capacity can prevent the possibility of a 100-million ton shortage.

122. In 1974, the World Energy Conference and the U.S. Geological Survey estimated world resources of hard coal at nearly 80 percent of all inplace resources. Hard coal includes all coals of higher rank than lignite or "brown" coal. These resources, including anthracite (amounts of which are not available separately), are estimated at 9,933 million short tons, and brown coal and lignite are estimated at 2,666 billion short tons. As shown in table F-22, the total in-place resources of all ranks of coal were estimated at 12,599 billion short tons. The United States has approximately 31 percent of world coal resources. However, it should be noted that the several nations that report coal resources do not do so using the same criteria; therefore, these values are not directly comparable.

123. Coal exports through the Port of Mobile during 1974 and 1975 represented 4 and 5 percent of total U.S. exports, respectively. With the expected increase generated by the Tennessee-Tombigbee Waterway and new contracts from present shippers, the annual volume of coal exports through Mobile should increase to about 14.3 million tons by 1985. This represents about 19 percent of the total expected U.S. exports of 75.0 million tons as shown in Figure F-5 and Table F-19.



COAL RESERVES IN THE UNITED STATES BY GEOGRAPHIC AREA AND TYPE OF MINING



Ay provide the without appression when any most regard of a long of

Figure 1.---U.S. coal reserves, classified according to geographic area and type of mining.

Table 2.—Summary of demonstrated coal reserve base of the United States

(Billion short (ons)

Rank of coal	Under- ground mining reserve base	Surfaçe mici-rég reservé base	Total	Estimated to- tal heat value, iquad- rikon Blut
Bateminous	192	41	233	6.100
Subbluminous	101	68	169	2,800
100.00	0	28	28	400
Anihvecile	7	(°)	7	200
Total	300	137	437	9.500

1 Loss than 1/s unit.

Total world bituminous coal and lignite resources 1

(Million short tons)

	Reserves	Other	Total
North America	-;		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
United States	436,700	3,531,600	3.968.300
Canada ,,,,,,	600	119.400	120.000
Total ,	437.300	3,651,000	4,086 300
South America	and and and and and and	ier die see ier worve	ak 167 Mart 25 (* 173)
Brazil	200	3,400	3,500
Chile	300	4,000	4,300
Colombia	350	5,550	5,900
Other	909	21,600	22,500
Total	1,759	34.550	36.300
Europe	977 984 - 481 972 97	a mar - ar san san waa san	
Germany, East	500	32,200	33,100
Germany, West	. 8.000	308,400	316.400
France	. 50	1,550	1,600
Netherlands	160	3,940	4,100
Spain	80	3,820	3,900
United Kingdom	6,000	173,500	179,500
Poland	5,000	61,800	66,800
USSA	350,000	5 945 200	6 298 200
Çiher	10,000	58,225	68,225
Tolai	380 190.	6,591,635	5.971,825
61	····································	מ א עוד גאר עבר אלג. עצוי ג	
South Mores	3 6/20	45 400	48.000
Other	30	15.959	15,989
Tolal	. 3,530	61.359	54,839
Åt:3	And 100 and 100 fe	a dar ett. Norder (darie	a ngan ngan ngan gan gan ga
China, People's Republic of	60,000	1,042,300	1,102,300
İnda	2.000	89.500	91,500
Other	600	22.686	23,466
Total	. 62.600	1,154,686	1,217,286
Oceana			
Australia	. 3,000	215,900	218,900
New Zealand	. 40	1,160	1,200
Total	. 3.040	217.060	220,100
World Iotal	. 666,410	11,710,290	12,598.700

¹ includes anthracite

* Demonstrated reserve base (Jan. 1, 1974).

SOURCE: Bureau of Mines - U.S. Department of Interior

124. The Bureau of Mines forecasts the world-wide demand for coal, excluding the U.S., will range from 3.5 to 4.5 billion tons by the year 2000. This represents an average annual growth rate of 0.9 to 1.9 percent, respectively. The annual growth rate at the probable demand rate is 1.2 percent during this period.

125. World-wide demand for coal should equal world-wide production in most instances based on historical tonnages associated with production, demand, and export of coal in the United States, one of the world's largest producers. From 1954 through 1975 the U.S. produced a surplus of coal above the demand of U.S. industry each year. Accumulated exports from the U.S. during the period 1964 through 1975 exceeded surplus production by about 10 percent which tends to show that production is about equal to total demand at least in the United States.

126. It has been assumed that world-wide demand for coal will be equal to world-wide production in the future. During the ll-year period from 1964 through 1974, U.S. exports have consistently ranged from 1.7 to 1.9 percent of world production. Therefore, it has been assumed that if world-wide demand of coal increases at an average annual rate of 1.2 percent to the year 2000, then, U.S. exports of coal will grow, accordingly. Coal exports from Mobile have been assumed to remain constant from 2060 through 2044 since no support can be located for growth during thece later years.

127. Increase factors developed from the 1.2 percent annual growth rate applicable to varying base years (1975-1986) are shown in table F-23.

128. <u>Projection of Coal Exports to Japan</u>. Records for 1975-78 indicate that an average of 60 percent of coal exports through Mobile were shipped to Japan. An adjustment to reflect some shippers sending 100% to Japan gives an adjusted figure of 67 percent. The allocation of coal exports by market areas was done on a shipper-by-shipper basis. Using this criteria for allocating coal exports, a total tonnage base on coal shipped to Japan

CABLE	F-23

Project indices applicable to coal exports through Mobile based on an average annual growth rate of 1.2 percent

							<u></u>	
Year	·		·•• /					
1975	1.000					_	_	
1976	1.012	1.000	- .	· 🗕	· _		-	
1977	1.024	1.012	1.000	.—	-	-	-	
1978	1.036	1.024	1.012	1.000	_	-	-	
1979	1.049	1.036	1.024	1.012	1.000	-	-	
1981	1.074	1.061	1.049	1.036	1.024	1.000	-	
1986	1.140	1.127	1.113	1.100	1.087	1.061	1.000	
1995	1.269	1.254	1.240	1.225	1.210	1.182	1.113	
2000 ²	1.347	1.331	1.316	1.300	1.285	1.254	1.182	
20 10	1.347	1.331	1.316	1.300	1.285	1.254	1.182	
2020	1.347	1.331	1.316	1.300	1.285	1.254	1.182	
2030	1.347	1.331	1.316	1,300	1.285	1.254	1.182	
2035	1.347	1.331	1.316	1.300	1.285	1.254	1.182	
2044	1.347	1.331	1.316	1.300	1.285	1.254	1.182	

Pactors to be used in making a composite tonnage for each of the four destination groups.
Latest year of growth.

for 1976 was 1,881,000 tons. Where the shipper did not indicate future growth, the 1976 volume for each shipper tas used as a base for projecting to 1986. Where a shipper is currently scorting coal and gave a growth due to firm contracts, tonnage for the first year of contract was used as a base for projecting to 1986. When a new shipper, including those that would ship via the Tennessee-Tombigbee Waterway, indicate the first year they will begin shipping, connage for this year was used as a base for projecting to 1986. A growth factor based on an annual growth rate of 1.2 percent was used to project the varying base tonnages to 1986. By using the above procedure for projection, the 1986 tonnage destined to Japan would be 9,714,000 tons. The unadjusted tonnage was used in establishing the growth factors. With the 1986 volume of 9,714,000 tons being a new base, the 1.2 percent annual growth rate or a factor of 1.182 was applied to this tonnage giving an annual volume of 11,478,000 tons, beginning in the year 2000 and remaining constant during the project life until the year 2044. The resulting increase factors are shown in table F-24. These indices of growth on coal exports to Japan are designated as Index E.

129. Projections of Coal Exports to Italy. Records for 1975-1978 indicate that an average of 22 percent of the coal exports through Mobile were shipped to the area designated as Italy. By applying the 22 percent to the annual volume of individual shippers, other than those who ship exclusively to Japan, the annual volume shipped to Italy in 1976 was 664,000 tons. The 1976 volume for each shipper was used as a base for projection to 1986, where shippers are currently using the port and did not indicate their future growth. Where shippers jave a growth due to firm contracts, the first year of contract was used as a base. When new shippers indicate the year they will begin shipping through Mobile, this year was used as a base. All base volumes were increased at an annual rate of 1.2 percent to develop a new base in 1986. The year 1986 was selected as a new base because, by this time, all known contracts will be in force and new shippers will have begun shipping, including those that will ship via the Tennessee-Tombigbee Waterway. The annual volume of coal exports to Italy for the year 1986 will

be 3,211,000 tons. By using an annual growth rate of 1.2 percent applied to the 1986 volume, with the growth rate leveling off by the year 2000, the annual volume in 2000 will be 3,795,000 tons and will remain constant thereafter until 2044, the last year of the project life.

130. Increase factors developed from the above projection procedure are shown in table F-25 and designated as Index F.

TABLE F-24

PROJECTION FACTORS FOR COAL EXPORTS DESTINED TO JAPAN

INDEX E

Year	Composite of annual 1 tonnage destined to Japan (thousand short tons)	Ratio to 1986
1986	9,714,000	1.000
1995 ²	10,819,000	1.114
2000	11,478,000	1.182
2010	11,478,000	1.182
2020	11,478,000	1.182
2030	11,478,000	1.182
2044	11,478,000	1.182

¹Unadjusted tonnage, which includes tonnage that will continue to move through the Panama Canal with project improvements at Mobile.

²First year of project life.

PROJECTION FACTORS FOR COAL EXPORTS DESTINED TO ITALY

INDEX F

Year	Composite of annual tonnage destined to Ttaly (Thousand short tons)	Ratio to 1986
1986	3,211	1.000
1995 ¹	3,576	1.114
2000	3,795	1.182
2010	3,795	1.182
2020	3,795	1.182
2030	3,795	1.182
2035	3,795	1.182
2044	3,795	1-182

¹First year of project life.

131. <u>Projection of Coal Exports to England/Europe</u>. Initial-vear (1976) tonnage of coal allocated to this area was 221,000 tons. By use of the same criteria used for projecting coal exports to Italv, as previously discussed, the volume of coal exports to this area by 1986 will be 8 percent of total or 1,070,000 tons. With a 1.2 annual growth rate, this volume will increase to 1,265,000 tons by the year 2000. No increase in tonnage is expected beyond this time, therefore, the 1,265,000 tons will remain constant over the remaining project life. The resulting increase factors developed from this composite of tonnage are shown in table F-26. This index of growth factors is designated as Index G.

26

PROJECTION FACTORS FOR COAL EXPOSTS DESTINED TO ENGLAND/EUROPE

INDEX G

Year	Composite of annual tonnage destined to England/Europe (Thousand short tons)	Ratio to 1986
1986	1,070	1.000
1995 ¹	1,192	1.114
2000	1,265	1.182
2010	1,265	1.182
2020	1,265	1.182
2030	1,265	1.182
2035	1,265	1.182
2044	1,265	1.182

¹First year of project life.

132. Projection of Coal Exports to East Coast of South America. Only 3 percent of the total coal exports from Mobile will be shipped to this area. The initial-year (1976) tonnage, allocated to this area, was 99,000 tons. By applying the same method of projecting coal exports to Italy, as previously discussed, the 99,000 tons will increase to 476,000 tons by 1986. With a 1.2 annual growth rate, this volume will increase to 562,000 tons by the year 2000. No increase in tonnage is expected beyond this time, therefore, the 562,000 tons will remain constant over the remaining project life. The resulting increase factors developed from this composite of tomage and shown in table F-27. This index of growth factors is designated as Inder in

PROJECTION FACTORS FOR COAL EXPORTS DESTINED TO THE EAST COAST OF SOUTH AMERICA

INDEX H

Year	Composite of annual tonnage destined to the East Coast of South America (Thousand short tons)	Ratio to 1986	
1986	476	1.000	
1995 1	530	1.114	
2000	562	1.182	
2010	562	1.182	
2020	562	1.182	
2030	562	1.182	
2035	562	1.182	
!044	562	1.182	

¹First year of project life.

SUMMARY OF PROSPECTIVE AND ACCEPTED COMMEPCE

133. Prospective Commerce. The annual volume of commodities that was accepted as prospective commerce for this project in 1975 was 7.5 million tons. This tonnage was projected to 1995, the first year of economic life of the selected plan, and then extended over the next 50 years ending in 2044. The annual volume of prospective commerce for selected years is presented in table F-28.

134. <u>Accepted Commerce</u>. This traffic was further screened to determine the tonnage that would obviously be eliminated due to the continued use of small ships, that which would continue to be shipped through the Panama Canal in relatively small ships, that eliminated because of limited depths at foreign ports where traffic originates or terminates, and other restrictions as previously discussed in this appendix. The annual volume of traffic

Appendix 5 F-/8

				TABLE F- 28					
PROSPECTIVE COMMERCE FOR SELECTED YEARS THROUGHOUT THE PROJECT LIFE (1995-2044)									
Commodity	1975	1986	1995 ¹	2000	2010	2020	2030	2035	2044
Iron ore	4,781,000	5,264,000	5,857,000	6,263,000	7,291,000	8,400,000	9,596,000	10,475,000	10,475,000
Coal (Import)	371,000	896,000	896,000	896,000	896,000	896,000	896,000	896,000	896,000
Coal (Export)	2,367,000	14,471,000	16,117,000	17,100,000	17,100,000	17,100,000	17,100,000	17,100,000	17,100,000
TOTAL	7,519,000	20,631,000	22,870,000	24,259,000	25,287,000	26,396,000	27,592,000	28,471,000	28,471,000

. i., .

¹First year of project life.

accepted for benefit analysis is 5.2 million tons in 1975 which will increase to 15.0 million tons by 1995 and, by the year 2044, the volume will be 18.9 million tons. Detailed volume for each commodity accepted as commerce, which would benefit from project modification, is shown in table F-29. The differences in prospective and accepted traffic are explained in previous paragraphs of this appendix.

VESSEL TRAFFIC

135. <u>Vessel Trips</u>. The total vessel trips on all types of vessels, including deep-draft cargo ships, fishing vessels, tows, and miscellaneous boats, that called at Mobile during 1975, is presented in table F-30. Deep-draft vessels with drafts of 19 feet and above accounted for 1866 of the total trips of 29,805.

136. <u>Trend in Vessel Traffic</u>. The total number of vessels with drafts 19 feet and over that called at the port decreased from 2488 vessels in 1966 to 1866 vessels in 1975 while the volume of commerce that moved through the port in deep-draft vessels increased from 14.4 million tons in 1966 to 16.7 million tons in 1975. This indicates that an increase in the use of larger vessels is being experienced. During this time period, the number of vessels with drafts 36 feet and over increased from 359 in 1966 to 704 in 1975, further showing a trend in the increase in size of vessels calling at the port. The number of vessels tabulated by draft when entering and/or leaving the port during the latest 10-year period of record is given in table F-31.

137. Vessels carrying some of the major bulk commodities range in size from 14,000 to 88,000 d.w.t. Records indicate these particular ships have registered loaded drafts ranging from 23 feet for the 14,000 d.w.t. ship to 43 feet for the 88,000 d.w.t. ship. These drafts do not reflect an average draft for these size vessels in t⁺ world fleet. This indicates a need for a deeper channel as the larger vessels are being light-loaded because of limitation from channel depths at Mobile. The figures do not reveal the
PROJECTED CONMERCE ACCEPTED FOR BENEFIT ANALYSIS FOR SELECTED YEARS THROUGHOUT THE PROJECT LIFE (1995-2044)

1995¹ Commodity 1975 1986 2000 2010 2020 2030 2035 2044. 3,411,000 3,756,000 4,178,000 5,202,000 5,993,000 6,846,000 Iron ore 4,468,000 7,474,000 7,474,000 Coal (Import) 371,000 896,000 896,000 896,000 896,000 896,000 896,000 896,000 896,000 Coal (Export) 1,458,000 9,950,000 10,558,000 10,558,000 10,558,000 8,934,000 10,558,000 10,558,000 10,558,000 TOTAL 5,240,000 13,586,000 15,024,000 15,922,000 16,656,000 17,447,000 18,300,000 18,928,000 18,928,000

¹First year of project life.

TABLE F-30

TUTAL INBOUND AND OUTBOUND TRIPS AND DRAFTS OF VESSELS CALLING AT MOBILE DURING YEAR 1975

HARBOR OR WATERWAY	BOR OR WATERWAY DIRECTION					DIRECTION						
	Self	Propelle	Vessels	Non-Self Pr Vessel	opelled s		Self	Propelle	d Vessels	Non-Salf P Ves	ropelled sels	
DRAFT (FEET)	Passenger and Dry Cargo	Tanker	Towboat or Tugboat	Dry Cargo	Tanker	TOTAL	Passenger and Dry Cargo	Tanker	Towboat or Tugboat	Dry Cargo	Tanker	TOTAL
MOULLE HARBOR, AL				INBOUND					1	OUTBO	IND	
4]	2					2						
40 ~	25	1				26	20	4				24
39	. 9	2				11	17	13				30
38	14	6				20	18	18				36
37	16	4				20	13	3	1			16
36	17		1			17	17	4		Į I		21
35	32	3	[!		32	11	12				23
۔ ۔ ۔ ۔ ۔ ہژژ	j 16	2				12	22	6		4		28
	30	2				32	24	5		1		30
32	20	8				28	29	4				1 3
31	-i .:3	10				33	32	2				34
30	1 1 6	· ·				18	20	5				25
29	22					22	17	5				22
18	21	2		1		23	42	4	4			46
27	34	1				35	38	3	1			41
	38	ι				39	52	6				58
25	60	6	9	1		70	61	3	8	12		84
24	47	16				63	55	8				63
21	75	12				\$7	57	2				59
12	56	16				/2	70	7		2		79
🔉 21	76	11				87	69	4		4		77
y	6.5	15				81	65	8		1		74
5 19	46	10				56	49	5		1	7	6.7
15 and less	337	32	1,579	7,858	2,195	14,001	336	11	3.557	7,857	2,177	13,938
f Total.	1,098	162	3,588	7,859	2,195	14,902	1,134	142	3,565	7,878	2,184	14,903
anata (anata)		ا له میدود میدود مو مه										

SOURCE: Waterbeine Commarce of the United States - Part 2 for Calendar Year 1975.

F-81

TOTAL INCOUND AND OUTBOUND TRIPS AND ERAFTS OF VESSELS WITH DUAFTS 19 FEET AND OVER ON VESSELS THAT CALLED AT MOBILE FOR SELECTED YEARS - 1965-1975

Draft				Number	of Vess	el Trips					
	1966	1967	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	
41 feet and over	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
40 feet and over	20	9	8	19	15	19	30	48	83	52	
39 feet and over	48	35	25	51	30	39	45	93	121	93	
38 feet and over	64	54	48	68	45	58	67	150	183	149	
37 feet and over	83	77	64	ç j	63	103	122	222	250	185	
36 feet and over	144	123	100	128	122	146	171	282	331	223	
35 feet and over	174	150	120	157	156	196	212	337	414	281	
34 feet and over	213	182	150	193	215	242	241	408	470	327	
33 feet and over	256	217	199	229	247	269	270	• 52	522	338	
32 feet and over	311	282	252	293	286	314	306	511	370	4.49	
31 feet and over	392	342	310	329	349	349	340	539	619	516	
30 feet and over	471	415	389	376	410	406	407	599	676	559	
29 feet and over	563	497	464	426	481	452	459	649	729	603	
28 feet and over	658	584	568	524	565	523	526	715	791	672	
27 feet and over	757	674	689	630	674	601	614	812	860	748	
26 feet and over	880	800	850	727	775	692	737	931	964	845	
25 feet and over	1037	917	989	837	891	799	872	1102	1091	975	
24 feet and over	1224	1099	1151	987	1063	922	1024	1255	1249	1101	
23 feet and over	1427	1308	1342	1157	1231	1086	1197	1446	1386	1247	
22 feet and over	1700	1592	1636	1431	1513	1310	1405	_ 1662	1575	1396	
21 feet and over	1898	1865	1864	1659	1717	1502	1604	1845	1707	1556	
20 feet and over	2180	2203	2145	1962	2009	1755	1802	2068	1866	1710	
19 feet and over	2488	2477	2392	2207	2219	1918	1097	2218	1967	1820	

Source: Annual Publications of Waterborne Commerce of the United States - Part 2 for years 1966-1975

Appendix F-82

Ś

potential of larger vessels that are not used in the service on traffic to Mobile due to the 40-foot channel restriction. The characteristics of vessels used in the transportation of major bulk commodities shipped through Mobile in 1975 are shown in table F-32

138. Vessel Sizes. A range in vessel sizes was used to determine benefits for each channel depth being analyzed. The minimum size drybulk carriers and tankers is based on the minimum size of vessels presently being used at the port of Mobile. The maximum size is based on the largest vessel that can use a particular channel depth, light-loaded by 5 feet with a bottom clearance of 4 feet. The exception to this is on commodities originating or destined to countries where the routing via the Panama Canal is shorter. These commodities are coal to Japan and iron ore from Australia. For these commodities, benefits were based on the difference in transportation cost of a fleet of vessels (15-56,000 d.w.t. dry-bulk carriers) that can use the 40-foot channel at Mobile routed via the Fanama Canal, and the costs of a fleet of vessels that would go around the Cape of Good Hope, using a minimum size vessel of 61,000 d.w.t. A range in vessel sizes for dry-bulk carriers, based on drafts at one-foot intervals, for each channel depth considered is shown in table F-33.

139. <u>Routing</u>. Commodities of iron ore from Australia and coal to Japan are presently being routed via the Panama Canal. However, with a channel depth at Mobile of 45 feet or greater, a portion of the volume of these commodities will be routed via the Cape of Good Hope, South Africa. Table F-34 gives the relative difference in miles when routed through the Canal versus routing via the Cape of Good Hope. The distances shown in this table are those used in the report for determining transportation costs "with" and "without" channel improvements at Mobile. Distances on commodities not subjected to routing through the canals will be the same for all channel depths at Mobile.

CHARACTURISTICS OF VESSELS PRESENTLY CALLING AT MOBILE (1975)

Crude Oil - Tankers)

D.W.T. - 16,000 to 54,000 Registered loaded draft - 30.0 to 43.0 feet Length - 512 to 751 feet Width - 66 to 102 feet Actual loaded draft - 32 to 40 feet

Iron Ore (ASD Tipple) (Dry Bulk Carriers)

D.W.T. - 18,000 to 74,000 Registered loaded draft - 30.0 to 43.0 feet Length - 541 to 501 feet Width - 72 to 105 feet Actual loaded draft - 26 to 40 feet

Bauxite (ASD Tipple) (Dry Bulk Carriers)

D.W.T. - 14,000 to 52,000 Registered loaded draft - 23 to 39 feet Length - 509 to 978 feet Width - 62 to 98 feet Actual loaded draft - 25 to 38 feet

Coal (Import) (ASD Tipple) (Dry Bulk Carriers)

D.W.T. ~ 31,000 to 74,000 Registered loaded draft - 36 to 43 feet Length - 643 to 719 feet Width - 75 to 105 feet Actual loaded draft - 34 to 40 feet

Iron Ore (TCI Term.) (Dry Bulk Carriers)

D.W.T. - 25,000 to 88,000 Registered loaded draft - 33 to 43 feet Length - 577 to 850 feet Width - 72 to 128 feet Actual loaded draft - 31 to 40 feet

TABLE F-32 (Continued)

Grain (Dry Bulk Carriers)

D.W.T. - 11,000 to 66,000 Registered loaded draft - 23 to 43 feet Length - 440 to 768 feet Width - 62 to 105 feet Actual loaded draft - 25 to 40 feet

Coal exports (McDuffie) (Dry Bulk Carriers)

D.W.T. - 19,000 to 80,000 Registered loaded draft - 30 to 46 feet Length - 528 to 837 feet Width - 69 to 105 feet Actual loaded draft - 29 to 40 feet

0-font	chinnel	45 fout	channel	50-foct	channe l	55-foot	ahanne l	60-feet o	hanne l
Vess	el	NUT YES	sel Dura Eb	Vess	el Basí	Vess	el Due Fr	Vess	<u>=1</u>
E 0 00	20	15 000		15.000	20	15 000	20	15 000	
3,000	29	13,000	10	13,000	2.9	10,000	2.7	13,000	22
/,000	30	17,000	30	17,000	30	17,000		17,000	00
0,000 -	31	20,000	10	20,000	31	20,000	31	20,000	
3,000	32	23,000	32	23,000	32	23,000	32	23,000	32
6,000	33	26,000	33	26,000	33	26,009	33	26,000	33
000	34	29,000	34	29,000	34	29,000	34	29,000	34
2,000	35	32,000	35	32,000	35	32,000	35	32,000	35
\$,000	36	36,000	36	36,000	36	36,000	36	36,000	36
,000	37	39,000	37	39,000	37	39,000	37	39,000	37
000	38	43, 000	38	43,000	38	43,000	38	43,000	38
7,000	3.1	47,000	39	47,000	39	47,000	39	47,000	39
2,000	40	52,000	40	52,000	40	52,000	40	52,000	40
5,000	41	56,020	41	56,000	41	56,000	41	56,000	41
		61,000	42	61,000	42	61,000	42	61,000	42
		65,000	43	65,000	43	65,000	43	65,000	43
		70,000	44	70,000	44	70,000	44	70,000	44
		75,000	45	75,000	45	75,000	45	75,000	45
		81,000	46	81,000	46	81,000	46	81,000	46
		-		86,000	47	86,000	47	86,000	47
				92,000	48	92,000	48	92,000	48
				98.000	49	98.000	49	98,000	49
				104.000	50	104.000	50	104.000	50
				110.000	51	110.000	51	110.000	51
						117.000	52	117.000	52
						123.000	53	123,000	53
						130,000	54	130 000	 54
						137.000	55	137 000	 5-5
						144.000	56	144.000	56
							**	151 000	57
								150 000	
								122 000	0C 60
	•							100,000	7
								174,000	6U

Vessel sizes, by channel depths, used in determining benefits on Coal and Iron Ore, with

2 On coalto Japan and Iron Ore from Australia, benefits are based on costs for a vessel fleet from 15-56,000 dwt which could go thru the Panama Canal versus the costs of a vessel-fleer ranging from 51,000 dwt to maximum size for a particular depth. Only benefits applicable to that tonnage which would be shipped around the cape of Good Hepe was accepted on traffic from or to Japan and Australia.

NOTE: The designated incremental increase in vessel sizes for each depth of channel improvement is shown below the lines of deservation in this table.

	Origin	Destination	Via the Poname Canal	Via che Suez Canal	Via Cape of Cood Hope	Direct Bouring
Iron Ore unloaded	Dampier, Australia	Nobile, AL	10,861	12,830	12,012	¥/∧
at tippie	Porr Cartier, Quebog	Mobile, AL	¥/A	¥/A	N/A	2,600
	Point Ubu, Brazil	Pobile, AL N/A N/A N/A	H/A	4,754		
Iron Ore unloaded at TCI Terminal	Puerto Ordaz, Venz.	Mobile, AL	¥/A	N/A	¥/A.	Z,160
	Port Cartier, Quebec	Hobile, AL	W/A	H/A	N/A	2,600
	Victoria (Tubarao) Brazil	Mobile, AL	X/A	F/A	N/A	4,784
Gal (Import)	Richards Ray, So. Africa	Mobile, AL	M/A	¥/A	B/A	5,600
Conl (Export)	Mobile, AL	Japan 17	9,300	14,192	15,556	3/A
1	Hobile, AL	Italy ²⁷	¥/A	I/A	¥/A	5,684
	Mobile, AL	England/Europa ^{3/}	T/Å	¥/4	W/A	4,720
	Hobile, AL	E. Coast of So. Amer.4/	.N/A	3/4	¥/4,	3,084

DISTANCE OF OCEAN MILES (RAUTICAL) BETWEEN PORTS OF ORIGIN AND DESTINATION ON ACCEPTED CONVERCE

TABLE F-34

T/A - NOT APPLICABLE

1/Typical ports in Japan that receive coal from Mobile are: Kobe, Chits, Kiminsu, Tobats, Tukuyase, Kashina, and Kokohuma with Tabata being the principal port.

2/Typical ports in Italy are: Genora, Taranto, Venice, Salarno with Taranto being the principal port.

3/Typical ports for England/Europe ate: Oxelosund, Sweden; Rotterdam, Meth.; Cardiff and Port Talbert, Vales; with Part Talbert, Vales being the principal port.

SOURCE: Distance Between Ports - 1965, published by U. S. Maval Oceanographic Office, U. S. Nevy in document H.O. Publication No. 151.

4/Typical port for fast Cosst of So. American is Rie de Jameiro, Brazil;

Append1x F-87

i Uni -

CHANNEL DEPTHS AT FOREIGN PORTS

140. General. The m.ximum depths at foreign ports vary widely and in some cases are not well-defined in publications that are readily available. These depths were obtained from several sources which include shippers/ receivers, steamship agents and a widely used publication entitled, "Port Dues Charges and Accommodation - 1977-78 Issue," published by George Philip and Son Limited - London, England.

141. Iron Ore. Iron ore for U.S. Steel, being imported through their marine bulk handling plant at Mobile, originates at foreign ports where they have invested interest, and the pattern of shipments are fairly stable. Sources of supply are: Puerto Ordaz, Venz., Port Cartier, Quebec; and Tubarao, Brazil. The size of vessels used in the benefit analysis was restricted to drafts comparable to the maximum depths at the above ports of 45, 54, and 74 feet, respectively. Although the depths at Puerto Ordaz, Venz. located on the dredged channel of Boca Grande at the mouth of the Orinoco River, fluctuates from a minimum depth of 32 feet to a maximum of 45 feet, benefits for this commerce are based on a channel depth of 45 feet. These benefits are considered to be conservate since company officials state that tonnage now being loaded at Puerto Ordaz is iron ore fines. This type of ore is gradually being replaced with iron ore pellets, available at ports which are a greater distance from Mobile. They state, that, with a deeper channel available at Mobile larger vessels would be used in hauling iron ore pellets from alternative sources of supply, such as, Tubarao, Brazil with a sailing depth of 66 feet plus rise of tide, which can accommodate vessels up to 270,000 deadweight tons. The distance from Puerto Ordaz to Mobile is 2160 nautical miles. The distance from Tubarao is 4784 nautical miles. By use of Tubarao as alternative source of supply the unit savings would be increased from \$0.80 N.T. to \$2.21 N.T. giving an increase in average annual benefits of \$4.9 million. Consequently, benefits accepted in this report on iron ore from Puerto Ordaz are considered to be conservative. Sources of supply for iron ore imports for Jim Walters

Resources at Birmingham, AL and Republic steel at Gadsden, AL, being shipped through the Alabama State Docks' bulk handling terminal, seem to fluctuate from year to year. However, the primary source of supply is Dampier, Australia; Port Cartier, Quebec; and Point Ubu, Bravil, with maximum depths at these ports of 51, 54, and 60 feet, respectively. Vessel drafts were restricted to these depths for the benefit analysis in this report.

142. <u>Coal Imports</u>. Coal imported through Mobile has originated from several foreign ports in the past. However, the principals that are involved in the movements of this coal state that all future coal will be imported from Richards Bay, South Africa. The harbor depth of this port is 62 feet and the depths are being increased to 75 feet. No restrictions are placed on the maximum size vessel that can be used in this service, based on port depths at the foreign origin.

143. Coal Exports. The market areas for coal exports through Mobile can be any of the twenty-eight countries listed among the world's importers of significant tonnages of coal, with Japan being the major importer. Countries that receive coal exports from Mobile are divided int four regions defined as Japan, Italy, England/Europe and East Coast of South America. According to letters received from Ataka America, Inc., a principal coal broker that coordinates coal supply with steel mills in Japan, the major ports in Japan that received coal from Mobile are: Ohita, Kimitsu, Tabata, Fukiyama, and 'na with 'epths at piers of 89, 62, 57, 56, and 52 feer, respectively. Data from the U.S. Bureau of Census, published in their annual report, "U.S. Waterborne General Exports and Imports - 1975", indicate additional Japanese ports that receive coal from Mobile are: Kawasaki, Kobe, Yokohama, Chiba, and Tokyo. Channel depths at these ports are: 39, 43, 60, 67, and 30 seet, respectively. Because of the depths at major Japan se ports, it is assumed that versels hauling coal from Mobile to Japanese ports would not be restricted. Ports in the region designated as "Italy" have harbor depths that range from

30 feet at Venice to 66 feet at Genoa. Other minor ports in this region are: Iskenderun. Turkey and Alexandria, Egypt. The major Italian nort receiving coal from Mobile is Taranto with a harbor depth of 50 feet. Vessels delivering coal to this area will be restricted to a 50-foot draft. Principal ports that comprise the England Europe region are: Rotterdam, Neth.; Newport, England; Oxelosund, Sweden; Cardiff and Port Talbot, Wales. The major port is Port Talbot, Wales with a maximum harbor depth of 80 feet. Consequently, no restrictions are placed on the maximum size vessels that will deliver coal from Mobile to the England/Europe region. The fourth region designated as "East Coast of South America" is comprised of the following principal ports: Buenos Aires, Argentina; Paranam, Surinam; Vitoria, Brazil; and Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. The major port in this region is Rio de Janeiro, Brazil with a maximum depth in the anchorage basin of 70 feet. No restrictions are assessed on benefits due to the size and draft of vessels hauling coal to this region.

144. For more detailed information on depths at foreign ports, refer to table F-35.

ALTERNATIVE MODES, VESSEL UTILIZATION RATES, AND UNIT COSTS

145. Evaluation of benefits for the selected plan is based on transportation savings that would accrue primarily from increased loading of vessels presently using the project and from future utilization of larger, more economical vessels. Net transportation savings are herein defined as the difference between the transportation costs of the fleet of vessels which would use the existing 40-foot channel and the fleets of vessels that could utilize the various considered depths, i.e., 45, 50, 55 and 60 feet. The vessels used in the cost analysis were world fleet vessels expected to use Mobile Harbor.

TABLE 1-35

and the second
CHANNEL DEPTH AVAILABLE AT FOREIGN PORTS

	DEPTH	**** *********************************
PORT	<u> (Fr) </u>	<u>FTMARKS</u>
Iron Ore Imports for TCl Terminal		
Puerto Ordaz, Venz.	45	Fluctuates with depth in river
Port Cartier, Quebec	54	Depths in channel,
Tubarao, Brazil	74	Depths at Piers $\frac{1}{2}$
Iron Ore Imports for ASD Tipple		
Dampier, Australia	51	Minimum depth at berth
Port Cartier, Quebec	54	At mean low tide
Point Ubu, Brazil	60	Depths quoted by shipper
Goal Exports Through McDuffle Coal Te	rminal	
Ohita, Japan	89	Denths at berth
Kimitsu, Japan	62	Depths at borth
Tobata, Japan	57	Depths at berth
Kukuyara, Japan	56	-
Kashiwa, Japan	52	-
Kawasaki, Japan	39	-
Kobe, Japan	43	Channel depths not well-defined
Yokohama, Japan	6 0	-
Chiba, Japan	67	Depths at Private bertha
Tokyo, Japan	30	-
Taranic, Italy	50	<u>~</u>
Genoa, Italy	66	-
Savonia, Italy	-	Port can accommodate a 45,000 d.w.t. vessel
Venice, Italy	30	-
Alexandría, Egypt	30	Tonnage to this port was eliminated
Iskenderun, Turkey	30	
Rotterdam, Neth.	77	_
Newport, England	35	Max depth depends on berth used
Oxelosund, Sweden	42	-
Cardiff, Wales	42	Max depth depends on borth used
Port Talbot, Wales	80	-
Rio de Janciro, Brazil	70	Depths at anchorage - unloading by lighterage
Buenos Aíres, Argentina	28	• • •
Vitoria, Brazil	36	Depth at coal berth
Coal Imports		
Richards Bay, So. Africa	62	Being dredged to 75 feet

SOURCE: Port Ducs, Charges and Accosmodation - 1977-78, published by George Philip and Son, Limited, London, England

1/ Can accommodate vessels up to 270,000 d.w.t.

146. Factors considered in the transportation cost computations were: the d.w.t. range of vessels which would utilize the various channel depths; the composition of these vessel fleets based on the number of vessels in each size (d.w.t.) class and the total carrying capability in each class; "at sea" and "in port" hourly operating costs; distance of haul; vessel port time; vessel speed; registered vessel draft; type vessel used per commodity and the utilization factor per vessel type. All costs were adjusted to reflect the cost-per-ton.

147. The major components of the transportation cost computations are described in the following paragraphs. Because of their size, general cargo vessels would not benefit from the proposed project improvements and, therefore, were not included in the cost analysis.

148. <u>Vessel Operating Costs</u>. All costs for dry-bulk carriers reflect only costs for vessels operating under foreign flag registry. Vessel operating costs are in terms of costs-per-hour for the operation of the vessel while at sea and while in port. Hourly vessel operating costs were obtained from the Office, Chief of Engineers (OCE). A regression analysis was used to determine the costs for those vessel sizes not supplied by OCE. Costs-per-hour for dry-bulk carriers are based on the 1 January 1977 shipbuilding costs; however, OCE has authorized these price levels to remain in effect through 1 October 1978. Consequently, vessel costs in this report reflect an effective date of 1 October 1978.

149. Table F-36 contains the estimated average hourly operating costs and vessel characteristics for the size range of dry-bulk carriers expected to move iron ore and coal through Mobile Harbor.

CENERAL CHARACTERISTICS AND HOURLY OPERATING COST DATA FOR OCEAN-GOING DRY BULK CARRIERS EXPECTED TO TRANSPORT IRON ORE AND

COAL THROUGH MOBILE HARBOR FOR ALL DEPTHS CONSIDERED

TABLE F-36

(Foreign Flag)

Vessel Size (d.w.t) (long tons)	Longth ¹ (feet)	breadth ² (foet)	Naxiran Registered Draft (feet)	Insersion Factor (short tons per foot)	Payload 3 Capacity (short tons)	Average Speed (knots)	Port Time (hours)	Hourly Oper 1978 Pri At Sea	ating Costs ce Levels ⁴ In Port
15,000	521	<u>69</u>	29	811	16 128	 15	101		A 000
17,000	535	71	30	614	18 278	15	101	ə J04	Ş 282
20,000	554	74	31		20,270	10	101	378	292
23,600	571	77	32	1 20	24,204	1.5	102	401	309
26,000	557	d0	33	1 126	27 055	10	103	427	327
29,000	632	82	34	3 227	21,101	10	104	455	345
32,000	617	85	35	1 / 30	37 703	19 19	105	483	363
36,000	635	88	36	1 4 3 3	24,400	10	106	509	379
39,000	648	90	17	1 636	10,101	12	107	540	399
43,000	665	67	18	1,000	41,933	15	108	558	411
47,000	681	25	30	7 010	40, <u>2</u> 34	15	109	577	424
52,000	790	44	40	1,344	50,534	15	110	594	436
56,000	714	101	40	1.945	55,910	15	112	619	451
61.605	7.42	4 4 A	**	2,046	60,211	15	113	645	465
65.000	716	107	42	2,151	65,587	15	114	667	483
70,000	7.50	107	43	2,254	69,988	15	116	700	495
25,000	774	109	ખ દેવ 	2,357	75,264	15	117	721	507
81 1	770	220	43	2,460	80,640	15	118	738	518
56	1.10	443 142	46	2,563	87,091	15	120	760	523
001000	19 a. a. 1. 1. 1.		47	2,006	92,467	15	122	783	549
95,000	0.0	120	45	2,769	95,918	15	124	814	572
101.000	6-9-94 6-2-1	123	49	2,872	105,370	15	125	845	594
1104.000	300	126	50	2,975	111,821	15	127	873	614
117 pac	310	129	51	3,078	118,272	15	129	898	631
195 000	073 200	ي في ا	52	3,131	125,798	15	131	923	648
130 000	905	÷ز ا	53	3,284	132,250	15	133	942	661
	920	137	54	3,387	139,776	15	135	962	673
4973VEQ 387 350	¥+_	140	55	5,490	147,302	15	137	980	685
151 000	957	147	56	3,593	154,823	15	139	998	696
150,000	972	145	57	3,696		1.5	141	1.015	706
152,000	939	148	58	3,800		15	143	1,109	753
100.000	1,004	150	59	3,902		15	145	1,142	758
	1,021	ڏڏ L	60	4,006		15	148	1,181	765
15.00	1.037	156	<u> </u>	4,109		15	150	1,219	783

SOURCE: Data drawn from vessel operating statistics provided annually by OCE and f. The Dry Bilk Carrier Register - 1975, compiled and published by H. Clarksc

(a) ical enalysis on data extracted from y, Ltd., London, England. 2

¹Computed based on regression equation: LNG = 313.9 ± 1.694 (square root of d.w.t.).

²Computed based on regression equation: 3kD = 33.43 + .287 (square root of d.w.t.).

³Computed based on the following equation: d.w.t. (.96 X 1.12).

⁴The 1 January 1977 prices effective to 1 Getober 1978, as authorized by OCE.

Appendix F-93

ŝ,

150. Due to the absence of an obligated vessel fleet in Mobile Harbor, a tange in vessel sizes was utilized in the determination of benefits for each considered channel depth. The minimum size for dry-bulk carriers used in the cost computation is based on the minimum size of vessels presently servicing the harbor. The maximum size is based on the largest vessel that can use a particular channel depth light-loaded by 5 feet with a bottom clearance of 4 feet. The resulting range for each channel depth was weighted according to the availability of each vessel size in the world fleet. Weighting of the fleet for costing purposes consists of determining the total carrying capability in each vessel size (number of vessels in d.w.t. size X payload capacity of the vessel). Since the exact size of vessel to be utilized in the different movements is based totally on the availability at time of need, the weighting process was considered necessary for determination of unit transportation costs and savings.

151. Vessel Utilization. Vessel utilization is the measurement of time or distance a vessel is operating at sea with cargo aboard. In order to assign the operating conditions to a factor for application in adjusting unit costs and savings, the time or distance a vessel operates at sea loaded and empty is converted to a percentage of time a vessel is operating with cargo aboard.

152. A canvass was made to interview local steamship agents and charter brokers at Mobile and other locations for the purpose of obtaining information on vessels' activity as it pertains to their ability in obtaining cargo for the various shipping trades. It was revealed that utilization rates for vessels have a wide variation depending on numerous conditions that affect the shipowner's ability to secure cargo for their vessels. They vary by type of charter, number of competing vessels available in the world fleet, availability of cargo at ports-of-cull, shipowners' method

Appendix 5

of operation, type of cargo being handled, and trade routes the shipowners select for their operation. Because of the variations in the world shipping and trade business that affect shipowners' ability to secure cargo for their vessels, it is difficult to establish a pattern of vessel utilization for a particular commodity movement in a given time frame.

153. Shipping interests furnished judgment estimates on the utilization of vessels that would call at Mobile applicable to those hauling bulk cargo, such as, grain, coal, iron ore and crude oil. The following information in table F^{-37} was given.

Source Is	ron Ore	Iron Ore	(Percent) Coal	Coal	Coal	Grain
(<u>Fipple)</u>	(101)	(To Japan)	All other (Countries)	(Import)	(Export)
Strachan Shipp- ing CoMobile	<u> </u>	50	80	50		80
Norton Lilly & Co IncMobile	·. -	50	-	-	-	-
Fillette Grein & Co Nobile	-	-	80	80	80	80
Bulk Shipping Inc Nobile	-	-	83	63	67	63
Hansen & lideman, IncMobile	90	-	_	-	-	90
Stiegler Shipping Co Kobile	85	-	-		_	85
Page and Jones, Inc Mobile	75	-	-	_	-	75
Rodriquez & Sons -New York	65	-	74	-		50
J. H. Winchester & Co.,-New Yor	k <u>N/A</u>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/4
Typical Vessel Utilization	-					<u></u>
Factor	75	50	80	65	75	75

TABLE F-37

VESSEL UTILIZATION RATES

N/A Data not available or could not be released.

154. A more realistic method for obtaining data relative to determining an average utilization rate for vessels calling at Mobile would be to randomly board vessels docked at terminals in Mobile Harbor and examine their log records. A total of 15 vessels were boarded at Mobile during March and April of 1977. Of the 15 ships boarded, 8 made their logs available for examination. Data obtained from these logs include: name of vessel, type of charter, date of departure and arrival at next port-of-call for each voyage during a one- or two-year time frame, name of cargo or empty between each port-of-call, origin/destination of each trip, and vessel travel time or distance between each port.

155. The dry-bulk carriers that were examined ranged in size from 22,000 to 114,000 d.w.t. One vessel operated under a voyage charter, two operated under a combined time and voyage charter, and five operated under a time charter. These vessels hauled a variety of cargo during the course of a year or more. The major commodities hauled were: grain, coal, iron ore, bauxite, and alumina. It was found that utilization of vessels ranged from 50 to 71 percent, with an average utilization rate of 60 percent. There was no definite basis for the difference in utilization rates.

156. A utilization rate of 60 percent was applied to all traific except iron ore delivered to the TCI terminal at Mobile. A 50 percent utilization rate was applied to the latter commodity. Dry-bulk carriers hauling iron ore to the TCI terminal at Mobile usually operate on a time charter due to the relatively short haul and the need for an accurate schedule of delivery required by U.S. Steel.

157. <u>Sensitivity of Vessels' Utilization Rate</u>. A comparative benefit analysis was made on the movements of iron ore shipped from Puerto Ordaz, Venezuela; Port Cartier, Quebec; and Tubarao, Brazil to the TCI terminal at Mobile. The results of this analysis reveal the rate of reduction in benefits by the use of a vessel

utilization rate over 50 percent. Fine.its were computed to reflect a 50, 60, 70, 80 and 100 percent vessel itilization rate. A comparison of the benefits, using the vessel utilization rates shown above, indicates that a reduction in benefits of 8, 16, 25, and 41 percent would be realized by the use of a 60, 70, 80, and 100 percent utilization rate, respectively, when compared to the benefits for a 50 percent utilization rate. Benefits for varying channel depths adjusted by use of the various vessel utilization rates are shown in table F-38.

158. Unit Transportation Costs. The cost-per-ton was determined for each size bulk carrier presented in table F-39. This involved the costing of the vessels fully-loaded and light-loaded up to 5 feet in 1foot increments, dependent on the draft restrictions of the various considered channel depths. The 5-foot limit of light-loading is based on the fact that deep-draft vessels cannot economically operate when lightloaded beyond 5 feet. In a recent sampling of foreign flag dry-bulk carrier records, it was determined that these vessels are utilized, i.e., carrying cargo, 60 percent of the time. To reflect this in the unit cost computation for bulk carriers, a utilization factor of .60 was applied to the one-way distance, with the single exception of iron ore movements into the TCl terminal. The bulk carriers moving these iron ore shipments will return empty to point of origin thus yielding a utilization factor of .50.

159. The following sample shows the computation used to determine the cost-per-ton of cargo transported in a 56,000 d.w.t. dry-bulk carrier of foreign registry. Since it is assumed that dry-bulk carriers will have a 60 percent utilization rate, the distance of haul is increased by 40 percent for costing purposes. The cost-per ton or unit transportation costs were derived by dividing the total operating costs by the maximum volume of cargo which can be moved by that size vessel with varying channel depths.

A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF BENEFITS FOR IRON ORE (TCI) BY USE OF VESSELS' UTILIZATION RATES WITH A RANGE BETWEEN 50 TO 100 PRECENT¹

Voteol	Percentage		Channe	l Depths				
Itilization Rate	in Benefits	45'	50'	<u> </u>	60'			
	Average Annual Benefits (\$000) (1 October 1978 prices)							
50%	~	\$2,282 ²	\$3,369 ²	\$3,641 ²	\$3,811 ²			
60%	8%	2,095	3,092	3,340	3,495			
70%	167	1,908	2,817	3,040	3,180			
80%	25%	1,721	2,540	2,740	2,864			
100%	41."	1.348^{2}	1,988 ²	2,139 ²	2,2332			

l. These are not the benefits as shown in the report, but were computed for comparative purposes only.

²Benefits actually computed, other benefits were interpolated by use of a formula:

 $Y = \frac{X}{50} \times (\text{Benefit}_{50\%} - \text{Benefit}_{100\%}) + \text{Benefit}_{100\%},$ where X = (100\% utilization - desired % of utilization). Example: X = 70, benefit_{50\%} = \$2,282, benefit_{100\%} = \$1,348. Solution: 100\% - 70\% $\frac{1}{7}$ 50% x (2,282 - 1,348) + 1,348 = \$1,908.

CARRYING CAPABILITY OF EACH SIZE CLASS OF WORLD FLEET DRY-BULK CARRIERS EXPECTED TO USE MOBILE HARBOR FOR MOVEMENTS OF IRON ORE AND COAL

Vessel Size	Pavload Capacity ¹	Number of Vessel	s Carrying Capability ²	% Capability
15,000	16,128	194	3,128,832	2.05
17,000	18,278	177	3,235,277	2.12
20,000	21,504	222	4,773,888	3.13
23,000	24,730	245	6,058,752	3.98
26,000	27,955	282	7,883,366	5.17
29,000	31,181	306	9,541,325	6.26
32,000	34,406	334	11,491,737	7.55
36,000	38,707	247	9,560,678	6.28
39,000	41,933	151	6,331,853	4.16
43,000	46,234	105	4,854,528	3.19
47,000	50,534	90	4,548,096	2.99
52,000	55,910	83	4,640,563	3.05
56,000	60,211	89	5,358,797	3.52
61,000	65,587	92	6,034,022	3.96
65,000	69,888	86	6,010,368	3.95
70,000	75,264	80	6,021,120	3.95
75,000	80,640	62	4,999,680	3.28
81,000	87,091	40	3,483,648	2.29
86,000	92,467	29	2,681,549	1.76
92,000	98,918	30	2,967,552	1.95
98,000	105,370	31	3,266,458	2.14
104,000	111,821	31	3,466,445	2,23
110,000	118,272	31	3,666,432	2.41
117,000	125,798	28	3,522,355	2.31
123,000	132,250	25	3,306,240	2.17
130,000	139,776	24	3,354,624	2.21
137,000	:47,302	22	3,240,653	2 3
144,000	154,829	20	3,096,576	2.03
151,000	162,355	21	3,409,459	2.24
159,000	1.70,957	19	3,248,179	2.13
166,000	178,483	15	2,667,248	1.75
174,000	187,085	L O	1,870,848	1.23
182,000	195,686	3	587,059	0.39
		TOTALS	152,308,207	100.00

(Foreign Flag Registry)

¹Developed by the equation: d.w.t. x (.96 x 1.12).

²Carrying capability = (Payload capacity of a vessel) x (number of vessels in the size class).

*The number of vessels represent those 15 years old and under, plus those under construction or on order as of 1 January 1977.

SOURCE: Source for number of world fleet vessels in each class size was: Lloyd's Register of Shipping, Statistical Tables, 1975

SAMPLE COMPUTATION

Deadweight Tons:56,000Payload Capacity:60,211 tonsMaximum Draft:41 feetImmersion Factor:2,048 tons per footCosts-per-hour:\$645 at sea, \$465 in portOne-way distance:5684 nautical milesAdjusted distance:5684 divided by .60 = 9,473 nautical milesTime at sea:9,473 nautical miles divided by 15 knots = 632 hoursTime in port (origin and destination):113 hoursCost per adjusted distance:\$645 X 632 hours + \$465 X 113 hours = \$460,185Cost -per-ton light-loaded to 36 feet for a 40-foot channel:\$460,185 dividedby (60,211 - 2,048 X 5) = \$9.21Cost -per-ton fully-loaded to 41 feet for a 45-foot channel:\$460,185 dividedby 60,211 = \$7.64.\$7.64.\$45-foot channel:\$460,185 divided

160. In order to derive the weighted unit costs, the carrying capability was determined for each d.w.t. size vessel expected to use Mobile Harbor, ranging in size from 15,000 to 182,000 d.w.t. for drv-bulk carriers. The carrying capability represents the total amount of tonnage that can be hauled in each vessel for vessels in the selected fleet. Table 9-39 records the carrying capability of world fleet dry-bulk carriers which were considered in the analyses of the studied depths. Weighted unit costs were derived for each depth: i.e., 40, 45, 50, 55 and 60 feet, by multiplying the percentage of each vessel's carrying capability times the unit transportation costs of each size vessel and summing the products.

161. To expedite the computation of weighted average unit costs, a computer model was devised. An example computer printout of the subroutines and the resulting answers are shown in attachment 9-1. This exhibit covers iron one to TCI terminal at Mobile from the following origins: Puerto Ordaz, Venz., Port Cartier, Quebec; and Vitoria (Tubarao) Brazil.

162. The computer model also produces the annual tonnage and benefits for each year during the project life. From the annual benefits, an average annual equivalent benefit is produced for each movement of commerce.

163. On merchant ships routed through the Panama Canal, a charge of \$1.29 per Panama Canal ton for loaded vessels and \$1.03 per Panama Canal ton for those vessels moving through in ballast (empty). These figures were adjusted to reflect a cost per deadweight ton (d.w.t.) giving a cost of \$0.64 per d.w.t. loaded and \$0.51 per d.w.t. empty. These costs were further adjusted to reflect a round-trip vessel cost for transiting the Panama Canal, with a vessel utilization (loaded vs empty) factor of 60 percent. The following formula was used to arrive at the weighted cost per round-trip of \$1.18 per d.w.t.

Cost for the vessel transit-loaded \$0.64 d.w.t.

Cost for the vessel transit-empty \$0.51 d.w.t.

Round-trip costs:

100% vessel utilization (loaded 100% of trips)

\$0.64 + \$0.64 = \$1.28 per d.w.t.

50% vessel utilization (loaded 50% of trips)

\$0.64(loaded) + \$0.51(empty) = \$1.15 per d.w.t.

Costs interpolated for a 60% utilization factor by use of a formula:

 $y = \frac{x}{50} \times (R/T \cos t_{50\%} - R/T \cos t_{100\%}) + R/T \cos t_{50\%}$ where x = (50% utilization - desired % utilization) x = 60, R/T cost $_{50\%}$ = \$1.15, R/T cost $_{100\%}$ = \$1.28 60% - 50% ÷ 50% x (\$1.28 - \$1.15) + \$1.15 = \$1.18 per d.w.t.

164. Records on ship characteristics and toll charges for each vessel that transited the Panama Canal during a period from 1 May 1978 to 31 May 1979 was obtained from the Panama Canal Company. These records revealed that the toll charge is \$1.29 per P.C. ton (loaded) and \$1.03 per P.C. ton (empty). The weighted average large per d.w.t. for dry bulk carriers was determined by dividing the total toll charges for these vessels that transited the

Panama Canal during this time period by the total d.w.t. of these vessels. The weighted average for the Panama Canal toll charges of \$1.18 per d.w.t. were included in the total operating costs of dry bulk carriers in determining the unit (per ton) costs for a fleet of ships hauling iron ore from Australia and coal to Japan under the present channel condition at Mobile.

UNIT SAVINGS

165. <u>General</u>. Unit savings are measured by the difference is per-ton costs for a fleet of vessels that can operate on the existing 40-foot ship channel and the costs for a fleet of vessels that can operate with increased channel depths ranging from 41 to 60 feet. Savings are reported for channel depths of 45, 50, 55, and 60 feet only, as these are the only deaths that are being considered in the benefit/cost analysis. These savings reflect vessel operating costs effective as of 1 October 1978.

166. Factors that affect the unit eavings and, in some cases, restrict the savings, are: channel depths at foreign ports, vessel utilization rate, traffic that can be routed by more than one route, such as, through the Panama Canal or via the Cape of Good Hope, South Africa, distance of haul, and size of vessel fleet.

167. There is a greater variation in vessel operating costs on iron ore moving from Australia via the Panama Canal versus routing around the Cape of Good Hope than for those custs associated with coal exports by the same routings to Japan and other Far East countries. This is mainly due to the difference in miles of haul by the two routes from different origins/ destinations. A comparison of costs by the alternative routings is shown in table F-40.

27 (P

الم المركز ال المركز
COMPARISON OF PER-TON TRANSPORTATION COSTS ON IRON ORE AND COAL ROUTED THEOUGH THE PANAMA CANAL VERSUS COSTS FOR VESSELS ROUTED AROUND THE CAPE OF GOOD HOPE

ama Canal Costs ⁴ \$20.75 \$ 8.57 autical miles	Via Cape - Miles 20,020	S12.18
\$20.75 \$ 8.57 autical miles	20,020	\$12.18
\$20.75 \$ 8.57 autical miles	20,020	\$12.18
\$ 8.57 autical miles		
autical miles		
		an a
harabalan minin madan wasan pinapi pinakan dan daripi ya na mana di manga bi kaya sa	ում որիս-սրանստաս ստանքները, տեղ դրգել «Եվիքան»՝ ան։ -ւմս ։ Դ	տաց ացրուսչչացցանացար արուոր, օ ուսար է օգն128.a
\$17.6?	25,926	
\$ 2-12		
nautical mile	5	
. for iron or t. vessel utiliz	e and 20-56,04 ation rate.	00 d.w t. for
	autical miles S17.6? S 2.12 nautical mile . for iron or t. vessel utiliz harges.	autical miles SI7.67 25,926 S 2.12 nautical miles . for iron ore and 20-56,04 t. vessel utilization rate. harges.

168. Iron Ore. Unit savings on imported iron ore vary with each movement only to the extent that: miles of haul are different; different utilization rates for vessels; and alternative routing available when shipped from Far East countries. On iron ore for the TCI terminal at Mobile, the origins are: Puerto Ordaz, Venz.; Port Cartier, Quebec; and Pubarao. Brazil. The unit savings for these movements are shown in table F-41.

169. Iron ore moving through the Alabama State Docks bulk handling plant (Tipple) originates at Post Cartier, Quebec: Point Ubu, Brazil; and Dampier, Australia. Unit savings on iron ore from Port Cartier and Point Ubu are shown in table F-42. Unit savings on iron ore from Dampier, Australia are given in table F-43.

170. Coal Imports. Unit savings on coal imports range from \$1.03 per ton for a 45-foot channel to \$2.43 per ton for a 60-foot channel. This coal originating at Richards Bay, South Africa, as no restrictions assessed against the unit savings other than the 60 percent vessel utilication rate. Because of its geographical location and 62-foot channel depth, there is no alternative routing and the channel depth is greater than those under study for Mobile Harbor. The unit savings that can be realized by greater channel dimensions at Mobile given at 5-foot increments are shown in table F-44.

TABLE	F-41

UNIT SAVING ON IRON ORE DESTINED TO TCI TERMINAL AT MOBILE

			From				
Channel Depths	Puerto Ordaz, N	Venczuela	Port Cartier,	Quebec	Tubarao, Brazil		
	Cost (per ton) ²	Savings	Cost (per ton) ²	Savings	Cost (per ton) ²	Savings	
40	\$5.66	-	\$6.56	-	\$11.04	-	
45	5.11	\$0.55	5.92	\$0 .6 4	9.96	\$1.08	
50	4.86	0.803	5.56	1.00	9,35	1.69	
55	4.86	0.80 ³	5.26	1.30	8.83	2.21	
60	4.86	0.803	5.10	1.464	8.49	2.55	

Unit savings reflect a 50 percent vessel utilization rate.

Costs calculated by use of a computer model.

Savings restricted to a 49-foot channel depth due to the 45-foot channel depth available at Puerto Ordaz, Venezuela.

Savings restricted to a 58-foot channel depth due to the 54-foot channel depth available at Port Cartier, Quebec.



Unit savings on iron ore destined to the Alabama State Docks "Tripple" at Mobile,

except from Dampier, Austrailia.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Erom			
Channel		Port Cartier	, <u>Cuebec</u>	Point Ubu, Br	azil	
Depths	<u> </u>	Cost (per ton)	<u>Unit savings</u>	Cost (per ton)	Unit savings	
40		\$5.67	-	\$9.40	-	
45		5.12	\$0.55	8.48	Ş0 . 92	
50		4.81	0.86	7.97	1.44	
55		4.55	1.12	7.52	1.88	
60		4.41	1.26 ³	7.23	2.17	

Unit savings reflect a 60 percent vessel utilization rate.

Costs calculated by use of a computer model.

Appendix F-106

3

Savings restricted to a 58-foot channel depth due to the 54-foot channel depth available at Port Cartier, Quebec.

	Vessel Co	sts per ton	
Channel Depths	Via Panama Canal with a vessel fleet range: 15,000-56,000 d.w.t. ¹	Via Cape of Good Hope with a vessel fleet range: 61,000-182,000 d.w.t. ²	Unit Savings (per_ton)
40	\$20.75	\$ -	\$ -
41	20.75	17.24	3.51
42	20.75	16.74	4.01
43	20.75	16.13	4.62
44	20.75	15.50	5.25
45	20.75	14.91	5.84
46	20.75	14.38	6.37
47	20.75	13.98	6.77
48	20.75	13.66	7.09
49	20.75	13.40	7.35
50	20.75	13.18	7.57
55	20.75	12.18	8.57
60	20.75	11.58	8.573

UNIT SAVINGS ON IRON ORE IMPORTED FROM DAMPIER AUSTRALIA

TABLE F-43

¹ Vessel fleet size restricted by the 41-foot depth of the Panama Canai. Costs include Panama Canal toll charges.

² Costs based on unrestricted vessel operation except channel depths at Nobile.

³ Savings are restricted to a 55' channel depth at Mcbile due to the 51' channel depth available at Dampier, Australia.

이 모두 말에 다니 것같아? 문제한 가족했다. 이 같은

이 것 같은 것 같은 방법을 들었다. 것 이 문제 가격을

UNIT SAVINGS ON COAL IMPORTS FROM RICHARDS BAY, SOUTH AFRICA

Channel Depths	Costs (per ton) ²	Unit Savings
40	\$10.43	
45	9.40	\$1.03
50	8.82	1,61
55	8.33	2.10
60	8.00	2.43

Costs were calculated by computer model.

²Costs based on a fleet of dry-bulk carriers ranging in size from 15,000 to 182,000 d.w.t. with limitations for each channel depth.

171. <u>Coal Exports</u>. Two methods for calculating unit savings on coal exports from Mobile were used in this analysis. On coal destined to Japan, the lowest cost alternative routing, with a 40-foot channel available at Mobile, would be via the Panama Canal. The vessel operating cost by this route, using a fleet of dry-bulk carriers ranging from 20,000 to 56,000 d.w.t., is \$17.67 per short ton, which includes the Panama Canal toll charges. On a vessel fleet moving via Cape of Good Eope, the operating costs with greater channel depths available at Mobile range rom \$22.03 per ton with a 41-foot channel available to \$14.78 per ton with a 60-foot channel available. No benefits can be realized by deepening for depths between 40 and 47 feet. The unit savings range from \$0.22 per ton for 48-foot channel to \$2.89 per ton for a 60-foot channel. More detailed figures on unit costs and savings for coal exports to Japan are shown in table F-45.

general de la companya de la company La companya de la comp

UNIT SAVINGS ON COAL EXPORTS TO JAPAN¹

Vessel Operating Cost (per ton)²

Channel Deoths			
(ft)	Via Panama Canal ³	Via Cape of Good Hope ⁴	Unit Savings
40	\$17.67	\$ -	Ş -
41	17.67	22.03	
42	17.67	21.42	-
43	17.67	20,61	-
44	17.67	19.81	-
45	17.67	19.06	-
46	17.67	18.37	~
47	17.67	17.86	-
48	17.67	17.45	0.22
49	17.67	17.12	0.55
50	17.67	16.84	0.83
55	17.67	15.55	2.12
60	17.67	14.78	2.89

¹The principal ports are: Tabuta, Tokyo, Ohita, Kimitsu and Fukuyama. ²Costs were calculated by computer model.

³Costs for a fleet of dry-bulk carriers 20-56,000 d.w.t. restricted by the depth of the Panama Canal and 40-foot channel at Mobile. Costs include

the Panama Canal toll charges.

⁴Costs for a fleet of dry-bulk carriers 61-182,000 d.w.t. with channel depth at Mobile the only restrictions in vessel operation.

172. The other method of determining unit savings on coal exports to countries other than to Japan is by use of the computer model that gives the costs per-ton for a designated fleet of vessels for each channel depth under study. Unit savings on coal exports to the three regions other than Japan are given in table F-46.

TABLE F-46

UNIT SAVINGS ON COAL EXPORTS DESTINED TO COUNTRIES OTHER THAN JAPAN

			То			
	Italy		England/Europe ²		E. Coast of So. America	
Channel Depth (ft)	Costs ⁴ (Per ton)	Unit Savings	Costs ⁴ (Per ton)	Unit Savings	Costs ⁴ (Per ton)	Unit Saving s
40	\$10.57	\$ -	\$8.98	\$ -	\$6.28	ş -
45	9.53	1.04	8.10	0.88	5.66	0.62
50	8.94	1.63	7.60	1,38	5.32	0.96
55	8.53 ⁵	2.045	7.17	1.81	5.03	1.25
60	8.53 ⁵	2.045	6.90	2.08	4.83	1,45
60	8.53	2.04	6.90	2.08	4.83	1,45

¹The principal ports in this area are: Taranto, Genoa and Venice, Italy; and Iskenderun, Turkey. Tonnage to Alexandria, Egypt was eliminated.

²The principal ports in this area are: Newport England; Cardiff and Port Talbot, Wales; Glasgow, Scotland; and Antwerp, Belgium; Bunkerque, France; Goteborg, Sweden; and Kristiansand, Norway.

³The principal ports in this area are: Vitoria and Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

⁴Costs were calculated by use of a computer model.

⁵Costs and benefits are restricted to a 54-foot channel at Mobile due to the limited depths at ports in the Italy region.

173. <u>Summary of 1975 Benefits</u>. A summary of total initial-year (1975) transportation benefits that would have been realized from the considered improvements at Mobile Harbor is presented in table F-47.

TABLE F-47

INITIAL-YEAR (1975) BENEFITS (THOUSAND DOLLARS)

	C	hannel Dep	ths (feet)	
Commodity	45	50	55	60
Iron Ore Imports (ASD Tipple)	\$1,480	\$1,998	\$2,340	\$2,427
Iron Ore Imports (TCI Terminal)	1,724	2,555	2,760	2.888
Coal Imports (ASD Tipple)	382	597	780	900
Coal Exports (McDuffie Island)	745	1,732	2,928	3,519
Total Initial-Year Benefits	\$4,331	\$6,882	\$8,809	\$9,734

174. Unit Savings and Benefits for 1986. As previously stated, the 1975 base traffic was extended to 1986 as a new base because additional commerce is expected to be developed due to new coal contracts. Consequently, the unit savings and benefits for 1986 are established to show the savings that would be developed by this date. Unit savings and benefits on each commodity movement for 1986 are presented in tables F-48, F-49, and F-50.

ANNUAL SAVINGS ON IRON ORE IMPORTS AT MOBILE FOR YEAR 1986

		Channel D	epth (fee	et)
ITEM	45	50	55	60
FROM PUERTO ORDAZ, VENEZUELA 1				
Tons (Thousands)	2,594	2,594	2,594,	2,594
Unit Savings	\$0.55	\$0.80 ⁴	\$0.804	\$0.80 ⁴
Total Savings (Thousands)	\$1,429	\$2,070	\$2,070	\$2,070
FROM PORT CARTIER, QUEBEC ²				
Tons (Thousands)	369	369	369	369_
Unit Savings	\$0.59	\$0.92	\$1.20	\$1.34 ⁵
Total Savings (Thousands)	\$ 219	\$ 340	\$ 444	\$ 497
FROM VITORIA (TUBARAO), BRAZIL ¹				
Tons (Thousands)	337	337	337	337
Unit Savings	\$1.08	\$1.69	\$2.21	\$2.55
Total Savings (Thousands)	\$ 365	\$ 56 9	\$ 7 45	\$ 860
FROM DAMPIER, AUSTRALIA 3 7				
Tons (Thousands)	224	224	224.	224
Unit Savings	\$5.84	\$7.57	\$8.576	s8.57 ⁶
Total Savings (Thousands)	\$1,305	\$1,692	\$1,915	\$1,915
FROM POINT UBU, BRAZIL 3				
Tons (Thousands)	232	232	232	232
Unit Savings	\$0,92	\$1.44	\$1.88	\$2.17
Total Savings (Thousands)	\$ 214	\$ 334	\$ 437	\$ 504
TOTAL SAVINGS FOR IRON ORE Totals may not balance due to rou	\$3,532 nding	\$5,005	\$5,611	\$5,846
¹ For iron ore unloaded at Marine B destined to U.S. Steel at Birming	ulk Termin ham.	nal (TCI)	below I-1	0 tunnels
² For iron ore currently being unlo ASD "Tipple" destined to Jim Walt Birmingham, AL and Republic Steel	aded at Ma ers Resour at Gadsde	arine Bulk rce Corp. en, AL.	Terminal and U.S.	(TCI) and Steel at
3 For iron ore currently being unlo Walters Resource Corp. at Birming	aded at AS ham, AL am	5D "Tipple nd Republi	" destine c Steel a	d to Jim t Gasdaen, Al
Savings restricted to a 49' chann	el.			
Savings restricted to a 48' chann	el			
Savings restricted to a 55' chann	el.			
7	toll ohome		d for the	uranal floot

Savings reflect the Panama Canal tell charge assessed for the vessel fleet operating under present channel conditions at Mobile.

Č,

ANNUAL SAVINGS ON COAL IMPORTS AT MOBILE FOR YEAR 1986

an a	Char	، میں بوجود میں نام میں ہ		
	45	50	55	60
FROM: RICHARDS BAY, SOUTH AFRICA				
Tons (Thousands)	896	896	896	896
Unit Savings	\$1.03	\$1.61	\$2.10	\$2.43
Total Savings (Thousands)	\$ 923	\$1,441	\$1,883	\$2,175

ANNUAL SAVINGS ON COAL EXPORTS AT MOBILE FOR YEAR $198 \hat{\sigma}$

	Channel Depths (feet)				
ITEM	45	50	55	60	
TO JAPAN					
Tons (Theusands)	4, 77	4,177	4,177	4,177	
Unit Savings	None	\$0.83	\$2.12	\$2.89	
Total Savings (Thousards)	None	\$3,467	\$8,855	\$12,072	
TO ITALY					
Tons (Thousands)	3,211	3,211	3,211	3,211	
Unit Savings	\$1.04	\$1.63	\$2.04	\$2.04	
Total Savings	\$3,352	\$5,234	\$6,544	\$6,544	
TO ENGLAND/EUROPE					
Tons (Thousands)	1,070	1,070	1,070	1,070	
Unit Savings	\$0 .88	\$1.38	\$1.81	\$2.08	
Total Savings (Thousands)	\$ 947	\$1,479	\$1,932	\$2,230	
TO EAST COAST OF SOUTH AMERICA					
Tons (Tho)	476	476	476	476	
Unit Savings	\$0.62	\$0 .96	\$1.25	\$1.45	
Total Savings (Thousands)	\$ 293	\$ 457	\$ 597	\$ 688	
TOTAL SAVINGS FOR COAL EXPORT	\$4,5 92	\$10,637	\$17,928	\$21,534	

¹Benefits restricted to those for a 54' channel because of channel depths at foreign ports.

175. Summary of Unit Savings for 1986 Traffic. Estimates of the transportation benefits which would result from the considered improvement were developed by comparing the transportation costs by use of a 40-foot channel on that commerce which would benefit from the deeper channels with the transportation costs that are expected to occur with the improvements. The savings would result principally from economics of scale associated with the use of larger, more efficient ships and increased loadings of ships. A summary of average unit savings that would be realized in 1986, based on total benefits divided by the total tonnage for each commodity, is presented in table F-51.

176. <u>Summary of Total Navigation Benefits for 1986</u>. A summary of benefits developed by application of unit savings applied to the 1986 tonnage on each commodity movement giving a composite of benefits is shown in table F-52.

FUTURE AND AVERAGE ANNUAL EQUIVALENT BENEFITS

177. Transportation Benefits. Projected tonnage, unit savings, and benefits for each 5-foot increment of depth are shown in tables F-53 through F-55. Average annual equivalent benefits are also shown on these tables and are based on the use of a 6 7/8 percent interest rate.

178. Iron Ore Imports. Detailed information on unit savings and benefits for iron ore imports with average annual benefits for each movement is presented in table F-53. Uniform increase in iron ore imports is expected between 1995 and 2035 with no growth between 2035 and 2044. The only constraints that affect benefits are the channel depth at foreign ports.

179. <u>Coal Imports</u>. All coal imports will originate at Richards Bay, South Africa. No increase in tonnage is expected over the SO-year project life (1995-2044). Detailed information on benefits for coal imports is presented in table F-54.
TABLE F-51



SUMMARY OF 1986 COMMERCE* AND AVERAGE UNIT SAVINGS FOR ALTERNATIVE CHANNEL DEPTHS INVESTIGATED

	1986 <u>Commerce</u>		Saving	s/Ton	
	(Thousands of Tons) 45	<u>50</u>	55	60
Commerce through Bulk Terminals above 1-10 Tunnel	<u>s</u>		• •		
Iron Ore (import)	656	\$2.48	\$3.35	\$3.93	\$4.07
Coal (import)	896	1.03	1.61	2.10	2.43
Commerce through Bulk Terminals in Mobile below I	-10 Tunnels				
Iron Ore (import)	3,099	\$0.61	\$0.91	\$0 .98	\$1.02
Coal (export)	8,934	0.96 ¹	1.19	2.01	2.41

*Includes only commerce that would benefit from deeper channel.

¹Based on tonnage and savings for traffic to all destinations except Japan. No savings on traffic to Japan with a 45-foot channel at Mobile. Tonnage excluding Japan is 4,757,000.

Type of Commodity	Channel (Depth in Feet)							
Commerce through bulk terminals in Mobile above I-10 Tunnels ¹	45	50	55	60				
Iron Ore (import)	\$1,630,000	\$2,198,000	\$2,577,000	\$2,671,000				
Coal (import)	923,000	1,441,000	<u>1,883,000</u>	2,175,000				
Sub-Total	2,553,000	3,639,000	4,460,000	4,846,000				
Commerce through bulk terminals in Mobile below I-10 Tunnels								
Iron Ore (import)	\$1,902,000	\$2,807,000	\$3,034,000	\$3,175,000				
Coal (export)	4,592,000	10,637,000	17,928,000	21,534,000				
Sub-Total	6,494,000	13,444,000	20,962,000	24,709,JOO				
Total Benefits for Mobile Channel Improvement	\$9,047,000	\$17,083,000	\$25,422,000 ²	\$29,555,000				

SUMMARY OF NAVIGATION BENEFITS FOR ALTERNATIVE CHANNEL DEPTHS INVESTIGATED FOR YEAR 1986

TABLE F-52

¹This traffic will be diverted to terminals below I-10 Tunnels.

Average annual costs for the recommended 55-foot channel are \$22,028,000. The B/C ratio in 1986 is 1.15.

TABLE F-53

ANNUAL TONNAGE AND BENEFILTS ON TRON ORE IMPORTS

						Channel D	pths (feet)		
	Tonnaze		- 45 Savines		50 Svines	S:	<u></u>		Savines
<u>YEAR</u>	(000)	Uniz	Tot. 1 (000)	Unit	lota1(000)	Unit	Total(000)	Unit	Total (000)
				FROM:	PUERTO ORI	AZ, VENZ.	2		
1995 ¹	2,887	\$.55	\$1,591	\$.80	\$2,304	5.80	\$2,304	\$.80	\$2,304
2000	3,087	.55	1,701	.80	2,463	.80	2,463	.80	2,463
2010	3, 593	. 55	1,980	.80	2,867	.80	Z,867	. 60	2,867
2020	4,140	. 55	2,281	.80	3,304	.80	3,304	.80	3, 304
2030	4,729	.55	2,606	. 80	3,774	.80	3,774	.80	3,774
2035	5,162	. 55	2.844	.80	4.119	- 80	4,119	. 80	4,119
2044	5,162	. 55	2.844	. 80	4,119	. 80	4,119	.80	4,119
Avg.	Annúal Ben	efits	1,901		1,796		2.796		2,796
				FROM:	PORT CARTI	ER. OUEBEC	3		
1995	410	. 59	243	. 92	378	1.20	. 494	1.34	553
2000	4 38	. 59	260	.92	405	1.20	529	1.34	591
2010	511	. 59	302	. 92	472	1.20	615	1.34	688
2020	- 589	.59	349	. 92	543	1.70	709	1.34	793
2030	672	. 59	398	. 92	620	1.20	810	1.34	905
2035	734	. 59	436	.92	677	1.20	883	1.34	988
2044	734	. 59	436	.92	677	1.20	883	1.34	988
Avg.	Annual Ben	efits	296		460		600		672
				FROM:	VITORIA (T	UBARAO), B	RAZIL		
1995 ¹	175	1.08	406	1.69	633	2.21	829	2.55	957
2000	401	1.08	434	1.69	677	2.21	886	2.55	1,024
2010	467	1.08	505	1.69	788	2.21	1,032	2.55	1,191
2020	538	1.08	582	1.69	908	2.21	1.189	2.55	1,372
2030	614	1.08	665	1.69	1,037	2.21	1,358	2.55	1,568
2035	670	1.08	726	1.69	1,132	2.21	1,482	2.55	1,711
2044	670	1.08	726	1.69	1,132	2.21	1,482	2.55	1,711
Ave-	Annual Ben	efits	493		769		1,006		1,162
				FROM:	POINT UBU,	BRAZIL	-		
1995 ¹	259	. 92	238	1.44	372	1.98	486	2.17	561
2000	276	.92	255	1.44	397	1.88	519	2.17	600
2010	322	.92	297	1.44	462	1.58	605	2.17	698
2020	371	. 92	342	1.44	533	1,88	697	2.17	805
2030	424	.92	390	1.44	609	1.88	796	2.17	919
2035	462	. 92	426	1.44	564	1.88	869	2.17	1,003
2044	462	. 92	426	1.44	664	1.88	869	2.17	1,003
Avg.	Annual Ben	efits	289		451		590		681
-				FROM:	DAMPIER, A	USTRALIA4			
1995*	249	5.84	1,454	7.57	1,885	8.37	2,134	8.57	2,134
2000	266	5.84	1,553	7.57	2,014	8.57	2,280	8.57	2,280
2010	310	5.84	1,810	7.57	2,347	8.57	2,657	8.57	2,657
2020	357	5.84	2,085	7.37	2,702	8.57	3,059	8.57	3,059
2030	407	5.84	2,377	7.57	3,081	8.57	3,488	8.57	3,488
2035	445	5.84	2,599	7.37	3,369	8.57	3,814	8.57	3,814
2044	445	5.84	2,599	7.57	3,369	8.57	3,814	8.57	3,814
Avg.	Annual Sen	efits	1,764		2,287		2,590		2,590

¹First year of project life.

2 Benefits are restricted to a 49' channel depth because of the 45' channel depth available at origin.

Benefits are restricted to a 58' channel dopth because of the 54' channel depth evaluable at origin.

Benefits are restricted to a 55' channel depth because of the 51' channel depth available at origin. NOTE: Total savings may vary due to rounding. Appendix 5 F-118

Annual Connage (000)	Sa	45 vings	<u> </u>	50		-55		40	
Connage (000)	Sa	vings	6.		and the second se		60 Savings		
(000)			58	vings	Sa	vings			
	Unit	Total (000)	Unit	<u>Total (000)</u>	Unit	Total (000)	Unit	Total (000	
			FROM	: RICHARDS B.	AY, SOU	THAFRICA			
896	\$1.03	\$923	\$1.61	\$1,441	\$2.10	\$1,883	52.43	52,175	
396	1.03	923	1.61	1,441	2.10	1,883	2,43	2,175	
896	1.03	923	1.61	1,441	2.10	1,883	2 43	2,175	
896	1.03	92 3	1.61	1,441	2.10	1,883	2.43	2,175	
896	1.03	923	1.61	1,441	2.10	1,883	2,43	2,175	
896	1.03	923	1.61	1,441	2.10	1,883	2.43	2,175	
896	1.03	923	1.61	1,441	2.10.	1,883	2.43	2,175	
l Bene	fits	923		1,441		1,883		2,175	
	396 396 396 396 396 396 396 396 396	396 \$1.03 396 1.03 396 1.03 396 1.03 396 1.03 396 1.03 396 1.03 396 1.03 396 1.03 396 1.03 396 1.03 396 1.03 396 1.03 396 1.03	396\$1.03\$9233961.039233961.039233961.039233961.039233961.039233961.039233961.039233961.039233961.039233961.039233961.0392331Benefits923	FROM 896 \$1.03 \$923 \$1.61 896 1.03 923 1.61 896 1.03 923 1.61 896 1.03 923 1.61 896 1.03 923 1.61 896 1.03 923 1.61 896 1.03 923 1.61 896 1.03 923 1.61 896 1.03 923 1.61 896 1.03 923 1.61 896 1.03 923 1.61 896 1.03 923 1.61 896 1.03 923 1.61 896 1.03 923 1.61	FROM: RICHARDS B. 896 \$1.03 \$923 \$1.61 \$1,441 896 1.03 923 1.61 1,441 896 1.03 923 1.61 1,441 896 1.03 923 1.61 1,441 896 1.03 923 1.61 1,441 896 1.03 923 1.61 1,441 896 1.03 923 1.61 1,441 896 1.03 923 1.61 1,441 896 1.03 923 1.61 1,441 896 1.03 923 1.61 1,441 896 1.03 923 1.61 1,441 896 1.03 923 1.61 1,441 896 1.03 923 1.61 1,441 896 1.03 923 1.61 1,441 896 1.03 923 1.61 1,441	FROM: RICHARDS BAY, SOUT 896 \$1.03 \$923 \$1.61 \$1,441 \$2.10 896 1.03 923 1.61 1,441 2.10 896 1.03 923 1.61 1,441 2.10 896 1.03 923 1.61 1,441 2.10 896 1.03 923 1.61 1,441 2.10 896 1.03 923 1.61 1,441 2.10 896 1.03 923 1.61 1,441 2.10 896 1.03 923 1.61 1,441 2.10 896 1.03 923 1.61 1,441 2.10 896 1.03 923 1.61 1,441 2.10 896 1.03 923 1.61 1,441 2.10 896 1.03 923 1.61 1,441 2.10 896 1.03 923 1.61 1,441 2.10 81 Benefits 923 1.61 1,441 3.10	FROM: RICHARDS BAY, SOUTH AFRICA896\$1.03\$923\$1.61\$1,441\$2.10\$1,8838961.039231.611,4412.101,8838961.039231.611,4412.101,8838961.039231.611,4412.101,8838961.039231.611,4412.101,8838961.039231.611,4412.101,8838961.039231.611,4412.101,8838961.039231.611,4412.101,8838961.039231.611,4412.101,8838961.039231.611,4412.101,8838961.039231.611,4412.101,8838961.039231.611,4411.01,8838961.039231.611,4411.01,883	FROM: RICHARDS BAY, SOUTH AFRICA896\$1.03\$923\$1.61\$1,441\$2.10\$1,883\$2.438961.039231.611,4412.101,8832.438961.039231.611,4412.101,8832.438961.039231.611,4412.101,8832.438961.039231.611,4412.101,8832.438961.039231.611,4412.101,8832.438961.039231.611,4412.101,8832.438961.039231.611,4412.101,8832.438961.039231.611,4411.101,8832.438961.039231.611,4411.8832.438961.039231.611,4411.8832.438961.039231.611,4411.8832.438961.039231.611,4411.8832.438961.039231.611,4412.101,8832.438961.039231.611,4411.8832.438961.039231.611,4411.8832.43	

TABLE F-54

ANNUAL TONNAGE AND BENEFITS ON COAL IMPORTS

l First year of project life.

	Annual		45		50	<u>Jannel O</u>	upts (feet) 55	6	0
	Tonnage		Savines	S	avings		avings	Sa	vings
YEAR	(000)	Unit	Tot 11 (000) 3	Unit	Total(000)	l'nit	Total (000) 5	Unit	Total(000)
				<u>TO: J</u>	<u>APAN</u>				
1995	4,653	None	None	\$0.83	\$3,862	\$2.12	\$ 9,865	\$2.89	\$13,448
2000	4,937	None	None	0.83	4,098	2.12	10,467	2.89	14,269
2010	4,937	None	None	0.83	4,098	2.12	10,467	2.89	14,269
. 202 0	4 937	None	None	0.83	4,098	2.12	10,467	2.89	14,269
2030	4,937	None	Nonē	0.83	4,098	2.12	10,467	2.89	14,269
2035	4,937	None	None	0.83	4,098	2.12	10,467	2.89	14,269
2044	4,937	None	None	0.83	4,098	2.12	10,467	28. C	14,269
Avg.	Annual Dene	fits	Noné		4,055		10,356		14,118
_				<u>T0: 1</u>	TALY ²				
19951	3,577	\$1.04	\$3,734	1.63	5,831	2.04	7,290	2.04	7,290
20 00	3,795	1.04	3,962	1.63	6,187	2.04	7,735	2.04	1,735
20 10	3,795	1.04	3,962	1.63	6,187	2.04	7,735	2.04	7,735
2020	3,795	1.04	3,962	1.63	6,187	2.04	7,735	2.04	7,735
2030	3,795	1.04	3,962	1.63	6,187	2.04	7,735	2.04	7,735
2035	3,795	1.04	3,962	1.63	6,187	2.04	7,735	2.04	7,735
2044	3,795	1.04	3,962	1.63	6,187	2.04	7,735	2.04	7,735
Avg.	Annual Bene	fits	3,920		6,121		7,653		7,653
				<u>TO: EN</u>	GLAND/EUROP	F.			
1995 ¹	1,192	0.89	1,055	1,38	1,647	1.91	2,153	2.08	2,484
2000	1,265	0.99	1,119	1.38	1,748	1.81	2,284	2.08	2,636
2010	1,265	0.89	1,119	1,38	1,748	1.81	2,284	2.08	2,636
2020	1,265	0.89	1,119	1.38	1,748	1.81	2,284	2.08	2,636
2030	1,265	0.89	1,119	1.38	1,748	1.81	2,284	2.08	2,636
2035	1,265	0.89	1,119	1.38	1,748	1.81	2,284	2.08	2,636
2044	1,265	0.89	1,119	1.38	1,748	3.81	2,284	2.08	2,636
Avg.	Annual Bene	fits	1,108		1,729		2,260		2,608
_				<u>TO: EA</u>	ST COAST OF	SOUTH AN	ERICA		
1995 ¹	5 30	0.62	327	0.96	510	1.25	665	1.45	767
2000	563	0.62	347	0.95	541	1.25	705	1.45	814
2010	563	0.62	347	0.96	541	1.25	705	1.45	814
2020	563	0.62	347	0.96	541	1.25	705	1.45	814
2030	56 3	0.62	347	0.96	541	1.25	705	1.45	814
2035	563	0.62	347	0.96	541	1.25	705	1.45	814
2044	563	0.62	347	0.96	541	1.25	705	1.45	814
Avg.	Annual Bene	fits	343		535		698		805

TABLE F-55 ANNUAL TONNAGE AND BENEFITS ON COAL EXPORTS

Pirst year of project life.

²Benefits are restricted to a 54° channel depth because of limited depths at ports in the Italy region

Total savings may not exactly equal the product of unit savings times tonnage due to rounding.

180. <u>Coal Exports</u>. No benefits can be realized by providing a 45-foot channel at Mobile on coal exports to Japan. It is more economical to route the commerce through the Panama Canal in messels suitable for this waterway. Benefits on coal exports to Italy are restricted to a 54-foot channel project at Mobile due to limited depths at these foreign ports. Detailed information on benefits for coal exports are presented in table F-55.

181. Summary of Transportation Benefits. Estimates of the future annual commerce and transportation savings for selected years throughout the economic life for the considered improvements are presented in table F-56. These estimated future annual savings were converted to average annual equivalent benefits using an interest rate of 6-7/8 percent over the 50-year project life. A summary of the average annual equivalent benefits attributable to the various considered channel depths is presented in table F-57.

182. An analysis of navigation benefits is presented herein to test the benefit/cost ratio for the first year (1995) after the project has been completed. The total navigation benefits that would occur, with the recommended 55-foot project in place, is estimated to be \$28,106,000. The annual charges are \$22,028,000. This would give a BCR of 1.3. If the land enhancement benefits of \$2,697,000 are added to the navigation benefits, a total benefit of \$30,803,000 is realized. The BCR will change to 1.4. This demonstrates that the recommended project is justified at beginning of the project life.

183. Land Enhancement Benefits. For a 55-foot level of development, it is proposed that 34,630,000 cubic yards of the new work material dredged from the upper bay channel be deposited inside the diked disposal area adjacent to Brookley. It is estimated that the 1047 acres of new fast land would be usable for industrial or commercial purposes and would be enhanced in value by an amount equal to the cost of providing the same improvement by the least costly method.

TABLE F-56

SUMMARY OF ANNUAL VOLUME OF TRAFFIC AND SAVINGS

Appen F-12			<u>.</u>				•	SUMMARY	OF ANN	UAL VOLUM (Thou	E OF TRA Isands)	AFFIC AND	SAVING:	5						
ND			. 19	75	19	986	19	95 ¹	21	000	2(10	20)20	20)30		2035		044
Ť	Сотанк	odicy	Tons	Savings	Tons	Savings	Tons	Savings	Tons	Savings	Tons	Savings	Tons	Savings	Tons	Savings	Tons	Savings	Tons	Savi
									4	-Foot Ch	annel De	pth								
	Iron	Ore	3,411	\$3,204	3,755	\$3,532	4,180	\$3,931	4 460	\$4,204	5,203	\$4,892	5,994	\$5,637	6,846	\$6,440	7,473	\$7,030	7,473	\$7,0
	Coal	(imports)	371	382	896	923	896	923	896	923	896	923	896	923	896	923	896	923	896	9
	Coal	(exports)	7722	745	4,757	² 4,592	5,2992	5,116	5,623	5,428	5,6232	5,428	5,623	5,428	5,623	5,428	5,623	5,428	5,623	5.4
	TOTAL		4,554	\$4,331	9,408	\$9,047	10,375	\$9,970	10,987	\$10,555	11,722	\$11,243	12,513	\$11,988	13,365	\$12,791	13,992	\$13,381	13,992	\$13,3
									<u>50</u>	-Foot Ch	annel Dé	pth								
a	Iron	Ore	3,411	\$4,553	3,755	\$5,005	4,180	\$5,571	4,468	\$5,955	5,203	\$6,932	5,995	\$7,988	6,846	· \$9,124	7,473	\$9,959	7,473	\$9,9
	Coal	(imports)	771	597	896	1,441	896	1,441	896	1,441	896	1,441	896	1.441	896	1,441	896	1,441	896	1,4
ļ.	Coal	(exports)	1,458	1,732	8,934	10,637	9,952	11,850	10,560	12,574	10,560	12,574	10,560	12,574	10,560	12,574	10,560	12,574	10,560	12,5
	TOTA	L .	5,240	\$6,882	13,585	\$17,083	15,028	\$18,862	15,924	\$19,970	16,659	\$20,947	17,451	\$22,003	18,302	\$23,139	18,929	\$23,974	18,929	\$23,9
· ·									55	-Foot Ch	annel De	pth								
•	Iron	0re	3,411	\$5,100	3,755	\$5,611	4,180	\$6,245	4,468	\$6,677	5,203	\$7,772	5,295	\$8,956	6,846	\$10,230	7,473	\$10,845	7,473	\$10,8
	Coal	(imports)	371	780	. 896	1,883	896	1,883	896	1,883	896	1,883	896	1,802	896	1,883	896	1,883	896	1.8
	Coal	(exports)	1,458	2,928	8,934	17,923	9,952	19,973	10,560	21,192	10,560	21,192	10,560	21,192	10,560	21,192	10,560	21,192	10,560	21.1
	TOTA	Ĺ	5,240	\$9,808	13,585	\$25,422	15,028	\$28,101	15,924	\$29,752	16,659	\$30,847	17,451	\$32,031	18,302	\$33,305	18,929	\$33,920	18,929	\$33,9
	•						• .		60)-Foot Ch	annel De	pth						-		
84. 1911 - 1914	Iron	Ore	3,411	\$5,315	3,755	\$5,846	4,180	\$6,507	4,468	\$6,957	5,203	\$8,097	5,995	\$9,332	6,846	\$10,658	7,473	\$11,634	7,473	\$11,6
	Coal	(imports)	371	900	896	2,175	896	2,175	896	2,175	896	2,175	896	2,175	896	2,175	896	2,175	896	2,1
	Coal	(exports)	1,458	3, 519	8,934	21,534	9,952	23,989	10,560	25,454	10,560	25,454	10,560	25,454	10,560	25,454	10,560	25,454	10,560	25.4
	TOTA	6	5,240	\$9,734	13,585	\$29,555	15.028	\$32,671	15,924	\$34,586	16,659	\$35,726	17,451	\$36,961	18,302	\$38,287	18,929	\$39,263	18,929	\$39,2
	¹ Firs	st year of	project	life.										-						

2.1

²Does not include tonnage to Japan because there are no benefits for a 45-foot channel depth on this traffic.

TABLE F-57

SUMMARY OF AVERAGE ANNUAL NAVIGATION BENEFITS FOR ALTERNATIVE CHANNEL DEPTHS INVESTIGATED

Type of Commodity	Benefits for varying channels (Depth in feet)					
Commerce through bulk terminals above 1-10 tunnel	<u>s 45</u>	50	<u>55</u>	<u>6</u> 0		
Iron Ore (import)	\$2,203,000	\$2,971,000	\$3,484,000	\$3,611,000		
Coal (import)	923,000	1,441,000	1,883,000	2,175,000		
Sub-Total	\$3,126,000	\$4,412,000	\$5,367,000	\$5,786,0 <mark>0</mark> 0		
Commerce through bulk terminals in Mobile, below I-10 tunnels Iron Ore (import)	\$2,570,00Ú	\$3.792.000	\$4.098.000	\$4,290,000		
Coal (export)	5,371,000	12,440,000	20,968,000	25,184,000		
Sub-Total	\$7,941,000	\$16,232,000	\$25,066,000	\$29,474,000		
Total Benefits for Mobile	\$11,067,000	\$20,644,000	\$30,433,000	\$35,260,000		

¹ Project life 1995-2044 with interest rate of 6-7/8 percent.

184. The accomplishment by local interests of the work described above would involve the cost of dredging material from the nearest available source. These costs are estimated and shown in table F-58.

TABLE F-58

LEAST COSTLY ESTIMATE OF LANDFILL AREA

Dredging	
Dikes (4,000,000 c.y. @ \$0.79/c.y.)	\$ 3,160,000
Fill (30,630,000 c.v. @ \$0.75/c.y.)	22,973,000
Dike Shaping & Dressing	28,000
Waste Weirs	17,000
Revetment	3,734,000
SUB-TOTAL	\$29,912,000
Contingencies @ 15%	4,487,000
Engineering & Design @ 3%	1,032,000
Supervision & Administration @ 5%	1,772,000
TOTAL FIRST COST	\$37,203,000

The estimated capital value of enhancement, as shown above, would be \$37,203,000. This converts to a value of approximately \$36,000 per acre which is substantially less than the existing market value of land (\$65,000 to \$100,000 per acre) in the area. Average annual equivalent benefits over the life of project (50-year @ rate of return of 6-7/8 percent per annum) which includes annual maintenance of \$44,000 would be \$2,697,000.

185. <u>Supplemental Navigation Benefits</u>. The present channel dimensions would soon create traffic delays due to the indicated traffic not being able to pass unconstrained in the bay channel. Supplemental savings to shippers calling at Mobile would result from widening and deepening the main bay charnel. Annual costs for delays were computed and used in Section D to optimize the channel width designs; however, these are not necessary to establish feasibility of the selected plan.

Appendix 5 F-124 186. <u>Summary of Total Benefits</u>. Average annual equivalent benefits for navigation and land enhancement for each level of development of the Mobile ship channel are summarized in table F-59.

TABLE F-59

Project Depth	Transportation Benefits	Land Enhancement Benefits	Average annu	al benefits (\$)
(feet)	(\$)	(\$)	Total	Incremental
45	11,067,000	1,530,000	12,597,000	-
50	20,644,000	2,002,000	22,646,000	10,049,000
55	30,433,000	2,697,000	33,130,000	10,557,000
60	35,260,000	3,696,000	38,956,000	5,826,000

SUMMARY OF AVERAGE ANNUAL EQUIVALENT BENEFITS

Benefits based on 6-7/8 percent interest rate and 50-year project life (1995-2044).

SENSITIVITY OF BENEFIT ANALYSIS

187. <u>General</u>. The approach to the benefic analysis in this report is thought to be conservative based on information which became available too recently to incorporate into the report. Also, the conservative assumptions relating to future growth trends result in lower benefits to the project than if more liberal trends were adopted. Information is not available to allow changes at this time in the report. The impact of the assumptions on project benefits, as well as other changes which will be incorporated into later reports, are discussed in the paragraphs that follow.

188. <u>Alternative Source of Japanese Coal</u>. It is expected that approximately 9.7 million tons of coal will be exported through Mobile for the Japanese steel mills in 1986. This will increase to 11.5 million tons by the year 2000 and remain constant thereafter, during the 44 remaining years of the project life. The average annual benefits on this coal that could be

> Appendix 5 F-125

realized by providing a 55-foot channel depth at Mobile would be \$10,356,000. If the source of supply was diverted from Mobile where it would be supplied from Australia, Poland, South Africa, etc. the average annual benefits for the 55-foot project would decrease to \$20,077,000, giving a BCR of .91.

189. Coal Imports. The base year tonnage for this commodity was accepted as 896,000 tons based on a 10-year contract initiated for the importation of South African coal in 1977. At the time the information was obtained, there was no indication that imports would increase. Therefore, the annual tonnage of 896,000 tons was held constant throughout the period of analysis. Imports of this commodity amounted to about 1,600,000 tons in 1978. Contacts with company officials directly responsible for these imports revealed that the increase in volume was due to spot purchases of coal from Port Kembla, Australia which is located about 50 miles south of Sidney. The officials indicated that, because of the price and quality of the coal, the company's long-term plans are to further increase this import tonnage beginning in 1979. The officials further stated that the most probable method of projecting these imports would be to increase the movements at a decreasing rate of growth throughout project life. The spot purchases of this coal, as well as the availability of only one year's data, was not believed to be sufficient justification for increasing benefits to this commodity. However, if imports continue to increase as stated by the company officials, the report should consider additional benefits based on the increases in these imports. The procedures used to project these movements will be determined if and when the future increases can be supported. The increase from 896,000 tons to 1,600,000 tons without projections would increase the benefits by about \$2,500,000 (\$3.50 x 704,000) for a 55-foot channel at Mobile. This benefit considers the use of a 36,000 d.w.t. vessel for the existing 40-foot channel and a 110,000 d.w.t. vessel for a modified 55-foot channel. Additional computer runs will be necessary to determine actual benefits.

190. <u>Coal Export Projections</u>. Coal exports were projected to increase at a compound annual growth rate of 1.2 percent from 1975 through 2000 and remain constant thereafter. In order to test the sensitivity of this assumption,

the annual export tonnage was also projected to increase at a compound annual growth rate of 1.2 percent throughout the period of analysis, and alternatively, to increase at 1.2 percent through the year 2000 with a declining rate of growth thereafter, such that, by the end of the period of analysis, the rate of annual growth would be zero. These alternative projections would both increase project benefits, resulting in additional average annual benefits of \$2.3 and \$1.5 million, respectively, for a 55-foot channel depth. Benefits to other channel depths would show (reater increases for deeper channels and smaller increases for the more shallow channel depths under study.

191. <u>Vessel Costs</u>. Vessel operating costs "at sea" and "in port" for foreign vessels are based on January 1977 costs furnished by OCE. With the inflationary increases in fuel, labor, and construction costs, it is unrealistic to assume these costs are representative of costs being incurred at this time. However, there is no acceptable procedure at this time which will allow updating of these costs. Any increase in these costs would result in increases in benefits to most commodity movements.

192. <u>Traffic Delays</u>. Under existing conditions, vessels will soon encounter delays because of traffic congestion. Modification of the width and depth of the channel will reduce or eliminate these delays. Annual costs (benefits) for these delays have been computed and are shown in Section D; however, benefits have not been included in the recommended plan since they are not necessary to establish feasibility.

SUMMARY OF ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

193. The estimated annual charges, the estimated annual benefits, and the ratios of benefits to charges summarized in table F-60 indicate that the proposed plan of imporvement to provide a 55-foot main bay channel and entrance channel to Mobile Harbor is economically justified.

TABLE	F-60
-------	------

Project Depth (feet)	Annual Charges (\$)	Annual Benefits (\$)	Net Benefits (\$)	BCR
45	9,195,000	12,597,000	3,402,000	1.4
50	15,252,000	22,646,000	7,394,000	1.5
55	22,028,000	33,130,000	11,102,000	1.5
60	34,435,000	38,956,000	4,521,000	1.1

SUMMARY OF ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

AVERAGE ANNUAL BENEFITS AND CHARGES AT 7-1/8 PERCENT INTEREST RATE

194. The average annual equivalent benefits based on an interest rate of 7-1/8 percent for each commodity that would benefit by the project for the various channel depths considered is presented in table F-61.

195. Average annual equivalent benefits for navigation and land enhancement for each level of development of Mobile ship channel based on an interest rate of 7-1/8 percent are summarized in table F-62.

196. The estimated annual charges, benefits and ratios of benefits to charges, based on an interest rate of 7-1/8 percent is summarized in table F-63.

197. The change in interest rate from 6-7/8 to 7-1/8 percent did not significantly affect the BCR. For the recommended 55-foot channel, the annual charges increased from \$22,028,000 to \$22,833,000 and the benefits increased from \$33,130,000 to \$33,159,000. The BCR remained at 1.5.

Type of Commodity	Benefits for varying channels (Depth in feet)						
Commerce through bulk terminals above I-10 tunnels	45	<u>50</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>60</u>			
Iron Ore (import)	\$2,193,000	\$2,956,000	\$3,452,000	\$3,592,000			
Coal (import)	923,000	1,441,000	1,883,000	2,175,000			
Sub-Total	\$3,116,000	\$4,397,000	\$5, 335,000	\$5,767,000			
Commerce through bulk terminals in Mobile, below I-10 tunnels							
Iron Ore (import)	\$2,558,000	\$3,775,000	\$4,081,000	\$4,271,000			
Coal (export)	5,369,000	12,436,000	20,961,000	25,177,300			
Sub-Total	\$7,927,000	\$16,211,000	\$25,042,000	\$29,448,000			
Total Benefits for Mobile	\$11,043,000	\$20,608,000	\$30,377,000	\$35,215,000			

SUMMARY OF AVERAGE ANNUAL NAVIGATION BENEFITS FOR ALTERNATIVE CHANNEL DEPTHS INVESTIGATED

TABLE F-61

¹Project life 1995-2044 with interest rate of 7-1/8 percent.



Project Depth	Transportation Benefits	Land Enhancemen Benefits	nt Average Annu	Average Annual Benefits (\$)			
(feet)	(\$)	(\$)	Total	Incremental			
45	11,043,000	1,575,000	12,621,000	-			
50	20,608,000	2,065,000	22,673,000	10,052,000			
55	30,377,000	2,782,000	33,159,000	10,486,000			
60	35,215,000	3,813,000	39,028,000	5,869,000			
	:						

SUMMARY OF AVERAGE ANNUAL EQUIVALENT BENEFITS¹

TABLE F-62

Benefits based on 7-1/8 percent interest rate and 50-year project life (1995-2044).

TABLE F-63

SUMMARY OF ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

Project Depth (feet)	Annual Charges (\$)	Annual Benefits (\$)	Net Benefits (\$)	BCR
45	9,419,000	12,621,000	3,202,000	1.3
50	15,873,000	22,673,000	6,800,000	1.4
55	22,833,000	33,159,000	10,326,000	1.5
60	35,524,000	39,028,000	3,504,000	1.1



ATTACHMENT F-1

COMPUTER PROGRAM

DEEP-DRAFT BENEFITS

MARILE HAPPOP-AL SHIP CHANNEL WITH EXISTING CHANNEL DEPTH OF 40 FFET CHANNEL 40 TO GO FT DRY BULK SHIP-FORVELAG- 504 UTILIZED 1 JAN. 1977 331986 8 1986: 1995 20442915.0 364 292 811 101 15000 194 379 292 914 101 17000 177 309 1017 102 20000 222 401 327:1126 103 22009: 745 477 345 1224 104 25000 282 455 363 1327 0165 29696 493 304 509 379 1430 105 32000 374 399 1533 107 549. 36000 247 151 559 411 1630 108 39000 424 1739 109 43000 105 577 594 435 1842 110 47050 96 451 1945 112 52000 н٦ 619 545 445 204E 113 56000 99 483 2151 114 92 667 61000 700 495 2254 116 ~5000 A6 721 507 2357 117 70000 βŋ 738 518 2460 118 75000 62 513 2543 120 B1000 760 40 549 2444 122 86000 2.9 783 572 2769 124 92000 814 30 594 2472 125 98060 R45 31 873 614 2975 127 104000 31 631 3078 129 110000 RQR 31 923 648 3181 131 117000 28 64) 3284 133 123000 25 C42 947 673 3347 135 130000 74 900 - 595 3490 137 137000 22 498 496 3593 134 144000 20 1015 706 3696 141 151000 21 1109 753 3800 143 159000 10 1142 758 3902 145 166000 15 1141 745 6965 105 174660 ۱n 1219 793 4109 150 182000 з Teun Ube(ins) - nierud 4320 2593956PUPETO 04647.VENZ SA TCI TERM MOBILE AL 503 UTILIZATION TERM REFINES (ALLEROOD TREARGOOD CADITED ONDACAN ROR UTILIZATION 52.1 tour ope those shipping -4° -4 SPASSE VIT PLA FEARTLASS SON DITILIZATION (S) 14 - L A k, Kanal, y kanafaj ·张·信子·首次,2月2日,自己之之之,于子之子之,于王之之之,于是子子之气于云之之,于是之人所。 n televen til skeligen gårigen som hver skin portsen skillaget 10 10000 11000 11-00 10-50 19-40 19-20 19-50 19-50 19-50 (1947), Levensen, Leven (1988), Levensen, Levensen, Levensen, Levensen, Levensen, Levensen, Levensen, Levensen, HERE'S STATED AND AND A CARRONITAL PRESENCES IN STATEMENT SERVICES STATES - STATEMENT SERVICES - S

AND BEA MAS AND AN INDEX OF U.S. PRODUCTION OF IRON AND STEEL. APPLICABLE ON IRON ORE FROM RUPPTO ORDAZ-VENZ-S.A. THET ALLSAME AS M EXCEPT APPLICABLE ON IRON ORE IMPORTS FROM RORT CARTIER OUBEC.CANADA. THET THET AME SAME AS N EXCEPT APPLICABLE ON IRON ORE IMPORTS FROM VITORIA(TUBARO) HDAZTL.SA.

5222

Appendix F-1-2

Ú7

MORTLE HARROP-AL SHIP CHANNEL WITH EXISTING CHANNEL DEPTH OF 40 FEFT 1/10/78

WEIGHTED AVERAGE CAPABILITY OF VESSELS IN DRY BULK SHIP-FOR FLAG- 50% UTILIZED

FLEFT	NUMBER OF VESSELS	PAYLOAD	CAPABILITY FACTOR-
S17F	IN FLEET	PER VESSEL	TOTAL PAYLOAD
(DWT)	, _	(SHORT TONS)	(SHORT TONS)
15000.	194.	16128.	3128832.
17000	177.	18278+	3235277.
20000.	222.	21504+	4773888.
23000.	245.	24730.	6058752.
25000.	282	27955.	7893366.
50000	304.	31191.	9541325-
32000.	334.	34416	11491737.
36000.	247.	36707.	9560578.
39000.	151+	41933.	6331853.
43000.	105.	46234.	4854528.
47000	90	50534.	4548096.
52000.	M3.	55910.	4640563.
56000.	89.	60211-	5358797.
61000.	92.	65587.	6034022.
65000	86.	69686 .	6010368.
70000	80.	75264.	6021120-
75000.	62.	80640.	4999680.
81000.	40.	87091.	3483648.
86000.	29.	92467	2681549.
92000.	30_	98916	2967552.
98000.	31.	105370-	3265458.
104000-	31.	111821.	3466445.
110000.	31.	118272	3666432
117000.	28.	125798	3522355.
123000.	25-	132250-	3306240-
130000.	24.	139776.	3354624.
137000	22.	147302.	3240653.
144000.	20-	154829.	3096576
151000	21.	162355	3409459
160000	10	170957-	3248179.
166000	15	176483	2677248.
174000	10_	187085-	1870848.
182000		195666	587059.
1.0000.0		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

3224

TOTAL

Ppendi F-1-3

2967552.

152318180.

MOBILE HARBOD AL SHIP CHANNEL WITH EXISTING CHANNEL DEPTH OF 40 FEET

1/10/78

	たて対く		ាក់ក្រុងក្រ	y	หักแห่งง	τοτάι	TMME D_		<u>NET-70</u>	N COSTS	(DOLL)	PS1	
VF	SSEL	TOAVEL	SEA	2091	POUT	VESSEL	CTON	V9490		*******	8000000	******	\$\$\$\$\$
S17E	DPAF1	TIME	COST	TIME	COST	OPEWATING	FACTOR	F	· · · · ·	LIGHT	LOADED	₽¥.	
(DWT)	(FT)	(4⊃< }	(•)	(หจรา	14.	COSTS (C)	TOUSET	* () <u>(</u>	0104655	*******	****		034000
				• • • •		1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1.3.477.1	, COAD	1 - F T	2-FT	. <u>3</u> −F¶	4-FŤ	5-ET
1500.0	79	2-4	3-4	101	262	133314	011						
170.00	30	·268	374	101	202	138356	C14 D14	. 8.27	· 8,71	6 •50	9.74	10.35	11.05
20000	31	742	401	102	309	1470Č4	1017	1.57	1.47	8.42	8.9]	-47	10.30
23000	32	2% A	427	103	327	54667	1001		7+13	7.55	7,47	P.44	A°04
26100	. Э Э	226	455	104	245	166520	1 2 2 4	N 34	F 64	6.97	7.34	7.14	۹.]4
24000	34	2). H	483	115	36.3	177510	1507	7+25		4 ,55	6.88	7.24	7.45
32000	35	223	509	105	174	156744	1077	2.67	5.94	£ . 55	n 52	5.25	7.22
16000	36	2 i R	540	107	100	100212	14.00	2.43	2.67	5.93	6.21	5. ⁵ 2	A. 24
39000	37	268	5-5-6	108	411	285692	1-33	÷ 13	- * 34	5.57	5.82	6.09	A. 10
43000	26	2,0	577	104	424	212369	12.10	4,40	5.09	2.31	5.54	5.8r	f .n°
47605	лÖ	pho	594	115	47K	216276.	1117	4+50	4.78	74 . 47	5) P	5.4	5.66
52000	41	26 A	414	112	451	517526.	1-+6	4.34	4 <u>5</u> A	4.48	4∎≓7	5.04	5.7]
56000	41	244	645	117	445	2201E4.	1945	4.10	4.74	4.49	4.57	4.74	4,34
610 <u>0</u> 0	- 2	2×4	647	114	493	27.7156	2048	3.44	4.10	4.25	4 . 4]	4 50	4,77
65000	43	284	700	116	 405	260,004	2121 2257	3.77	3.0.)	4 14	4.18	4.34	4.51
79900	. 44	268	721	117	507	222020	~~~~4 	3 • 7 1	3+63	3.97	4 = 1 1	4.24	4.42
75000	45	288	712	112	514	7003074	C.357	3.55	3.67	7.79	3.92	4.05	4.21
A)000	46	28A	76.11	124	C 3 2	243674 4	2960	3-40	3 51	3:52	3.74	3.87	4.01
26000	47	264	7 - 3	122	2010 1013	202465	2253	3.25	3,35	3.45	3.57	3.69	3.01
92000	4.0	224	814	124	572		2000 0760	3.17	3,25	3.36	3.47	R ,54	3.70
	4.9	290	845	124	504	51.2.570 ¥	2019	3.60	3.19	3,24	3.37	3.49	3.50
104000	50	288	873	127	616	211011	2472	3.02	3+10	3.19	3.29	3.39	3.49
110000	51	248	ACH	120	631	373407.	2975	2.45	3.03	3.12	3-51	3.30	1.40
117000	52	288	022	ייי ורכו	471 471		307H	2.88	2.96	3.04	3-15	3-21	3.31
123000	53	288	942	122	547	354712.	3181.	2.74	5+87	2.94	3-05	3.11	3.20
130000	54	288	962	1 12	477	3.70.10	, e ze se de 	2-72	2,79	2.46	2.94	3.02	3.11
177000	55	222	ù an	1.77	010 405	10/1411. 1996 Aug	3347	2.64	2.70	2.77	2.84	2.92	3.00
144000	56	284	001	111	404	375055	3490	2.56	2.62	2.69	2.75	2.43	2.90
151000	57	268	1016	141	744	394 J 68 - 2	3543	2.49	2+55	2.61	2.47	2.74	2.81
159000	50	282.1	1166	14.3	70.7	.391955. 	3696	2.42	2+47	2.53	2.60	2.66	2.71
166000	59		1197 1125	:43 165	751	42707).	3800	2.50	2.56	2.62	2.68	2.75	2.92
174000	60	248	1197	1471	/뉴씨 카/분	438806.	3905	2.46	2.52	2.58	2.64	2.70	2 77
182000	60 61	366 1	101	148	165	453348.	4066	2.43	2.48	2.54	2 - 9	2.66	2 72
• ~ 4 7 9 9 9	5° 1	<u>enn</u> 1	1414	10.1	783	448522.	4109	2.40	2,45	2.50	2.56	2.62	3 4 0

COMMODITY IPON ORE (IMP) DPY HULK SHIP-FOR FLAG- 50% UTILIZED VESSEL SPEED IS N KNOTS WEIGHTED AVERAGE DISTANCE OF HAUL 4320NAUTICAL MILES

e konda de a til kara i na gen da

CONMONITY INON ORE (IMP) DAY RULE SHIP-FOR FLAG- 544 UTILIZED VESSEL SPEED 15.0 KNOTS WEIGHTED AVERAGE DISTANCE OF HALL SZOONAUTICAL MILES

137000

144007

151000

159900

146000

174089

172000

7-1-5

10

= :4₽<u>.</u>∀

. TOTAL

P			(<u>10</u> 9	16					•	6203			2012		
ji. T	47	34	7 783	12	2	549	31	38418	s s	7646		`	3.66	3.77	3.89
1.1	-4Â.	34	7	12	é 👘 🔍	572	í . 34	53115		2769	-1 -1 Y -	the second	3.57	3.65	3.79
	10 A C	34	7 845	12	5	504		7183	말 신상품	2872			3.49	3.59	3.69
	66		7. 873	12	7	616	. n	10618		2975		je stali je s	1 41	1.50	3.60
7 -	- 1997 - 1997	ં નર્ટે		12			76		geak, je	3878			1.11	1 41	1.51
1 4 . - 1	-21-						: 3		.		- 1. 1	1			
1 a -	52	. j 34.	7 923	ten 13	1	. 19 5		ienel	• 1 () • "	a teris			3.66	2-11	
<u>р</u> . –	-53	34	7 942	e 17	3	663	-), #1	6473	÷ .	3544	· · ·		3.1 4.	3.72	3.30
ň .	54	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	7 962	13	5 1 to 1	673		24 34A	1	3347	· · · · · · ·	1 .C	3.04	3.12	3.20
	EE		7	. in	7			115.72	<u> </u>	3496		· ·	2.45	1320212	3.09
					A		1.1							·	
t,	- 56:.	. 3 4	<u> 7 990</u>		9		: N 👎	er er e	•	3543	ista il		C+ND	5172 -	3.4.6.6
h .	57	- 34'	7 1015	i 14	1: 1	:705 .	. 6	514.13	ě. – Mari	3696	1		2.79	- 2185	7.72
с П : -		24	7 1109	14	1 1 - 11	751	. 69	221.32	.	3600	9. de 1	1	2288	2.95	3.02
	- 310. E A -			a a		36.4		ELAT	Terre d	1042				9.00	2.97
Same.	्रत्रसः		6 8 136		2 9. k	(and the second se	ور الد الد ال	1.26.14.1	-21 TO 15 10	3-445					
	60	- 1 4	7. 1.181	S. (21)6	0 - 197	765	5 S	27-33	• 1 () .	<u>+906</u>		20.20	5.80	, 7.49	- 7 o 92
È.	Č 🗛 🗄	14	7 1219	15	Ô.	783	- 6	60n37		6109	19. 19	11	2.76	2.82	2.89
	× .	1997 - 1 73				~ 강영 등 다				6 C - 78		100		151 (S. 1997)	a Render
3224	6 i .		s , Kolday			1.1.1.2	a shekara 1995 ta					11.17	1 	1.197.20	· • • • • • • • •
	. s ⁿ '.			1.1.1.1000		1211	신신		⁴⁶ ±		7987 B.C	3			- 1 C

¥*	cc.	¥–aÿFi		Ţē•aŢ	PC=T	VESSEL	SIDN	방법 가슴 감구 같이 많다.	이 가슴 가슴 가슴. - 1999년 - 1917년 - 19 - 1917년 - 1917년	L15-1-	LOADED	RŸ	d 1 - Marke
\$17F	1.347.1	4105	GC\$7	.TIME:	COST	OPEPATING	FACTOR	FULL	******				
t 2#T2	(= 3.)	(+25)	· (*)	(5)	(5)	COSTS (*)	TONZET	1C40	1-67	5-F.T	J≁FT	4-FT	5-FT
15000	29	1.7	364	101	282	15464.		9.50	10.10	10.67	11-30	17:01	12.82
17600	30	347	378	101	297	160532-	914 - Luu	A.79	9.25	9.76	10.34	10.90	11.72
20000	31	347	401	102	309	120531.	1017	7.94	8.33	P.76	9.25	9.79	10,36
23000	32	347	427	103	327	1A170A.	1120	7.35	7.70	A.08	A.5]	8.98	9.50
26000	- 33	347	455	104	345	193613.	1224	6.93	7.25	7.50	7.98	8.40	_ : 5, 57
29000	34 .	347	483	105	363	2055554	1327	6.50	6.89	7.21	7.56	7.95	- 9-38
32000	35	34 7	509	106	379	216627.	1430	6.30	6.57	6.87	7.20	7.56	7.95
30000	36	347	540	107	. 399	779993.	1533	5.94	6.19	4.46	6.75	7.06	7.41
39000	37	347	559	306	411	23782P.	1630	5.65	5.91	6.15	. ñ.43	6.72	7.04
43000	38	347	577	109	474	246743.	1739	5.33	5.54	. 5.76	6.01	- K.27	5.56
47000	39	347	594	110	436	253880.	1442	5.03	5.72	5.42	5.65	· \$.89.	5.15
52000	40	347	619	112	451	245099.	1945	4.75	4.92	5,10	5.30	5-51	5.74
56000	41 -	347	645	113	645	276145.	2048	4.59	4.75	4.93	5-31	5.31	5.53
61000	42	347	667	114	403	7++289.	2151	4.37	4.52	6.68	4.85	5.03	5.23
65000	· · 🏭 · ·	347	700	116	495	307087.	2254	4.30	4.44	4.59	4.76	4.93	5.12
70000		347	721	117.0	547	109204.	2357	- 4.11	4.75	4.39	6.54	4.70	- 4.50
75000		347	738	116	518	116954	2660	3.94	4.06		4.33	4.48	4.64
	44	347	766	120	533	127627	2563	3.76	3.66	4.00		4.27	· •.4]
86000		147	783	122	549	13N418	2666	3.66	3.77	3.89	4.01	4] 4	6.28
92000		347	A14	124	572	353115	2769	3.57	3.65	3.79	3.90	4.02	4.16
04000		34.7		125	504	767183	2672	3.49	1 40	3.69	3.60	3.92	4.14
104000	50	747	873	127	616	360618	2975	3.41	3.50	3.60	3.70	3.41	3.93
110000	51) 51)	147		120	16 A 19	192706	3078	1.11	1.41	3.51	3.61	3.71	3.42
117665	. 57	34.7	021	171:	648	4048A1	3181 T	3.22	3.31	3.39	3.49	3.59	3.69
123040	- 26, 63	347	042	111	AA3	414471	3286	1.14	1.22	3.30	3.39	3.48	3.54
120000	54	1.2.7	06.2	116	673	474 148	3347	3 64	3.12	3.20	3.26	3.37	3.44
2			POTE.				- こぞうなこの	ㅋㅋㅋㅋ					

1710778

SET-TOS COSTS (DOLLAPS)

3.34

3.24

3-14

3.24

3.19

3.13

3.04

3.26

3+16

3.06

3415

3.1.

3.06

3.42.

3.17

3.08

2.99

3.09

3.04

2.99

2:95

MOBILE HARROWAL SHIP CHANNEL WITH EXISTING CHANNEL DEPTH OF 40 FEET

1/10/78

	· ·	:		a sa							NET-TO	N COSTS	(DOLL	ES)	•
	- ¹ ,			HOUPLY	,	HOUPLY	TOTAL	I.M NE P-		*****	******		******		*****
2	VF.	۲ ۲۲	TPAVE	SFA	POPT	-POP L	VESSEL	SION			199 - E	LISHT-	LOADED	ŝγ	
<u>(</u>	ST7F	DPAFI	TT*F	COST	TIME	COST	OPEHATING	FACTOR		. E001		*******			******
7	105 11	(57)	(125)	(\$)	(196)	(\$)	COSTS (S)	TGN/FT		LUAD	1-FT	2-81	<u> 1-i T</u>	4-FT	<u>≂</u> +FT
· · ·		1 1417 11. 				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	etta di competenza					1			
. · .	15000	29 29	639	ીન્દ્ર4	101	242	260665.	e11	ne di se	16.17	17.02	17.97	10.04	20.24	21+60
	17000	30	638	379	101	242	270406+	- 914		14.81	15-59	16.45	17.42	18,51	19.75
,	20000	31	- 1 1 7 P	(401	102	. juo	287303.			13+37	14.03	14.75	15.57	16.49	17.50
1	ີ 2 <u>3</u> 000	32	- (63A)	- 427	100	727	105050.	11.50	· .	15+38	12497	13-51	14+33	15-12	15.00
	26000	33	639	45=	104	745	326109.	1224		11+67.	15+50	12.74	13.43	14.15	14.94
÷	29888	34	. <u>63</u> 8	483	105	363	745245.	1527		11+11	11-60	12.14	12,73	14,30	14-11
	32000	35	474	ີ່ຮູດວ່	106	779	3F4F4F	1430		14-51	11-07	11,57	15-15	12.72	13.34
÷	36000	36	- A3A	540	167	300	387]4}	1530		10.01	10.42	17+97	11-36	11+99	12.42
	39000	37	- 16 3 8	552	104	411	41 T 7 1 Fr.	1630		4,55	9,64	10+36	10.61	11.31	11-55
	4.3000	- 3A	638	577	109	424	68. 245.	1734		4,97	5. JS	9,49	10.10	10.55	11.04
	47000	39	634	594	116	436	- AAS3.	1542		A.45	8.77	9.12	9.49	9,49	10.33
	52000	- 40	639	619	112	45]	445351.	1965		7,447	8.26	P.57	H.40	9.76	9,45
	56000	41.	638	645	113	465	4-3969.	2044		7.71	7.0g	8,27	8.59	8.92	9.29
	51000	42	6.34	667	114	427	LHIS L.	2161		7.33	7 5 9	7.°5	8+13	P.44	٩,77
	65000	 	676	700	116	445	507027	2244		7.22	7.46	7.71	7,94	8,24	8.6A
	70000		679	721	117	507	519221	2357		6.40	7.13	7.3e	7.62	7,89	9.IN
	-76000	. C.	67-	772	718	510	\$31870.	2460		6.60	6.81	7.63	7.27	7.57	7.79
	01000		410	- 760 ·	120	533	544739	2563		6.32	6 50	6.70	6.92	7.15	7.39
•	- LOSO - COCA		6 7 9	765	122	544	56642+	2446	•	F-13	6.31	6.51	6.71	6.93	7.16
	- <u>2006</u> -	2		- 16.5 	17/	572	540151.	2769		5.47	6.14	6.32	6.52	4.72	5.94
	- 97000 - 64066	. 4m. n	· 406		126	504	413257	2572		5.82	5.49	6.16	4.34	- 54	6.74
•	90999 000100		1 1 1 1		1.77	· • • •	634536	2075	· · ·	5.65	5 84	6.00	6.17	6.14	4.75
51	104020	50			120	411	46.200	3679	· ·	5.54	5.48	5. 44	6.00	6.19	6.36
	110000	10.1	1 c 2 2		1.7.7.	4.6	471630	3151		5 34	5.50	5	5. PO	5 94	4.17
	117000			100 A	121	~~~)	499797	° 32⊨4		5.21	- 35	5 5 49	5 - 3	6.73	5,94
2	12000	્ર ્યુઝ.	. 그것님: 		- 1.7.7. 1:50	1 (77)	704633	2347	·	5.05	5.17	5.30	5.44	5.59	5.74
e.,	130006		. N 48	957	1.17		216666	2460	· · · ·	· 4.69.	5 00	5.13	5 26	5.40	5.54
۰.	141400	כר	ilisin a tika Szeniasi	- 980 ···	1.36-5 1.36-5	6 6 6 6 6 6	2 8.2 T T	3203		4.74	6 65	4.97	5.10	5.23	5.36
	144000	. 27	- 19 A	994	1 1 1	764	- 1,33,3227# 77,40,63 ···	3696		4.51	6.71	6.H3	4 04	5.07	5.20
	121000	57	· 동경음·	_1∩1≒ `	141	70m . mem	147971. LILATS	2840	÷	4.77	6.83	4,90	5.11	C 74	5.37
	Izanuu	5.5	- 19 MA	1109	143	753		1-02	1. J.	4.70	6.81	4,92	5.03	5.15	5.29
	19-000	~ 59		1192	145	194 775		,		4-64	6 74	6. HA	4 OS	5.07	5.19
	174000	60	. 6,18	1.141	104	. 107					1.69	4.7	2.40	5.00	5.12
	165000	- 51	676	1214	150	· 783	HADDOA"	4199	44 1				=+		J • 1 *
		· ·							-						

COMMODITY IPON OFF (IMP) NAX BULK SHIP-FOR FLAG- 50% HTILITED VERSEL SPEED 15.0 KNOTS WEIGHTED AVERAGE DISTANCE OF HAUL SSARNAUTICAL MILES

where the community of a second

F-1-9

bendix

ψ

175

		•	÷.					
					•			•
- 1								
10	1.51		··· ·		~	~	2	÷ .
- 7	ai.						÷ .	
۰.	12.1				. C			
	111	12.5		- Y -				· · ·
5	1.00							
		· · ·	111	11	-			
<u>9</u>	1.13	· .	, h.	· · ·				
-	1.55	5 31	a de segui					
-	1.2%	21.27	~~. <u>.</u> ,		1111	3.1	· ·	1.13
-		· · ·	· · ·		1.1			
i.		1.0.0	÷		P			
	1.41	200	< 15 E	÷ .	° •			1
1	•	ે તે	5000	· · .	sel ef	- N. 1		
	1. I	14 11 11	1000	1,52,81	0.17		1.1.1	<u> </u>
	1.1.1	1. A. I. I	1 * * * *	*	1. I.I.			<u> </u>
£.,	- 11	74.57	المرجر الى ا	ê î. r	- a 15	× .	1.1	<u>سرومہ (</u>
	- 0	041			5	÷.,	÷.,	ч.:N

TIOCHMO	40-FT	4]-FT	42-F7	47-FŢ	44-FT	45-FT	46-FT	47-FT	48-FT	49-FT	50-FT	51-FT	52-FT	53-FT	54-FT	55-FT
TRON DRF (INP)	5.66	5.52	5.40	5.29	5.19	5=11	5404	4.98	4.42	4.86	4 80	4.74	4.69	4.64	4.59	4.54
IPON ORF (IMP)	4.56	A.40	4.27	6.13	6.01	5.92	5_84	5.77	5.70	5.63	5.56	5.50	5.43	5.37	5.31	5.26
PON ORF (IMP)	11.04	10_77	10.54	10.32	10+12	9.96	9-83	9.71	9.58	9.47	9.35	9.24	9.13	9.03	A.93	8.83

SUMMARY OF NET-TON COST FOR DRY BULK SHIP-FOR.FLAG- 50% UTILIZED

MOPILE HAPROPAL SHIP CHANNEL WITH EXISTING CHANNEL DEPTH OF 40 FEET 1/10/78

COMMODITY	56-FT	57-FT	58-FT	59-FT	60-FT
TPON OPE (IMP)	4.49	4.44	4.40	4.3P	4.34
TRUA USE (TWB)	5.20	5.15	5.10	5.07	5-06
IDUN UDE (IND)	P.73	R.64	A 57	8.51	8.49

MORILE HARROP.AL SHIP CHANNEL

Appendix 5

NAVE OF

F-1-8

SCHWARY OF MFT-TUN COST FOR DRY RULK SHIP-FOR.FLAG- 50% UTILIZED Concersescencessescencessescencessescencesbescencesbescencesbescencesbescencesbescencesbescencesbescencesbescence

WITH EXISTING CHANNEL DEFTH OF 40 FEET

1/10/78

SECTION G

DIVISION OF PLAN RESPONSIBILITIES

SECTION G

DIVISION OF PLAN RESPONSIBILITIES

1. Responsibility for development of the selected plan is divided between Federal and non-Federal interests in accordance with established policy and guidelines. The Federal government may construct or improve channels and harbors to meet the requirements of shipping, while non-Federal interests are responsible for terminal facilities, berthing areas, certain other components, and specified items of local cooperation.

2. The United States would design and prepare detailed plans, dredge the improved gulf and bay channels and turning and anchorage basins, and maintain the improvement to project dimensions, after Congressional authorization and funding.

3. Local interests would provide all lands, easements and rights-of-way; all relocations and alterations of utilities; all retaining works and stabilization measures required for disposal of dredged material; and depths in all berthing areas commensurate with those provided in related project areas.

4. Total average annual benefits for the 55-foot selected plan are evaluated at \$33,130,000 including \$30,433,000 navigation benefits and \$2,697,000 land enhancement benefits. Navigation benefits are considered to be of a general nature and land enhancement is considered local. The benefits are summarized and allocated in table G-1.

> Appendix : G-1

TABLE G-1

ALLOCATION OF BENEFITS

	Average Annual Value									
Type of Benefit	Total	General	Local							
Navigation	\$30,433,000	\$30,433,000	-							
Land Enhancement	\$ 2,697,000	-	\$2,697,000							
Total	\$33,130,000	\$30,433,000	\$2,697,000							
Percent	100	91.9	ð.l							

5. The first cost of general navigation facilities for the selected 55-foot channel plan considered herein for the Mobile segment, excluding navigation aids, is to be borne jointly by the United States and local interests. The apportionment is based on the **ratios of "general"** to "local benefits". According to the ratio of general to local benefits derived heretofore, 91.9 percent of the first cost of general navigation facilities would be borne by the Corps of Engineers and 8.1 percent by local interests.

6. The President, in his June 1978 water policy message to Congress, proposed several changes in cost-sharing for water resources projects to allow states to participate more actively in project implementation decisions. These changes include a cash contribution from benefiting states of 5 percent of first costs of construction assigned to nonvendible project purposes and 10 percent of costs assigned to vendible project purposes.

7. Application of this policy to the Mobile Harbor project requires a contribution from the state of Alabama of an estimated \$14,232,000 in cash (5 percent of \$284,635,000 total estimated project first costs Appendix 5

assigned to nonvendible project purposes, based on 1978 price levels). Other items of local cooperation would not be affected by this additional requirement. I recommend construction authorization for the selected plan in accordance with the President's proposed cost-sharing policy. The allocation of financial first cost between Federal and non-Federal interests is shown in table G-2.

TABLE G-2

APPORTIONMENT OF FIRST COST (OCT. '78 PRICE LEVEL)

Federal first cost Corps of Engineers (91.9% of \$276,653,000)

\$254,244,000

U.S. Coast Guard (Aids to navigation)	93,000
Non-Federal Cash Contribution	-14,232,000
Total Federal First Cost	\$ 240,105,000

Non-Federal first cost

Cash contribution (8.1% of \$276,653,000)	\$22,409,000
Dredging and Dike Construction	\$ 7,889,000
Cash Contribution (5% of \$284,635,000)	14,232,000
Total non-Federal First Cost	44,530,000
Total Project First Cost	\$284,635,000

8. The presently estimated additional Federal annual maintenance is \$1,424,000 which includes annual costs to the U.S. Coast Guard of \$4,000 for maintenance of navigation aids. The estimated non-Federal average annual maintenance is \$304,000.

Appendix 5 G-3